

Study of young clusters at INAF in perspective of future multi-object spectrographs

> *KATIA BIAZZO* INAF – OACatania

collaborators Nisini, Antoniucci, Giannini (OARoma) Alcalá (OACapodimonte), Manara (ESO) Fedele, Randich, Sacco (OAArcetri) Frasca (OACatania) & the JEDI and GES teams







THE CONTEXT

Study of the formation and evolution of young clusters

Main open issues:

□ What is the origin of clusters and their dissolution (e.g., Bravi et al. 2018, and ref therein)

- Is star formation a fast/dynamic process or slow contraction
- Disk accretion evolution as a function of mass and metallicity (e.g., Alcala et. al 2017; De Marchi et al. 2017, and ref therein)

EXPERTISE

JEts and Disks @ INAF (JEDI)

- □ Star-forming regions in the solar vicinity
- Single-objects spectroscopy
- Medium/high resolution (X-shooter survey
 - + GIARPS science verification/pilot

programme)

☐ From near-UV to NIR



Gaia-ESO Survey (GES)

(talk by Germano Sacco)

- Young clusters at different ages and distances
- Multi-objects spectroscopy
- Medium/high resolution (Giraffe+UVES)
- Optical range



- Photospheric lines: Stellar Parameters, Veiling,
 Abundances, ...
- \diamond UV-optical continuum excess emission: Accretion shock
- Excess (permitted) line emission (H, He, Ca, Fe, Ti, Na, ...):
 Accretion flows (+Winds) & Chromospheric Activity
- ♦ Forbidden lines ([FeII], [OI], [SII], ...): Jets (+Winds)
- NIR excess + Molecular lines (H₂, CO, ...): Inner disk,
 Molecular Jets





STELLAR PARAMETERS Characterizing young stellar objects





DISK ACCRETION PARAMETERS Bimodal M_{acc}-M_{*} relationship?





ELEMENTAL ABUNDANCES



Shallower metallicity gradient at younger ages?

Spina, Randich et al. (2017) UVES+Giraffe [Fe/H]-R_{gal}



To be confirmed observing other SFRs and YOCs at different distances

Science with multi-object spectrographs: perspectives and opportunities for the Italian community

Similar results were found by B, Randich et al. (2011a,2011b)	Cluster	Age (Mage)	R gal (kpc)	[Fe/H] (dex)
	NGC 6530	1-2	(ixpc) 6.50	-0.04
	Carina	1-3	7.64	-0.03
	ρ Oph	2-3	7.88	-0.08
	Chamaeleon I	2-5	7.93	-0.07
	NGC 2264	1-3	8.70	-0.06
	IC 4665	30	7.71	0.00
	IC 2602	30	7.95	-0.02
	IC 2391	55	8.00	-0.03
	NGC 2547	35	8.04	-0.01
	y Velorum	10-20	8.05	-0.03
	NGC 2451A	50-80	8.06	-0.05
	NGC 2451B	50	8.12	-0.01
	Berkeley 81	860	5.49	0.22
	NGC 6005	1200	6.00	0.16
	Trumpler 23	800	6.25	0.14
	NGC 6705	300	6.34	0.08
	Pismis 18	1200	6.85	0.11
	Trumpler 20	1500	6.86	0.12
	Berkeley 44	2900	6.91	0.18
	NGC 4815	570	6.94	-0.03
	NGC 6802	1000	6.96	0.10
	NGC 6633	630	7.71	-0.06
	NGC 3532	300	7.85	-0.03
	NGC 2516	163	7.98	-0.08

Katia Biazzo

KINEMATICS AND DINAMICS Different velocity dispersion?







FUTURE PERSPECTIVES WITH MOONS

Thanks to the capabilities of **MOONS**, we aim to characterize very **low-mass YOs** (in **embedded clusters**) in terms of:

- □ kinematics
- □ stellar parameters (+abundances)
- □ accretion (+internal disk)
- □ chromospheric activity



- **CLUSTERS** properties
- □ Age (1-10 Myr)
- Distance (150-4500 pc)
- Dimension
- Density

Spitzer

SSWASS MASS

- □ Metallicity
- Number of sources
- Stellar mass



- □ ~40x40 arcmin² cluster in Perseus
- □ ~300 pc
- □ low-mass star formation
- □ ~2-3 Myr
- □ ideal for MOONS (nearby, rich)
- \Box if H^{lim}_{AB} = 18.5 mag \rightarrow 0.015 M_{\odot}
- □ 3000 sources \rightarrow 1200 PMS candidates















CHROMOSPHERIC ACTIVITY AND LITHIUM

