# **Comparison of spectral models for disc truncation** in the hard state of GX 339-4

## Marta A. Dziełak<sup>1</sup> (mdzielak@camk.edu.pl), Andrzej A. Zdziarski<sup>1</sup> (aaz@camk.edu.pl), Michał Szanecki<sup>1</sup> (mitsza@camk.edu.pl), **Barbara De Marco<sup>1</sup>, Andrzej Niedźwiecki<sup>2</sup>, Alex Markowitz<sup>1,3</sup>**

1) Nicolaus Copernicus Astronomical Center, Polish Academy of Sciences, Bartycka 18, 00-716 Warszawa, Poland 2) Departament of Astrophysics, Łódź University, Pomorska 149/153, 90-236 Łódź, Poland

3) University of California, San Diego, Center for Astrophysics and Space Sciences, 9500 Gilman Dr, La Jolla, CA 92093-0424, USA

## Abstract

We probe models of disc truncation in the hard spectral state of an outburst of the X-ray transient GX 339-4. We test a large number of different models of disc reflection and its relativistic broadening, using two independent sets of codes. We apply it to a Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer spectrum in the rising part of the hard state. Our statistically best model has a physical thermal Comptonization primary continuum, requires the disc to be truncated at a radius larger than that of > 2 ISCO, and predicts a disc inclination in agreement with that of the binary. Our results has been published in Dziełak et. al., 2019, MNRAS, 485, 3845.



## Introduction

The Shakura & Sunyaev (1973) model of accretion onto black holes predicts formation of a optically-thick disc extending down to the radius of the innermost stable circular orbit, R<sub>ISCO</sub>. It explains well soft states of accreting systems. However, it cannot explain states

with dominant hard Xray radiation (Done, Gierliński & Kubota 2007). That radiation has to be emitted by hot plasma, some which location remains not well understood.



## Data

We have analysed the PCA (RXTE) observations of GX 339-4 (Fig. 2). We have combined spectra with similar flux and hardness. We have chosen the 2010/11 outburst, since it has the best coverage of the rising part of the hard state (Fig. 3).

We applied the correction to the effective area of García et al. (2014A), <u>pcacorr</u>.

Our results are based on a single spectrum (with  $10^7$  counts).

## Models

Using the X-Ray Spectral Fitting Package (xspec - Arnaud 1996) we probe models of disc truncation (reflection and relativistic broadening) using two independent sets of codes relxill (Garcia, et al. 2014B) and reflkerr (Niedźwiecki, Szanecki & Zdziarski, 2019 - https://users.camk.edu.pl/mitsza/reflkerr).

The spectral fitting results for our models applied to average spectrum are presented in table 1.

**Model 0:** [relxill(free  $Z_{Fe}$ )+xillver( $Z_{Fe}$ =1)]gabs;  $\leftarrow$  two different iron abundances Models 1 and 2: relxill + xillver;

**Model 3:** relxillD + xillverD;

**Models 4 and 5:** reflkerrExp + hreflectExp;

**Model 6:** reflkerr + hreflect.

← one iron abundance

- ← reflection from high density disc
  - ← incident e-folded power law

incident thermal Comptonization

## **Discussion of results**

Our main finding is that analysed spectra can be fitted with similar quality with models (with different physical assumptions) allowing significantly different disc truncation radii.

Still, all of the fitted models prefer the R<sub>in</sub> much larger than R<sub>ISCO</sub> at their best-fit values.

#### Model 0

That model keeps original assumptions from García et al. 2015 about two different iron abundances in inner and outer disc. This model requires the presence of a 7.2 keV absorption line and a high value of the  $Z_{fe}$ .

#### Single Fe abundance

We find  $R_{in} > \sim 6 R_{ISCO}$ . The models no longer require the 7.2 keV absorption line and their fitted Fe abundances a relatively moderate,  $Z_{Fe} > \sim 2$ .

### Model 6

Our statistically best model has a physical thermal-Comptonization primary continuum. The best fit value of the truncation radius is several tens of R<sub>ISCO</sub>.

