Annibale de Gasparis Workshop

Osservatorio Astronomico Capodimonte 7-8 Novembre 2019



ROSETTA INCONTRA STEINS & LUTETIA

Elena Martellato





Why asteroids?





Observations 1

radar techniques can be used to image the asteroiod, and allow to infer properties like rotational period, size, shape, albedo and composition of asteroids

Radar Movie Highlights Asteroid 2006 DP14







Observations 2

remote-sensing measurements made from spacecraft allow unprecedented highresolution data of the surface processes







Impact Craters











Orientale Basin Gravity (*Science*, 28 Oct 2016, 438-441)



-700 Free-Air Gravity (mGal) 800







Impact Process: Meteor Crater example

Meteor Crater, AZ D=1.2 km

Credits: Barringer website

The Impact Process: general overview



The Impact Process: contact & compression



Fraction of energy

0

10

20

Time after impact, t/τ

30

40

50

projectile

The Impact Process: excavation



The Impact Process: collapse



WHY IMPACT CRATERS are IMPORTANT

Planet Formation



Image credit: NASA / JPL-Caltech



Planetary Geology

Modified after Strom & Sprague (2003)





Credit Moon Zoo

Planetary Age Determination

Relative Age



CRATER FREQUENCY

⊘Mare Crisium ▼Apollo 16LS Light Plains

Montes Apenninus (total) Apollo 15LS

10

CRATER DIAMETER (km)

OMendeleev (floor)

∀Mare Serenitatis (light interior)
x Mare Orientale (inter-ring area)

Montes Apenninus (region 15/413)

▲Oceanus Procellarum (LO I-200M)

CALIBRATION DISTRIBUTION



100

101

CRATER DIAMETER D (km)



Neukum et al. (1975)

Modified after Hiesinger et al. (2000)

Surface Layering 1



Quaide & Oberbeck (1968)

D = 11.5 km Unnamed crater in Apollo Basin

Credits: LROC/NAC NASA/GSFC/Arizona State University

Surface Layering 2



Kenkmann & Wulf (2018)

Weiss & Head (2014)



-3850

-4100

2 case studies



Mission Scenario

LAUNCH DATE	Mar 2004		
FLYBYS	Earth (Mar 2005) Mars (Feb 2007) Earth (Nov 2007) Steins (Sep 2008) Earth (Nov 2009) Lutetia (Jul 2010)		
ENTER COMET ORBIT	May 2014		
MISSION END	Sept 2016		
LAUNCH VEHICLE	Ariane V		
LAUNCH MASS	809.8 kg		

Rosetta Mission

esa

OSIRIS: Optical Spesctroscopic and Infrared Remote Sensing Imaging System





	NAC	WAC
OPTICAL DESIGN	3-mirror	2-mirror
	$2k \times 2k$	$2k \times 2k$
	CCD	CCD
ANGULAR	40.0	404
KESULUTION	18.6	101
		1/10(sea)/
LENGTH(mm)	717.4	131(tan)
MASS	13.2 kg	9.48 kg
FoV	1.20° ×	11.35° ×
	2.22°	12.11°
WAVELENGTH	250-1000	240-720
RANGE	nm	nm
No. of FILTERS	12	14
ESTIMATED		
DETECTION	21-22	18
THRESHOLD (m _v)		

CREDITS: Max Plank



2867 Steins: 5th September 2008

- D = 4.6 km
- E-type asteroid
- Characteristic feature: a 2-km crater
- Numerical models suggest Steins is either a monolithic, and then transformed in a rubble pile strucure as a result of the crater formation, allowing it to be reshaped in its current shape by the YORP spin-up thermal effect
- The chain may indicate partial drainage of loose surface material into a fracture within stronger, deeper material, possibly marking pre-existing physical inhomogeneities

Jutzi et al. (2010) Keller et al. (2010)



Courtesy of ESA 2008 MPS for OSIRIS Team MPS/UPD/LAM/IAA/RSSD/INTA/UPM/DASP/IDA, No. SEM27ZO4KKF



From Gault (1970)

MBA sizedistribution 1e-06 1e-07 1e-08 Steins Moon 1e-09 1e-10



intrinsic probability of collision with Steins



AGE: Crater-counting based Chronology

scaling laws



Marchi et al. (2011)

define region of interest by selecting the normal faces in relation to the direction of both the light and the spacecraft

define their terminator by using

define 3D craters by projecting the ArcGIS masks

detect border vertices

UT = 18:38:02.520. The area is 34.5254 km², computed removing the shaded areas near the terminator seen by **OSIRIS**, since no crater is detected on them



