# Magnetic flux emergence and the solar dynamo

# Laurène Jouve IRAP Toulouse





ESPM, September 2021

## Magnetic flux emergence Large variety of spatial and temporal scales

Flux emergence: appearance of new magnetic field concentrations at the solar surface



Archontis et al. 2012



#### Focus in this presentation on large-scale flux emergence

## Magnetic flux emergence Complexity and role in flaring activity

#### Flux emergence observed at different wavelengths (Georgoulis et al. 2004, Schmieder et al. 2004)



Complex active regions with mixed helicity (Chandra et al, 2010)



NOAA AR 11158 Hinode/SOT Calintensity 13-Feb-2011 17:55 UT



Flaring AR (Toriumi et al. 2013)



(Van-Driel & Green 2015)

Region	Magnetic flux (Mx)	Lifetime	Rise/Lifetime
Large (with sunspots) Small (pores, no spots) Ephemeral	$egin{array}{rcl} 5   imes  10^{21}  - 3   imes  10^{22} \ 1   imes  10^{20}  - 5   imes  10^{21} \ 3   imes  10^{18}  - 1   imes  10^{20} \end{array}$	weeks – months $days - weeks$ hours – $\approx 1 day$	$egin{array}{cccc} 1 & -3\% \ 15{-}27\% \ \sim 30\% \end{array}$

#### Where does this emerging flux come from?

### Some key questions:

How are (complex) active regions formed?

What is the role of magnetic helicity?

What is the role of emerging flux in flaring activity?

## Magnetic flux emergence: Link with the solar cycle



Role of the tachocline in building flux concentrations?

Some key questions:

Transport of flux at the surface to reverse polar fields?

**Role of sunspots in dynamo process?** 

**Origin of twist/tilt?** 

## Magnetic flux emergence A diversity of numerical models

Need to rely on numerical models for emergence below photosphere (not observedexcept with helioseismology?-) and for coupling with emergence in chromosphere and corona (for physical understanding of numerous phenomena)

- Various approximations for fluxtubes introduced in the CZ:
  - Thin flux tubes: all the variables only vary along the tube axis (Spruit, 1981)
  - 2D MHD simulations: local or global (e.g. Emonet & Moreno-Insertis, 1998)
  - 3D MHD simulations (anelastic): with or without convection (e.g. Fan et al., 2003, Fan 2008, Jouve & Brun 2009)
  - 3D HD simulations + thin flux tubes: (Weber et al. 2011)





- Global convective dynamo simulations (anelastic): Nelson et al. (2011, 2014), Fan & Fang 2014
  - Flux « bundles » self-consistently created but lack of coherent rising structures

## Magnetic flux emergence A diversity of numerical models

### In the photosphere

To understand how emergence proceeds when density drops, interaction with granulation

To understand formation, maintenance and decay of sunspots

Fully compressible models Radiative transfer

(Martinez-Sykora et al. 2008, Moreno-Insertis et al. 2008)







In the chromosphere and corona

To understand interactions with ambient coronal field, triggering of eruptions, to produce synthetic data

Fully compressible models Radiative transfer Huge variations of plasma beta, temperature, density

## 3D MHD models Evolution inside the convection zone



#### Non convective case







Movies created with SDvision@CEA

Jouve, Brun & Aulanier, 2013

## 3D MHD models Evolution inside the convection zone



• Asymmetry between trailing and leading legs

1.5e+04 G

- Relationship between tilt and twist
- Magnetic tongues





Luoni et al. 2011

### • Dependence of tilt, rise velocity, longitude of emergence on latitude



r=0.87R









## Formation of complex active regions Interactions with other magnetic structures

• Simulations of interacting loops to produce complex active regions



# Formation of active regions Connections with above? Coupling models?

- Emergence at photosphere may involve very complex phenomena with observational evidence
- A way to model emergence from deep CZ to photosphere (and above) could be to couple models





 Full CZ (tachocline to photosphere) calculations start to be feasible? Hotta et al. 2020 + Whole Sun Project (ERC Synergy grant: http://wholesun.eu/)

# Role in the dynamo mechanism

 3D models produce magnetic cycles without producing spots and meridional circulation does not seem to set up the cycle period (Brown et al. 2011, Ghizaru et al. 2010, Nelson et al. 2013, Käpylä et al. 2013, Augustson et al. 2015, Hotta et al. 2016)



 Strong concentrations of toroidal field can still be built but buoyant structures do not make it to the top to produce spots!
(a) t = 680 days
(b) t = 680 days





# Role in the dynamo mechanism

 Mean-field dynamo models + 3D flux emergence and spot formation (Yeates & Munoz Jaramillo 2013, Miesch & Dikpati 2014, Miesch & Teweldebirhan 2016, Hazra et al. 2017, 2018, Kumar et al. 2018)







Kumar, Jouve, Pinto & Rouillard , 2018

Kumar, Jouve & Nandy, 2019

### Self-consistent butterfly diagrams

# Conclusions

### Main results

MHD anelastic models adapted to bulk of CZ enable to emphasize:

- importance of twist in rise of flux tubes / the transport of magnetic helicity
- interactions between convective flows and rising flux structures
- interactions between magnetic flux systems to create complex active regions

Fully compressible models with radiative transfer enable to study further evolution in photosphere/chromosphere/corona:

- mechanism of emergence at solar surface
- origin of eruptive phenomena
- mechanisms of coronal heating

### • Remaining questions

Connections between models to follow the whole evolution ? Connections between small-scale and large-scale emergence ? Predictions of flares ? Importance of sunspots in the whole dynamo mechanism ?

> Living reviews in Solar Physics by Fan (2004), Cheung & Isobe (2014), Van-Driel & Green (2015), Toriumi & Wang (2019)