On the center-to-limb variation of radio brightness at millimeter wavelengths Valery Nagnibeda Nikolay Topchilo Maria Loukitcheva

Aim: To study distribution of radio brightness over the solar disk and radio radius at mm wavelengths

• Model:

3D R-MHD simulations of the Oslo group: http://sdc.uio.no/search/simulations ENW -24 \times 24 \times 17 Mm³, two opposite polarities, mimics ENW CH -24 \times 24 \times 17 Mm³, no large-scale MF, mimics CH

• Data: compilation of historical and recent measurements, including ALMA (Alissandrakis et al. 2017, Selhorst et al. 2019) Why is it important to study solar CLV and radius at submm/mm?

- Mm radiation probes chromospheric conditions, diff. heights with diff. A. Moving toward the limb is similar to observing at longer A at the disk center

$$T_b(\lambda, \mu) = T_b\left(\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\mu}}, 1\right)$$

- Measuring CLV we gain information about the vertical structure of the solar chromopshere

- Measuring Radius - we gain information about the heights above photosphere where mm radiation is generated

-Both provide valuable tools for atmospheric modeling



JCMT obs, Lindsey et al. 1995

Types of model atmospheres from the 3D cubes: 1) 1-component plane-parallel: 1D height profiles of Te, Ne 2) inhomogeneous : 2.5D cuts through the 3D model cube, repeated multiple times to fill the solar disk





September 8, 2021

ESPM-16

CLV from inhomogeneous models

- fine structure in Tb distribution, affects evaluation of limb brightnening and radius
- Smoothing is required, but we are averaging over inhomogeneities, limb: 2" at 3mm (ALMA cy4)

Results:

- no significant CLV is seen up to 0.95Rsun
- no abrupt (>100%) limb brightneting, values are smaller than for plane-parallel models
- Similar results for ENW and CH, plus CLV for CH shows bigger Tb amplitudes
 September 8, 2021
 ESPM-16



Results: Radio radius for ENW model

- At mm wavelengths the excess over the optical radius is in the range of (3-6)" for inhomogeneous models (red) and (2-3)" for plane-parallel (blue)
- The full range of calculated R values is shown with black dotted lines
- CH values are smaller ~ (2-3)"



Comparison with observations

The full range of calculated R values is shown with black dotted lines

- Historical R values are significantly higher: (5-12)"
- Recent ALMA observations

 (Alissandrakis et al. 2017,
 Selhorst et al. 2017) are in
 agreement with the results of this
 work
- Recent RT-13 eclipse observations at 10mm are in agreement with the results of this work



September 8, 2021

Comparison with observations (cont.)

Possible reasons for discrepancy between historical observations and modeling results:

- Historical measurements are highly inhomogeneous (different instruments, different methods, dependence of results on the solar cycle phase)
- The model is still far from being realistic

The future is in high-resolution measurements with ALMA, which are able to provide separate estimates for various chromospheric structures, network and internetwork (ref. the talk by Prof. Alissandrakis)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS The work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research grant 18-29-21016 September 8, 2021 ESPM-16