From neutron stars to supermassive black holes: the gravitational wave view of compact binaries

Alberto Sesana

(Universita` di Milano Bicocca)

UNIVERSITA' DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO BICOCCA

EPTA

NASA esa LISA

INTERNATIONAL PULSAR TIMING ARRAY IPTA

Habemus GWs!

We see black hole binaries (BHBs) coalescing for the first time (several Abbott+ 2016 2017)









-First tests of GR in the strong field regime
-Interesting astrophysical information (masses, spins)
→ Formation scenario?

Habemus GWs!

We've seen a merging neutron star (NS) binary GW170817 (Abbott+ 2017 2018)



- -Confirm GRB – BNS merger connection
- -Kilonova from radioactive decay
- -Heavy element production
- -Structured jet launching and emergence

(Kawaguchi+18, Mooley+19, Ghirlanda+19, Fong+19, ….)









Constraints on the NS EoS from tidal deformability

(Abbott+18, ...)

First GW measurement of the Hubble constant



(Abbott+18, Hotokezaka+18...)

And more are coming...

gracedb.ligo.org/latest/

Test and MDC events and superevents are not included in the search results by default; see the query help for information on how to search for events and superevents in those categories.

Query:

Search for: Superevent

Search

UID	Labels	t_start	t_0	t_end	FAR (Hz)	UTC Created
S190901ap	PE_READY ADVOK SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1251415878.837767	1251415879.837767	1251415880.838844	7.027e-09	2019-09-01 23:31:24 UTC
S190829u	PE_READY ADVNO SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1251147973.281494	1251147974.283940	1251147975.283940	5.151e-09	2019-08-29 21:06:19 UTC
S190828l	PE_READY ADVOK SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1251010526.884921	1251010527.886557	1251010528.913573	4.629e-11	2019-08-28 06:55:26 UTC
S190828j	PE_READY ADVOK SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1251009262.739486	1251009263.756472	1251009264.796332	8.474e-22	2019-08-28 06:34:21 UTC
S190822c	ADVNO SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1250472616.589125	1250472617.589203	1250472618.589203	6.145e-18	2019-08-22 01:30:23 UTC
S190816i	PE_READY ADVNO SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1249995888.757789	1249995889.757789	1249995890.757789	1.436e-08	2019-08-16 13:05:12 UTC
S190814bv	PE_READY ADVOK SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1249852255.996787	1249852257.012957	1249852258.021731	2.033e-33	2019-08-14 21:11:18 UTC
S190808ae	ADVNO SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1249338098.496141	1249338099.496141	1249338100.496141	3.366e-08	2019-08-08 22:21:45 UTC
S190728q	PE_READY ADVOK SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1248331527.497344	1248331528.546797	1248331529.706055	2.527e-23	2019-07-28 06:45:27 UTC
S190727h	PE_READY ADVOK SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1248242630.976288	1248242631.985887	1248242633.180176	1.378e-10	2019-07-27 06:03:51 UTC
S190720a	PE_READY ADVOK SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1247616533.703127	1247616534.704102	1247616535.860840	3.801e-09	2019-07-20 00:08:53 UTC
S190718y	ADVOK SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1247495729.067865	1247495730.067865	1247495731.067865	3.648e-08	2019-07-18 14:35:34 UTC
S190707q	PE_READY ADVOK SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1246527223.118398	1246527224.181226	1246527225.284180	5.265e-12	2019-07-07 09:33:44 UTC
S190706ai	PE_READY ADVOK SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1246487218.321541	1246487219.344727	1246487220.585938	1.901e-09	2019-07-06 22:26:57 UTC
