

LAST WEEK TO REGISTER ! REGISTRATION (SHARP) DEADLINE: SEPTEMBER 30

https://platoweek9.sciencesconf.org/

PLATO week 9, Marseille, 9-11 October 2019

9-11 Oct 2019 Marseille (France)



JOB ANNOUNCEMENT @ LAM / MARSEILLE Aim: work on high-precision photometric data catalogs for the PLATO Input Catalog



<u>Contacts:</u> Magali Deleuil & Alexandre Santerne

FALSE POSITIVES: WHAT CAN BE DONE FOR THE PIC ?

ALEXANDRE SANTERNE LABORATOIRE D'ASTROPHYSIQUE DE MARSEILLE AIX-MARSEILLE UNIVERSITY ALEXANDRE.SANTERNE@LAM.FR

PLATO Input Catalog Workshop - Padova - 25 September 2019







P1: exoplanet ranking to avoid wasting FUp - RV ressources

• P5: scientifically exploit the sample and derive unbiased occurrence rates



THE PLANET-VALIDATION TECHNIQUE

 $p\left(S_{planet}|D,I\right)$

S: SCENARIO D: DATA I: INFORMATION Θ : PARAMETERS

 $p(S_{FP_1}|D, I) + p(S_{FP_2}|D, I) + \dots + p(S_{FP_n}|D, I)$

<< 1

THE PLANET-VALIDATION TECHNIQUE $p(S_{FP_1}|D,I) + p(S_{FP_2}|D,I) + \dots + p(S_{FP_n}|D,I)$

 $p\left(S_{planet}|D,I\right)$

S: SCENARIO
D: DATA
INFORMATION
PARAMETERS

THE PLANET-VALIDATION TECHNIQUE $p(S_{FP_1}|D,I) + p(S_{FP_2}|D,I) + \dots + p(S_{FP_n}|D,I)$

 $p\left(S_{planet}|D,I\right)$

 $p\left(S_{FP_1}|D,I\right)$ $p(S_{planet}|D,I)$

S: SCENARIO
D: DATA
I: INFORMATION
Θ: PARAMETERS

THE PLANET-VALIDATION TECHNIQUE $p(S_{FP_1}|D, I) + p(S_{FP_2}|D, I) + \cdots + p(S_{FP_n}|D, I)$ $p(S_{planet}|D,I)$ $\frac{p\left(S_{FP_1}|D,I\right)}{p\left(S_{planet}|D,I\right)} = \frac{p\left(S_{FP_1}|I\right)}{p\left(S_{planet}|I\right)} \times \frac{p\left(D|S_{FP_1},I\right)}{p\left(D|S_{planet},I\right)}$

S: SCENARIO D: DATA I: INFORMATION Θ : PARAMETERS

THE PLANET-VALIDATION TECHNIQUE $\frac{p\left(S_{FP_{1}}|D,I\right)}{p\left(S_{planet}|D,I\right)} = \frac{p\left(S_{FP_{1}}|I\right)}{p\left(S_{planet}|I\right)} \times \frac{p\left(D|S_{FP_{1}},I\right)}{p\left(D|S_{planet},I\right)}$ Odds Scenario prior ratio ratio

S: SCENARIO D: DATA I: INFORMATION Θ : PARAMETERS

$p(S_{FP_1}|D, I) + p(S_{FP_2}|D, I) + \cdots + p(S_{FP_n}|D, I)$ $p\left(S_{planet}|D,I\right)$

Evidence ratio

THE PLANET-VALIDATION TECHNIQUE $\frac{p\left(S_{FP_{1}}|D,I\right)}{p\left(S_{planet}|D,I\right)} = \frac{p\left(S_{FP_{1}}|I\right)}{p\left(S_{planet}|I\right)} \times \frac{p\left(D|S_{FP_{1}},I\right)}{p\left(D|S_{planet},I\right)}$ Odds Scenario prior ratio ratio S: SCENARIO D: DATA

- I: INFORMATION
- Θ : PARAMETERS

$p(S_{FP_1}|D,I) + p(S_{FP_2}|D,I) + \cdots + p(S_{FP_n}|D,I)$ >>1 $p(S_{planet}|D,I)$ Evidence ratio

 $\frac{p\left(D|S_{FP_{1}},I\right)}{p\left(D|S_{planet},I\right)} = \frac{\int_{\theta} p\left(\theta|S_{FP_{1}},I\right) \times p\left(D|\theta,S_{FP_{1}},I\right)\partial\theta}{\int_{\theta} p\left(\theta|S_{planet},I\right) \times p\left(D|\theta,S_{planet},I\right)\partial\theta}$

