









# Medium-term predictions of F10.7 and F30 cm solar radio flux with the adaptive Kalman filter

Tatiana Podladchikova<sup>1</sup>, Elena Petrova<sup>1,2</sup>, Astrid Veronig<sup>3,4</sup>, Stijn Lemmens<sup>5</sup>, Benjamin Bastida Virgili<sup>6</sup>, Tim Flohrer<sup>5</sup>



Radio Emissions from the Sun: ONline ANalytical Computer-aided Estimator

9 September 2021, ESPM-16 t.podladchikova@skoltech.ru

1-24 months ahead

- 1. Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology, Moscow, Russia
- 2. Centre for Mathematical Plasma Astrophysics, KU Leuven, Belgium
- 3. Institute of Physics, University of Graz, Austria

- 4. Kanzelhöhe Observatory for Solar and Environmental Research, Austria
- 5. ESA/ESOC, Germany
- 6. IMS GmbH@ESA, Germany





**F10.7** and **F30 cm** radio flux **are required** by most models characterizing the state of the upper Earth's atmosphere, such as the thermosphere and ionosphere in order to specify **satellite orbits**, **re-entry services, collision avoidance maneuvers** and modeling of **space debris evolution**.



Properties of Earth's atmosphere, NASA

density variations

Major source of thermosphere

Change of density

Atmospheric drag change

Bastida Virgili, 2017

Atmosphere models in use:

NRLMSIS-00, DTM-2013, GOST-2004

#### Decay and following re-entry











 Smoothing of monthly mean data using optimized smoothing technique (Podladchikova et. all, 2017)

 Reconstruction of F10.7 and F30 using sunspot numbers and 3d order linear regression

F30 cm radio flux: Toyokawa & Nobeyama Observatories, Japan F10.7 cm radio flux: Ottawa and Pentincton Observatory, Canada  $1 \text{ sfu} = 10^{-22} \text{ Wm}^{-2} \text{Hz}^{-1}$ Tapping, 2013; Dudok de Wit and Bruinsma, 2017

# Radio flux predictions with McNish-Lincoln method



**Mean cycle** – average of all cycles smoothed solar radio flux aligned on the month of the minimum



RESONANCE

McNish and Lincoln, 1949

# Improvement of initial McNish-Lincoln predictions with the adaptive Kalman filter



We remove the drawback of a 6-month delay

Main idea

To assimilate the monthly mean radio flux data available over the last 6-month period and estimate the smoothed radio flux at the current time.

Apply McNish-Lincoln method again, but use the **estimated smoothed radio flux at the current time** as the starting point for the predictions.

The improvements in prediction accuracy with application of the McNish–Lincoln + Kalman filter reach36% for F10.7 and 39% for F30.

The **RMS error of predictions** with lead times from 1 to 24 months is **5–27 solar flux units (sfu)** for the F10.7 and **3–16 sfu for F30**.



### Performance results for solar cycle 24



#### Heat map:

F10.7 predictions 12 months ahead

## >0: RESONANCE outperforms<0: SOLMAG outperforms</li>



**SOLMAG** – short and long-term solar and geomagnetic activity prediction developed and currently employed by ESA Mugellesi-Dow et al. 1993; Bastida Virgili et al. 2014

#### Root-mean square error of prediction 1-24 month ahead (in sfu)



**RESONANCE** (M&L+KF) statistically outperforms the ESA SOLMAG method by 15.5-66.5%.

#### Re-entry predictions with past ESA re-entry campaigns RESONANCE $\checkmark$ 602 payloads and rocket bodies The objects re-entered over 2006-2019, (1635 predictions) covering the period over **the full solar cycle**. ✓ 2344 objects of space debris (9584 predictions) Recovered space debris, ESA Predicted F10.7 Predicted F10.7 Actual F10.7 cm RESONANCE SOLMAG **Re-entry prediction tool** (orbit propagations) Predicted epoch VS Real epoch ✓ On average **2 small tracked objects** ( < 1 m) re-enter the Earth atmosphere and burn up every day. **Relative prediction** $\checkmark$ Objects of moderate size ( > 1 m) – once a week. error

✓ Large objects (heavy science satellites) few times in a year.

# Systematic evaluation of re-entry predictions using actual F10.7 as an input

Positive/negative errors show cases of the re-entry observed earlier (later) than its prediction.

RESONANCE



**16%** of cases have the absolute error of re-entry prediction more than **365 days** 

**61%** of cases have the absolute error of re-entry prediction more than **365 days** 

### Comparison of re-entry predictions using predicted F10.7 as an input



# Fraction of all the forecasts, where RESONANCE (M&L+KF) outperforms SOLMAG outperforms non-advantageous



**RESONANCE** (M&L+KF) provides a larger fraction of more accurate re-entry forecast compared to the SOLMAG method.

Majority of predicted re-entries are observed at the second half of the cycle

Our approach provides a larger fraction of more accurate re-entry forecast compared to the SOLMAG method for the prediction lead times 1-11 months ahead.

Majority of predicted re-entries are observed at the first half of the cycle

# Example of re-entry predictions for three rocket bodies



RESONANCE

#### Summary

THANK YOU!



- ✓ **RESONANCE** statistically **outperforms** the currently used ESA SOLMAG method by 15.5-66.5% and in general leads to improvements of re-entry predictions, and thus can be recommended for the real-time implementation. ✓ The proposed approach based on **the Kalman filter** is **universal** and can be applied to improve the initial
  - predictions of a process under study provided by any other forecasting method.
  - ✓ **Re-entry predictions** with **F30** as an input could be more accurate, as **F30** may be a **better proxy** for the atmosphere modeling (Dudok de Wit et al. 2014), and it is better predictable than F10.7.
- ✓ **The further improvement** of the re-entry forecast should include both refinements of the atmospheric models and solar activity predictions.

Petrova E., T. Podladchikova T., A. Veronig, S. Lemmens, B. Bastida Virgili, T. Flohrer (2021), Medium-term predictions of F10.7 and F30 cm solar radio flux with the adaptive Kalman filter, The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series, 254,9, <u>10.3847/1538-4365/abef6d</u>.