S190701ah	PE_READY ADVOK SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1246048403.576563	1246048404.577637	1246048405.814941	1.916e-08	2019-07-01 20:33:24 UTC
S190630ag	PE_READY ADVOK SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1245955942.175325	1245955943.179550	1245955944.183184	1.435e-13	2019-06-30 18:52:28 UTC
S190602aq	PE_READY ADVOK SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1243533584.081266	1243533585.089355	1243533586.346191	1.901e-09	2019-06-02 17:59:51 UTC
S190524q	ADVNO SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1242708743.678669	1242708744.678669	1242708746.133301	6.971e-09	2019-05-24 04:52:30 UTC
S190521r	PE_READY ADVOK SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1242459856.453418	1242459857.460739	1242459858.642090	3.168e-10	2019-05-21 07:44:22 UTC
S190521g	PE_READY ADVOK SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1242442966.447266	1242442967.606934	1242442968.888184	3.801e-09	2019-05-21 03:02:49 UTC
S190519bj	PE_READY ADVOK SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1242315361.378873	1242315362.655762	1242315363.676270	5.702e-09	2019-05-19 15:36:04 UTC
S190518bb	ADVNO SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1242242376.474609	1242242377.474609	1242242380.922655	1.004e-08	2019-05-18 19:19:39 UTC
S190517h	PE_READY ADVOK SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1242107478.819517	1242107479.994141	1242107480.994141	2.373e-09	2019-05-17 05:51:23 UTC
S190513bm	PE_READY ADVOK SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1241816085.736106	1241816086.869141	1241816087.869141	3.734e-13	2019-05-13 20:54:48 UTC
S190512at	PE_READY ADVOK SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1241719651.411441	1241719652.416286	1241719653.518066	1.901e-09	2019-05-12 18:07:42 UTC
S190510g	ADVOK SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1241492396.291636	1241492397.291636	1241492398.293185	8.834e-09	2019-05-10 03:00:03 UTC
S190503bf	PE_READY ADVOK SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1240944861.288574	1240944862.412598	1240944863.422852	1.636e-09	2019-05-03 18:54:26 UTC
S190426c	PE_READY ADVOK SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1240327332.331668	1240327333.348145	1240327334.353516	1.947e-08	2019-04-26 15:22:15 UTC
S190425z	ADVOK SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK	1240215502.011549	1240215503.011549	1240215504.018242	4.538e-13	2019-04-25 08:18:26 UTC
S190421ar	PE_READY ADVOK SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1239917953.250977	1239917954.409180	1239917955.409180	1.489e-08	2019-04-21 21:39:16 UTC
S190412m	PE_READY ADVOK SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1239082261.146717	1239082262.222168	1239082263.229492	1.683e-27	2019-04-12 05:31:03 UTC
S190408an	PE_READY ADVOK SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK GCN_PRELIM_SENT	1238782699.268296	1238782700.287958	1238782701.359863	2.811e-18	2019-04-08 18:18:27 UTC
S190405ar	ADVNO SKYMAP_READY EMBRIGHT_READY PASTRO_READY DQOK	1238515307.863646	1238515308.863646	1238515309.863646	2.141e-04	2019-04-05 16:01:56 UTC

More NS binaries. One NS-BH binary.

A BHB beyond the pair instability gap? Counterparts?

The parameter space of black holes



The parameter space of black holes




log (mass distribution)
SEEDS
SMBHs
pair instability gap
sBHs
GW150914
RGG118
SgR A*
J0100+2802
10^1 10^2 10^3 10^4 10^5 10^6 10^7 10^8 10^9 10^10 10^11
log (M●/M☉)