THE PLANET-VALIDATION TECHNIQUE $\frac{p\left(S_{FP_{1}}|D,I\right)}{p\left(S_{planet}|D,I\right)} = \frac{p\left(S_{FP_{1}}|I\right)}{p\left(S_{planet}|I\right)} \times \frac{p\left(D|S_{FP_{1}},I\right)}{p\left(D|S_{planet},I\right)}$ Scenario prior Odds ratio ratio S: SCENARIO D: DATA I: INFORMATION

 Θ : PARAMETERS

$p(S_{FP_1}|D, I) + p(S_{FP_2}|D, I) + \cdots + p(S_{FP_n}|D, I)$ >> 1 $p\left(S_{planet}|D,I\right)$ ΝΟ DATA ! \rightarrow PIC Evidence ratio

 $\frac{p\left(D|S_{FP_{1}},I\right)}{p\left(D|S_{planet},I\right)} = \frac{\int_{\theta} p\left(\theta|S_{FP_{1}},I\right) \times p\left(D|\theta,S_{FP_{1}},I\right)\partial\theta}{\int_{\theta} p\left(\theta|S_{planet},I\right) \times p\left(D|\theta,S_{planet},I\right)\partial\theta}$





$p(S_{planet}|I) = \mathcal{O}_{planet} \times p_{transit} \times p_{detect}$





$p(S_{planet}|I) = \mathcal{O}_{planet} \times p_{transit} \times p_{detect}$

 $\mathcal{O}_{planet} = 75\%$ (Mayor et al., 2011)





$p(S_{planet}|I) = \mathcal{O}_{planet} \times p_{transit} \times p_{detect}$

 $O_{planet} = 75\%$ (Mayor et al., 2011)

 $p\left(\mathcal{S}_{planet}|I\right) = \mathcal{O}_{planet} \times \int_{a,r_{p},e} \frac{\left(R_{\star} \pm r_{p}\right)}{a\left(1 - e^{2}\right)} f_{detect}\left(SNR\right) \partial a \partial r_{p} \partial e$

THE A-PRIORI FALSE-POSITIVE PROBABILITY (1) $FPP_{(a \ priori)}^{-1} = \sum_{i} \frac{p(\mathcal{S}_{FP_{i}}|\mathcal{I})}{p(\mathcal{S}_{planet}|\mathcal{I})}$







 $\mathcal{O}_{planet} = 75\%$ (Mayor et al., 2011)

 $p(\mathcal{S}_{planet}|I) = \mathcal{O}_{planet} \times \int_{a,r_p,e} \frac{(R_{\star} \pm r_p)}{a(1-e^2)} f_{detect} (SNR) \,\partial a \partial r_p \partial e$ detect o 0 0 14 20 7 SNR

THE A-PRIORI FALSE-POSITIVE PROBABILITY (1) $FPP_{(a \ priori)}^{-1} = \sum_{i} \frac{p(\mathcal{S}_{FP_{i}}|\mathcal{I})}{p(\mathcal{S}_{planet}|\mathcal{I})}$

 $p(\mathcal{S}_{planet}|I) = \mathcal{O}_{planet} \times p_{transit} \times p_{detect}$







$p(\mathcal{S}_{planet}|I) = \mathcal{O}_{planet} \times p_{transit} \times p_{detect}$

 $\mathcal{O}_{planet} = 75\%$ (Mayor et al., 2011)

 $p(\mathcal{S}_{planet}|I) = \mathcal{O}_{planet} \times \int_{a,r_p,e} \frac{(R_{\star} \pm r_p)}{a(1-e^2)} f_{detect} (SNR) \,\partial a \partial r_p \partial e$ detect o $SNR = \frac{\delta\left(R_{\star}, r_{p}\right)}{\sigma} \times \frac{f_{\star}^{\Pi}(P)}{f_{tot}^{\Pi}(P)} \times \sqrt{N_{t}\left(a, e, R_{\star}, r_{p}\right)}$ 0 20 0 14 SNR

THE A-PRIORI FALSE-POSITIVE PROBABILITY (1) $FPP_{(a \ priori)}^{-1} = \sum_{i} \frac{p(\mathcal{S}_{FP_{i}}|\mathcal{I})}{p(\mathcal{S}_{planet}|\mathcal{I})}$







 $FPP_{(a \ priori)}^{-1}$









 ${\cal O}_{EB}=33\pm2\%\,$ (Raghavan et al., 2010)