Crater Statistics



elliptical fit (LMS) and mean diameter estimation

the projected images





B

Age Determination



		2D SHAPE	3D SHAPE		
No	Туре	Mean Diameter (km)	Semi major axis (km)	Semi minor axis (km)	Mean Diameter (km)
	PRIMARY	0.22	0.22	0.18	0.40
2	?	0.53	0.13	0.14	0.27
3	PRIMARY	0.62	0.23	0.16	0.40
4	PRIMARY	0.94	0.30	0.26	0.56
5	PRIMARY	0.33	0.16	0.15	0.30
6	DEGRADED	1.20	0.65	0.59	1.24
7	ELLIPTIC	0.48	0.56	0.39	0.96
8	PRIMARY	0.29	0.24	0.20	0.44
9	DEGRADED	0.80	0.41	0.38	0.79
10	DEGRADED	0.78	0.59	0.41	1.01
11	PRIMARY	0.75	0.49	0.28	0.77
12	PRIMARY	0.72	0.31	0.19	0.50
13	PRIMARY	0.41	0.20	0.13	0.33
14	PRIMARY	0.34	0.13	0.11	0.25
15	PRIMARY	0.38	0.18	0.15	0.34
16	PRIMARY	0.80	0.10	0.08	0.17
17	PRIMARY	0.33	0.21	0.17	0.38
18	CHAIN	0.65	0.37	0.19	0.55
19	CHAIN	0.70	0.33	0.19	0.52
20	CHAIN	0.86	0.49	0.28	0.78
21	CHAIN	0.41	0.27	0.21	0.48
22	CHAIN	0.56	0.22	0.19	0.40
23	CHAIN	0.43	0.21	0.20	0.41
24	CHAIN	0.41	0.24	0.19	0.42
25	CHAIN	0.85	0.30	0.23	0.53
26	PRIMARY	0.52	0.50	0.30	0.80
27	PRIMARY	0.54	0.31	0.15	0.46
28	PRIMARY	0.27	0.27	0.20	0.47
29	PRIMARY	0.75	0.21	0.15	0.36
30	PRIMARY	0.40	0.11	0.13	0.24
31	ELLIPTIC	0.97	0.30	0.18	0.48
32	?	1.76	0.97	0.66	1.64
33	?	2.19	2.16	1.10	3.26
34	?	0.78	0.72	0.30	1.02

21 Lutetia: 10th July 2010

- D = 100 km
- composition: carbonaceous or enstantite chondirite
- density = 3.4 g/cm^3
- 5 major units
- heavily cratered
- surface covered by regolith





Sierks et al. (2011)

Courtesy of ESA 2010 MPS for OSIRIS Team MPS/UPD/LAM/IAA/RSSD/INTA/UPM/DASP/IDA, No. SEMSZCZOFBG

INTERNAL STRUCTURE: Numerical Modelling

Scientific Motivations

- determination of the projectile size
- hints on the asteroid composition and structure
- outline crater morphology
- boulders formation and ejection
- estimate of secondary formation
- fraction of escaping material



- Expand size scale from experimentally feasibile studies
- Study conditions beyond the reach of experiments (eg., velocity, size)
- Verify the physics of the process



impact - Simplified Arbitrary Lagrangian Eulerian

Conservation Laws:

Momentum $\frac{Dv_i}{Dt} = f_i + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \sigma_{ji}}{\partial x_j}$ Equation of State $p = p(\rho, I)$ Mass $\frac{D\rho}{Dt} + \rho \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_i} = 0$ Material
ModelsEquation of State $p = p(\rho, I)$ Energy $\frac{DI}{Dt} = -\frac{p}{\rho} \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{\rho} \prod_{ij} \dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}$ ModelsStrength Model $\sigma_{ij} = g(\varepsilon_{ij}, \dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}, I, D)$

The implementation of the continuum dynamics occurs through DISCRETIZATION





Lagrangian



material flows through a static mesh

cell volume is constantcell mass changes with time

lighter shadin ndicates partial filled cell

- time evolution limited only by total mesh size
- K material interfaces are blurred

cells & mesh move with material

- **w** cell volume changes
- 🖏 cell mass is constant
- If the surfaces and interfaces well defined
- mesh distorsion can end the simulation very early

MASSILIA, D=55 km





Impactor

Diameter : 7.5 km Impact velocity : 4.3 km s⁻¹ Material : Dunite porosity = 30%

Target

Diameter : 100 km Material : Dunite porosity = 0%

NPCC-d, D=21 km





Impactor

Diameter : 3.8 km Impact velocity : 4.3 km s⁻¹ Material : Dunite porosity = 30%

Target

Diameter : 100 km Material : Dunite porosity = 0%





NPCC-d

- d/D = 0.15
- Collisional age = 2.1 Gyr
- end of Late Heavy Bombardment: typical outcome of the present belt collisional evolution



MASSILIA

- Lutetia is a *monolithic body*, and survived *intact* to the subsequent collisional evolution
- creation of a *layer of damaged material* at the surface following the propagation of the compression wave within the body
- poor of craters in the size range 5-8 km: damaged material influenced small craters and/or reset from the large impact
- Impact Frequency: 1/9 Gyr⁻¹
- collisional event possibly occurred during the Late Heavy Bombardment

Take-Home Message

 Impact craters are the most widespread landform in the Solar System

> impact cratering is a fundamental process that both form palanetary bodies and then shape their surface

✓ The impact process is subdivided into 3 stages:

contact & compression

excavation

modification

each of these phases is governed by physical processes that cause different material flow fields

✓ Impact craters are tools to:

□ derive age of planetary body surfaces

probe planetary body interiors

Thank you !



We gratefully acknowledge the developers of iSALE-2D, including G. Collins, K. Wünnemann, D. Elbeshausen, B.A. Ivanov and J. Melosh, and Tom Davison for the development of pySALEPlot tool (<u>www.iSALE-code.de</u>).

Questions?