EPTA 2015
NANOGrav 2015
PPTA 2015
IPTA 2020+
SKA
$f \sim \frac{c}{2\pi R_s} \sim 10^4\,\mathrm{Hz}\frac{M_\odot}{M}$
LISA
aLIGO+
Virgo
characteristic amplitude
frequency [Hz]
10^{-12}
10^{-13}
10^{-14}
10^{-15}
10^{-16}
10^{-17}
10^{-18}
10^{-19}
10^{-20}
10^{-21}
10^{-22}
10^{-23}
10^{-9} 10^{-8} 10^{-7} 10^{-6} 10^{-5} 10^{-4} 10^{-3} 0.01 0.1 1 10 10^2 10^3 10^4


characteristic amplitude
EPTA 2015
NANOGrav 2015
PPTA 2015
IPTA 2020+
SKA
SMBHs
SEEDS
LISA
aLIGO+
Virgo
sBHs
pair instability gap
J0100+2802
Sgr A*
RGG118
GW150914
10^{-12}
10^{-13}
10^{-14}
10^{-15}
10^{-16}
10^{-17}
10^{-18}
10^{-19}
10^{-20}
10^{-21}
10^{-22}
10^{-23}
10^{11}
10^{10}
10^{9}
10^{8}
10^{7}
10^{6}
10^{5}
10^{4}
10^{3}
10^{2}
10^{1}

3G detectors: example reach of ET



- All LIGO/Virgo-like BHBs in the Universe up to z~20 (~10^5/yr)
- All neutron star binaries (NSBs) to z~2-3 (~10^4/yr)
- intermediate mass BHs (IMBHs) up to z~2 (???)
- SNe? Rotating NSs?



A glimpse of astrophysics:

Cosmic NSB BHB NS-BH merger rate

-NS-BH mass gap?

-Second mass gap?

-IMBH mass gap/desert?

-Astrophysical origin (eg field vs clusters)?

(DeMink+ Belczinsky+ Mandel+ Rasio+ Antonini+ Rodriguez+ Kocsis+ Naoz+ ….)

Nuclear physics with NS mergers

Constrain the equation of state of ultradense NS matter (Read+ Hinderer+ Del Pozzo+ ...)

Gravitational wave spectroscopy of merger remnants? (Rezzolla+, Bernuzzi+ Shibata+ ...)





Multim@#$%&!% astronomy

-short GRBs?

-X-ray isotropic?

-IR Kilonova?

-long term radio followup?

(Metzger & Berger 2012, Abbott+ 2017 see all the rest of the conference)



Binaries in the gravitational wave landscape
EPTA 2015
NANOGrav 2015
PPTA 2015
IPTA 2020+
SKA
resolved stationary MBHB
+
unresolved background
10^6M⊙ @10Gpc
h~10^-17 f<10^-2
10^9M⊙ @1Gpc
h~10^-14 f<10^-6
10M⊙ @100Mpc
h~10^-21 f<10^3
M=10^6M⊙@z=3
M=10^5M⊙
M=10^4M⊙
LISA
aLIGO+
Virgo
MBHB
confusion
noise
GW150914
BHB @300Mpc
NSB @200Mpc
BHB @3Gpc
resolved BHB
+
unresolved BHB
EMRI @z=1
(M=3×10^5+10 M⊙)
characteristic amplitude
frequency [Hz]

The Laser Interferometer Space Antenna



Sensitive in the mHz frequency range where massive black hole (MBH) binary (MBHB) evolution is fast (chirp)

Observes the full inspiral/merger/ringdown

3 satellites trailing the Earth connected through laser links

Proposed baseline:
2.5M km armlength
6 laser links
4 yr lifetime (10 yr goal)



The LISA Consortium

- Now a thriving community: 800+ among full and associate members
- Several **working groups** connecting to the community: astrophysics, fundamental physics, cosmology, waveforms
- Several **working packages** defining deliverables
- 2 consortium meetings/yr, LISA symposium every 2 years, dedicated WG meetings every year

https://www.lisamission.org/







MBH evolution in a nutshell



(From de Lucia et al. 2006)

+



(Ferrarese & Merritt 2000, Gebhardt et al. 2000)

=



(Menou et al 2001, Volonteri et al. 2003)

MBH evolution in a nutshell



(From de Lucia et al. 2006)

+



(Ferrarese & Merritt 2000, Gebhardt et al. 2000)

=



(Menou et al 2001, Volonteri et al. 2003)

*Where and when do the first MBH seeds form?