THE A-PRIORI FALSE-POSITIVE PROBABILITY (11) $FPP_{(a \ priori)}^{-1} = \sum_{i} \frac{p\left(S_{FP_{i}} | \mathcal{I}\right)}{p\left(S_{planet} | \mathcal{I}\right)}$ $p\left(\mathcal{S}_{BEB}|I\right) = \mathcal{O}_{EB} \times p_{BG} \times p_{eclipse} \times p_{detect}$



BACKGROUND PROBABILITY Contaminants that can mimic a 1Re planet

50k targets = 3M contaminants (Marchiori PhD's thesis, based on Gaia DR2)

For most targets: $p_{BG} \approx 1$



 ${\cal O}_{EB}=33\pm2\%$ (Raghavan et al., 2010)





THE A-PRIORI FALSE-PO $FPP_{(a \ priori)}^{-1}$ =

$p(\mathcal{S}_{BEB}|I) = \mathcal{O}_{EB} \times p_{BG} \times$

${\cal O}_{EB}=33\pm2\%\,$ (Raghavan et al., 2010)

SITIVE PROBABILITY (II BIS)
=
$$\sum_{i} \frac{p(S_{FP_i} | \mathcal{I})}{p(S_{planet} | \mathcal{I})}$$

 $\propto p_{eclipse} \times p_{detect} \times (1 - p_{reje})$

 $p\left(\mathcal{S}_{BEB}|I\right) = \mathcal{O}_{EB} \times p_{BG} \times (1 - p_{reject}) \times \int_{a.R1.R2.e} \frac{\left(R_1 \pm R_2\right)}{a\left(1 - e^2\right)} f_{detect}\left(SNR\right) \partial a \partial R_1 \partial R_2 \partial e$





THE A-PRIORI FALSE-PO $FPP_{(a \ priori)}^{-1}$

$p\left(\mathcal{S}_{BEB}|I\right) = \mathcal{O}_{EB} \times p_{BG} \times$

$\mathcal{O}_{EB} = 33 \pm 2\%$ (Raghavan et al., 2010)

 $p\left(\mathcal{S}_{BEB}|I\right) = \mathcal{O}_{EB} \times p_{BG} \times \left(1 - p_{reject}\right) \times$

SNR =

$$\begin{aligned} & = \sum_{i} \frac{p\left(\mathcal{S}_{FP_{i}} | \mathcal{I}\right)}{p\left(\mathcal{S}_{planet} | \mathcal{I}\right)} \\ & \propto p_{eclipse} \times p_{detect} \times (1 - p_{reje}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{a,R1,R2,e} \frac{(R_1 \pm R_2)}{a(1-e^2)} f_{detect} (SNR) \,\partial a \partial R_1 \partial I$$

$$\frac{\delta(R_1, R_2)}{\sigma} \times \frac{f_{BEB}^{\Pi}(P)}{f_{tot}^{\Pi}(P)} \times \sqrt{N_t(a, e, R_1, P)}$$



SENSITIVITY TO REJECT FALSE POSITIVES (P5)

Faint CoB signal



Same contamination but different FPP

Large CoB signal





THE A PRIORI FALSE-POSITIVE PROBABILITY (III)

$p\left(\mathcal{S}_{BEB}|I\right) = \mathcal{O}_{EB} \times p_{BG} \times p_{eclipse} \times p_{detect} \times (1 - p_{reject})$



THE A PRIORI FALSE-POSITIVE PROBABLITY (III) $p\left(\mathcal{S}_{BEB}|I\right) = \mathcal{O}_{EB} \times p_{BG} \times p_{eclipse} \times p_{detect} \times (1 - p_{reject})$

Preject depends on the method used:

- Center of Brightness (CoB = centroids)
- Double Aperture Photometry

Need to be investigated...







CONCLUSIONS / TAKE-HOME MESSAGES

- For every PLATO potential target, we can compute its a-priori FPP
- *a-priori* FPP is more informative than contamination to select targets, which is specially important for the P5 sample
- Need to work on at the target-by-target level
- Gaia has all what we need (even if distance >1"), forget galactic models
- PIC should include all known objects within (and close) to the PLATO fields
- a-priori FPP will then be used by the WP366 for planet validation / ranking to feed FUp (cf Stephane's talks tomorrow) + the occurrence rate analysis of the P5 sample

A FEW KEPLER EXAMPLES ...

- During Q0 + Q1, an unknown EB was used as guide star all stars have systematics during the transit events !
- Ground-based (bright) EB mimicking KOIs (cf Coughlin et al. 2014)



Coughlin et al. 2014