This model also has the best-fit inclination, in good agreement with

		relxill				reflkerr		
-	Parameter/Model	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
-	$N_{\rm H}/10^{21}~{\rm cm}^{-2}$	$5.2^{+1.8}_{-1.2}$	$4.7^{+1.5}_{-0.3}$	$6.5^{+1.3}_{-1.7}$	$6.1^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$	$4.4^{+1.9}_{-0.4}$	$6.4^{+0.8}_{-1.1}$	$4.3^{+0.5}_{-0.3}$
	Γ	$1.70\substack{+0.07\\-0.04}$	$1.66^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$	$1.72^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$	$1.70\substack{+0.01 \\ -0.05}$	$1.66^{+0.06}_{-0.02}$	$1.72\substack{+0.03 \\ -0.01}$	_
	У	_	_	_	_	_	_	$1.19\substack{+0.05 \\ -0.08}$
	$E_{\rm cut}/{\rm keV}$	$200^{+130}_{-50}$	$250^{+50}_{-20}$	$300^{+80}_{-50}$	300f	$240^{+50}_{-50}$	$280^{+50}_{-20}$	_
inner ra	dij $kT_{\rm e}/1$ keV	—	—	—	—	—	—	$20^{+3}_{-2}$
	$R_{\rm in}/R_{\rm ISCO}$	$11^{+10}_{-10}$	$19^{+33}_{-6}$	$53^{+\infty}_{-26}$	$55^{+\infty}_{-34}$	$15^{+31}_{-12}$	$58^{+\infty}_{-28}$	$47^{+\infty}_{-45}$
iron	$Z_{\mathrm{Fe}}$	$8.1^{+1.9}_{-5.5}$	$3.1^{+2.0}_{-0.3}$	$2.4^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$	$4.9^{+4.1}_{-0.9}$	$3.9^{+0.8}_{-1.4}$	$2.6^{+0.6}_{-0.4}$	$3.3^{+1.7}_{-1.0}$
abunda	nce i[°]	$29^{+31}_{-29}$	$3^{+33}_{-3}$	$43^{+17}_{-23}$	$3^{+43}_{-3}$	$9^{+32}_{-9}$	$43^{+21}_{-19}$	$49^{+34}_{-26}$
	$\mathcal{R}$ (inner)	$0.059\substack{+0.001\\-0.001}$	$0.170\substack{+0.004\\-0.005}$	$0.144^{+0.004}_{-0.003}$	$0.059\substack{+0.033\\-0.006}$	$0.25\substack{+0.04 \\ -0.19}$	$0.35\substack{+0.06 \\ -0.12}$	$0.42^{+0.36}_{-0.12}$
	$\log_{10} \xi$ (inner)	$3.7^{+0.2}_{-0.5}$	$3.9^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$0.0^{+2.3}$	$3.7^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$3.9^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$1.7^{+0.7}_{-1.7}$	$3.9^{+0.1}_{-0.3}$
	$\log_{10} \xi$ (outer)	Of	$1.7^{+0.5}_{-1.7}$	$3.8^{+0.2}_{-0.3}$	$0.7^{+1.0}_{-0.3}$	$2.0^{+0.3}_{-0.4}$	$3.7^{+0.1}_{-0.3}$	Of
	$n_{\rm e}/1{\rm cm}^{-3}$	$10^{15} f$	$10^{15} f$	$10^{15} f$	$10^{19} f$	$10^{15} f$	$10^{15} f$	$10^{15} f$
	$\delta(gabs)$	$0.011\substack{+0.011\\-0.009}$	—	_	_	_	_	_
	$kT_{\rm bb}/1{\rm keV}$	—	—	—	—	—	—	$0.34_{-0.09}^{+0.04}$
	$\chi^2_{\nu}$	65.6/61	68.7/61	68.3/61	72.4/62	69.1/61	69.2/61	62.1/61
	$p_i$ (AIC)	0.136	0.007	0.008	0.018	0.024	0.023	0.784
-	$f_{\rm sc}$	0+0.27	0+0.29	0 <sup>+0.31</sup>	0+0.12	0+0.26	0+0.79	$0.30^{+0.15}_{-0.30}$
	Table 1: The spectral fitting results for our models applied to average spectrum							

the binary inclination (Heida et al. 2017).

#### **Comptonization of reflected emission**

For all of our models, we have also taken into account Comptonization of the reflected component. However, we have found this effect to be minor.

#### **Reflector density**

Using the model of García et al. (2016) with  $n_e = 10^{19}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> (#3 in Tab. 1), we have found an increase of both the truncation radius and the Fe abundance. The found increase of  $Z_{Fe}$  is surprising, given results of Tomsick et al. (2018) – who proposed high density as solution for high iron abundances.

#### **Ionization of reflectors**

Data allow for ionization parameters interchange. This effect is due to the relatively modest relativistic effects in the inner reflector. So our fits can not distinguish between inner and outer disc.



#### Figure 4:

Left - The histogram shows the unfolded spectrum (model 6): thermal Comptonization (dashed curve) and two reflectors, an inner highly ionized one (dot-dashed curve), and an outer weakly ionized one (triple dot-dashed curve). The solid curve gives the total model. Right - The contributions to  $\chi^2$  of the model **#6**.