*How do they grow along the cosmic history?

*What is their role in galaxy evolution?

*What is their merger rate?

*How do they pair together and dynamically evolve?


black hole - black hole mergers
space based
gravitational wave
observatory
future
EM probes
10
20
50
100
300
1000
Redshift (z)
log(M/M⊙)
0
2
4
6
8
10
12
14
16
18
20
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

Associated electromagnetic signatures?

In the standard circumbinary disk scenario, the binary carves a cavity: no EM signal (Phinney & Milosavljevic 2005).
However, all simulations (hydro, MHD) showed significant mass inflow (Cuadra et al. 2009, Shi et al 2011, Farris et al 2014...)



Simulations in hot gaseous clouds. Significant flare associated to merger (Bode et al. 2010, 2012, Farris et al 2012)











Simulations in disk-like geometry. Variability, but much weaker and unclear signatures (Bode et al. 2012, Gold et al. 2014)

Full GR force free electrodynamics (Palenzuela et al. 2010, 2012)





Athena & LISA in space together?





(ArXiv181100050)

Extreme mass ratio inspirals (EMRIs)



- 1-1000 detections/yr
- sky localization <10 deg2
- distance to better than 10%
- MBH mass to better than 0.01%
- CO mass to better than 0.01%
- MBH spin to better than 0.001
- plunge eccentricity <0.0001
- deviation from Kerr quadrupole moment to <0.001



New tool for astrophysics (Gair et al 2010) **cosmology** (McLeod & Hogan 2008), **and fundamental physics** (Gair et al 2013) …
to be further explored

(Babak et al, 2017)





The parameter space of black holes



Implications of GW150914: multi-band GW astronomy

(AS 2016, PRL 116, 1102)



BHB will be detected by LISA and cross to the LIGO/Virgo band, assuming a 5 year operation of LISA.

What do we do with them?

>Detector cross-band calibration and validation (LISA aLIGO)

>Multiband GW astronomy: **(e.g. Wong et al 2018)**
-alert aLIGO to ensure multiple GW detectors are on
-inform aLIGO with source parameters: makes detection easier

>Multimessenger astronomy:
-point EM probes at the right location before the merger

>Enhanced tests of GR: e.g. strongest limits on deviations from GR
(Barausse et al 2016, Carson & Yagi 2019)



>Astrophysics:
-independent measure of spins
-measure of eccentricity
(Nishizawa, AS, Berti, Klein 2017, Breivik et al 2017, Gerosa et al. 2019, Samsing & D'orazio 2018)



>Cosmology:
-new population of standard sirens?
(Kyutoku & Seto 2016, Del Pozzo, AS, Klein 2017)

Life would be much easier with a midband detector



Carson & Yagi 2019

Cosmology with gravitational waves



(Courtesy of N. Tamanini)

Different GW sources will allow an independent assessment of the geometry of the Universe at all redshifts.



Binaries in the gravitational wave landscape
characteristic amplitude
frequency [Hz]
EPTA 2015
NANOGrav 2015
PPTA 2015
IPTA 2020+
SKA
resolved stationary MBHB
+
unresolved background
$10^6 M_\odot$ @10Gpc
h~10^{-17} f<10^{-2}
$10^9 M_\odot$ @1Gpc
h~10^{-14} f<10^{-6}
$10 M_\odot$ @100Mpc
h~10^{-21} f<10^3
$M=10^6 M_\odot$@z=3
$M=10^5 M_\odot$
$M=10^4 M_\odot$
LISA
aLIGO+
Virgo
MBHB confusion noise
GW150914
BHB @300Mpc
NSB @200Mpc
BHB @3Gpc
resolved BHB
+
unresolved BHB
EMRI @z=1
($M=3\times10^5+10\ M_\odot$)

