

Supervisors: Andrea Lapi Marcella Massardi

Gas, Stars, Metals and Dust in local ETGs and in New analytic solutions for galaxy evolution: their high-z Starforming Progenitors



SISS



Overview

I. INTRODUCTION

- ETGs and their high-z starforming progenitors
- Emerging scenarios for galaxy evolution
- How to model galaxy formation and evolution

II. THE ANALYTIC MODEL

- Aims and assumptions
- Analytic solutions
- Reproducing the observed statistical relations

III. CONCLUSIONS

Is this model a good tool to investigate ETG formation and evolution?





mass and stellar population G



Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 44:141–92



mass and stellar population





mass and stellar population G S S





mass and stellar population GS:





ETGs: downsizing

- The bulk of stars formed at z_{form} > 1
- Short SF timescale
- The duration of SF phase decreases with increasing galaxy mass:



SSA Moving towards high-z Universe: EIG starforming progenitors

Abundant population of **Dusty Starforming Galaxies** (DSFGs) observed at z≳1





N



Galaxy – BH coevolution

- BH mass is tightly correlated with the **velocity dispersion** σ of stors (Ferrarese & Merritt 2000)
- Central SMBH mass accretion history is linked to the formation and evolution of











- IGs formation and evolution: two emerging scenarios

EVOLUTION IN-SITU SCENARIO EARLY biased collapse formation and 1. Dark halo 2. Gas cooling/infall fragmentation and onset of

3. Outward j redistribution growth, pre-quasar phase within centrifugal radius; dust-obscured SF and BH and violent relaxation

Lapi et al. 2018a

SFR~500-2000 M_{sun}/yr

R_a ~ 6 kpc

R_H~ 160 kpc

τ_{dyn} ~ 0.8 Gyr

 $f_{inf} \sim 40-60\%$

R_{inf}~ 100 kpc

LATE

SFR~50-200 M_{sun}/yr

f_{ej}∼ 60%,

 $\tau_{puff} \sim 30 \text{ Myr}$

R_{puff}~ 2-3 kpc

EVOLUTION

5. Passive evolution of stellar population

> puffing up of stellar component gas outflows, SF quenching and 4. Quasar phase/feedback,

mergers

6. Late time dry

R_{merg} ~ 4-6 kpc $\tau_{merg} \sim 5-10 \text{ Gyr}$

 $\tau_{dyn} \sim 0.5 \; Gyr$

τ_{dyn}~20 Myr

τ_{dyn}~ 2 Myr

R_{rot}~1 kpc



Modelling galaxy evolution: different approaches

- **I. NUMERICAL HYDRODYNAMIC SIMULATIONS**
- Direct simulation of galaxies via numerical code
- Resolution limited 🗙
- Sub-grid physics??
- High computational cost 🗙



2. SEMI-ANALYTIC MODELS

- DM merger trees from N-body simulations
- Parametric expression tuned to match a subset of
- observations to model baryonic physics
- Low computational cost 🗸
- Relative role of the various physical processes

under control 🗸

Deep understanding of the underlined mechanisms

Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 53:51–113

Averaged and approximate description of astrophysical processes \preceq **3. ANALYTIC MODELS**



aims and basic assumptions Analytic model:



 General and self-consistent description of gas, stars, metal and dust evolution with galaxy proper time τ

AIMS

- Reproducing the main statistical relationships followed by ETG progenitors
- Providing a basis to improve subgrid physical recipes

BASIC ASSUMPTIONS:

- Galaxy as an open, one zone system
- Istantaneous mixing
- Istantaneous recycling
- $M_{\rm inf}(0) = f_{\rm inf} M_{\rm b}$, $M_{\rm cold}(0) = M_{\star}(0) = 0$, $Z_{\rm cold}(0) = Z_{\star}(0) = 0$, $D_{\rm core}(0) = D_{\rm mantle}(0) = 0$



Pantoni et al. 2019 (accepted)

 $au_{\rm burst} \sim 2 \times 10^8 \, {\rm yr}$

AGN FEEDBACK



SF efficiency and galaxy MS Statistical relations:

log f_{stor} -2.0 -1.5 -1.0 -0.5 0.0 9.0 Data @ z~0 ● Ma+16 [WL] ● Hu+15 [WL] G Kr+14 [X−ray ○ Mo+11 [sat.] ◊ WM13 [sat.] ≫ Bu+16 z~1-2 ■ Ve+14 [WL ▲ RP+15 [AM] STAR FORMATION EFFICIENCY 9.5 × 10.0 Star formation efficiency × 1× log M_{stor} [M_o] 10.5 \otimes z_{obs}∼2 Zobs~6 Zobs~4 Z 008~0 11.0 × X \propto 11.5 12. log SFR [M₀ yr⁻¹] 0 Ν 9.0 • z_{obs}∼2 z_{obs}∼4 z_{obs}∼6 9.5 STARFORMING GALAXY 3 MAIN SEQUENCE 10.0 Main Sequence ▣ log M_{ster} [M_☉] Ø 10.5 11.0 × ⊳≯ ٠ Ro+15 Du+16 Ko+16 Ma+16 Po+19 @ z~0 Ne+14,Dye+15 DaCu+15 ۲ 11.5 -۲ * • 🗆 ۲ 12.0

Pantoni et al. 2019 (accepted)



gas - M_{star} and Z Statistical relations: stor Matar



Pantoni et al. 2019 (accepted)



Statistical relations: FMR and M_{dust} - M_{stor}

FUNDAMENTAL METALLICITY RELATION





Pantoni et al. 2019 (accepted)



Conclusions

statistical relationships at different redshifts The model suxceed in reproducing the main galaxy

- Averaged description of the physical quantities <u>does not</u> affect dramatically the final results
 - <u>Powerful tool</u> to improve the sub-grid physics recipes in hydrodynamical simulations

FUTURE PERSPECTIVES Specialize the analytic solutions t

structure and stellar mixing. flows, differential galactic winds, multi-zonal galaxies, including: galactic fountains, radial gas Specialize the analytic solutions to local disk-dominated/spiral