





# The intrinsic fraction of type 2 AGN A. Corral, I. Ordovás-Pascual, S. Mateos, F.J. Carrera

Most AGN studies find that the obscured AGN fraction decreases as the luminosity increases. This is usually explained by invoking receding torus models. However, recent results for the intrinsic type 2 fraction based on a complete hard X-ray selected sample (BUXS: Bright Ultrahard XMM-Newton Survey) showed little to no luminosity dependence, and uncovered a population of hidden luminous Compton-thick AGN (Mateos+17).

We furthered this analysis by applying a fully Bayesian approach to derive the distribution of column densities ( $N_{\mu}$ ) for the 252 AGN with spectroscopic redshifts within BUXS. For a well-defined sub-sample of type 1 AGN at z=0.05-1, we compared these results to the ones obtained for the optical obscuration. We fitted the optical spectra to classify the sources in types (1.0-1.9), based on emission line ratios, and to measure the optical-UV continuum obscuration  $(A_{,,})$ .

# **BUXS: Bright Ultrahard XMM-Newton Survey**

#### X-ray spectral fits

Flux limited sample (Mateos+12): > 6x10<sup>-14</sup> erg cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> ► 4.5-10 keV **252 non-BL Lac AGN** with spectroscopic redshifts (99%) spectroscopic identification rate):

+ 172 optical type 1 AGN x 80 optical type 2 AGN



# **Type 1 sub-sample**

Intermediate type classification depends on redshift, luminosity and wavelength coverage:

 $\log(L_x/erg s^{-1})>42 z=0.05-1 \rightarrow 132 type 1 AGN$ 

Bayes+MCMC to derive probability distributions for type 1 and type 2 AGN:







Optical classification/X-ray obscuration "mismatches": 10-20%

### X-ray obscuration vs type 1 optical spectral types



| Type | Type | Type | Type | Type | Type 1 |
|------|------|------|------|------|--------|
| 1.0  | 1.2  | 1.5  | 1.8  | 1.9  | (Mg)   |
| 35   | 36   | 20   | 7    | 13   | 21     |

For type-1.0/2/5/8 classification complete in z<0.65, for type-1.9 in z<0.2



### **Optical extinction vs X-ray obscuration**



# **Optical extinction vs type 1 optical spectral types**



Clear tendency towards increasing  $A_v$  and  $N_H$  from 1.0 to 1.9 objects The 1.0-1.2-1.5 and 1.8-1.9 subsets are statistically different  $\rightarrow$ different families, different sources/location of obscuration?

# **Balmer decrement**



In those cases in which we can constrain both  $N_{\mu}$  and  $A_{\nu}$ , the values are **not** preferentially distributed above or below the Galactic gas-to-dust ratio.



Substantial dispersion  $\rightarrow$ this ratio should be taken with extreme caution, if not discarded as an obscuration measurement altogether.

Work in progress: To derive the intrinsic fraction of obscured AGN using the full  $N_{\mu}$  probability distribution.