What is pulsar timing

Pulsars are neutron seen through their regular radio pulses

Pulsar timing is the art of measuring the time of arrival (ToA) of each pulse and then subtracting off the expected time of arrival given by a theoretical model for the system



1-Observe a pulsar and measure the ToAs

2-Find the model which best fits the ToAs

3-Compute the timing residual R

$$R = \mathrm{ToA} - \mathrm{ToA}_m$$

If the timing solution is perfect (and observations noiseless), then *R*=0.
R contains all uncertainties related to the signal propagation and detection, plus the effect of unmodelled physics, like (possibly) ***gravitational waves***



Effect of gravitational waves

The GW passage causes a modulation of the observed pulse frequency

$$\frac{\nu(t)-\nu_0}{\nu_0} = \Delta h_{ab}(t) \equiv h_{ab}(t_{\rm p},\hat{\Omega}) - h_{ab}(t_{\rm ssb},\hat{\Omega})$$

The residual is the integral of this frequency modulation over the observation time (i.e. is a de-phasing)

$$R(t) = \int_0^T \frac{\nu(t)-\nu_0}{\nu_0}dt$$



(Sazhin 1979, Hellings & Downs 1983, Jenet et al. 2005, AS et al. 2008, 2009)

10^9 $M_\odot$ binary at 1Gpc: h~10^{-15}, f~10^{-8}

Implies a residual ~100ns

100ns is the accuracy at which we can time the most stable millisecond pulsars today!

A worldwide observational effort

EPTA/LEAP (Large European Array for Pulsars)

NANOGrav (North American nHz Observatory for Gravitational Waves)



PPTA (Parkes Pulsar Timing Array)

A worldwide observational effort

E

INTERNATIONAL PULSAR TIMING ARRAY

IPTA



nHz
aves)

PPTA

A worldwide observational effort



E

nHz

aves)



PPTA

+Indian PTA (IPTA meeting last week in PUNE)
+MeerKAT
+Chinese PTA

The expected GW signal in the PTA band



The GW characteristic amplitude coming from a population of circular MBH binaries

$$h_c^2(f) = \int_0^\infty dz \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{M} \, \frac{d^3 N}{dz d\mathcal{M} d\ln f_r} h^2(f_r)$$

$$\delta t_{\rm bkg}(f) \approx h_c(f)/(2\pi f)$$

Theoretical spectrum: simple power law

(Phinney 2001)

$$h_c(f) = A \left(\frac{f}{\rm yr^{-1}}\right)^{-2/3}$$



The signal is contributed by extremely massive ($>10^8 M_\odot$) relatively low redshift ($z<1$) MBH binaries (AS et al. 2008, 2012)

Predictions and limits



Limits are not stringent yet (Chen et al 2017, Middleton et al. 2018)

The future

MeerKAT, South Africa (2017)

The future

FAST, China (2017)

The future

Square Kilometre Array (SKA, 2021+)

The future



Science with nHz GW detection:

- Prove the existence of SMBHBs
- Characterize the GWB spectrum: coupling with the environment
- Insights into the dynamics of SMBHBs
- Detection and localization of tens of individual sources
- Multimessenger astronomy in the nHz regime
- Understand EM signatures of SMBHBs

The parameter space of black holes



The parameter space of black holes



Doggybag

3G detectors will probe:
- NSs to z~3-5
- BHs to z~10-20
- possibly seeds of SMBHs

LISA will probe a number of GW sources at low frequency.
- galactic binaries
- extreme mass ratio inspirals
- LIGO sources
- SMBHB cosmic history

LISA sources will be invaluable tools for astrophysics, cosmology and fundamental physics

PTAs can provide unique information about the dynamics and merger history of MBHBs (e.g. merger rate density, environmental coupling, eccentricity, etc.)

Current PTA limits are getting extremely interesting, showing some tension with vanilla models for the cosmic SMBHB population, but nothing can be ruled out yet