## THE UV-X-RAY HIGH-RESOLUTION VIEW OF OUTFLOWING WINDS IN AGN: THE CASE OF I ZWICKY 1

E. Costantini (SRON)









## I Zw1: simultaneous XMM (230 ks)+ HST (6 orbits) campaign carried out in 2015

- E. Costantini (PI) SRON, UvA
- C. Silva SRON, UvA
- G. Kriss STSci
- L. Gallo Univ. St. Mary
- D. Wilkins --Stanford
- M. Giustini CAB
- W. Brandt Penn State Univ.

#### The X-ray warm absorber

- 1 low ionization UV/X component has a velocity of ~2000 km/s
- 1 highly ionized component only seen in the X-rays (v<sub>out</sub>~2000 km/s)



(Costantini+07, Silva, EC+18),

How does this relate to the UV absorber and past observations?

# The HST data: kinematics

Long lived component at 2000 km/s lasting at least between 1994 and 2015.  $\begin{array}{c}
1.2 \\
1 \\
0.8 \\
0.6 \\
0.4 \\
0.2 \\
-3000 \\
-3000 \\
-2000 \\
-2000 \\
-1000 \\
0 \\
Velocity (km s^{-1})
\end{array}$ 

**HST-COS** 



Kinematics and ionization agree with the RGS low-ionization component:

The UV/X-ray gas is one and the same gas!

(EC+07, Silva, EC+18)

Velocity (km  $s^{-1}$ )

## The HST data: a radiation driven outflow

Line-locking is a common feature of radiation driven UV outflows (Weymann+97)

Line locking: the relative velocity of two gas systems is regulated according to their effective exposure to the source radiation field with a minimum separation given by a doublet (NV) (e.g. Milne 1926, Burbridge & Burbridge 75)

The UV-X-ray low-ξ gas is radiation driven



# Long term variability of the multicomponent UV-X-ray absorber

## The warm absorber X-ray history



- Ionization changed (together for the two components)
- $N_{H}$  changed (factor > 6)
- Also short term change in  $N_H$ , not related to L
- The components are NOT in pressure equilibrium

## **Expectations: a classical WA**

The gas reaches instantaneous equilibrium

The gas reaction is delayed before reaching equilibrium







(Krolik &Kriss 95, Krongold+07)

# The classical view: a homogeneous, stratified medium

× Long term change in log  $\xi$  (not correlated with L)

ightarrow invoke constant non equilibrium

× Short (and long term) change in N<sub>H</sub>

 $\rightarrow$  no apparent reason ? (but see later)

Same velocity for the two components X-ray + 1 UV component



## ORIGIN AND GEOMETRY OF THE OUTFLOW: A STEAMING BOAT

Clumpy outflow driven by radiation pressure

High and low  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  gas are two faces of the same gas



## ORIGIN AND GEOMETRY OF THE OUTFLOW: A STEAMING BOAT

- ✓ No photoionization equilibrium
- ✓ Changes in opacity
- Ionization mainly depend on the density and not on the distance from the source
- ✓ No pressure equilibrium
- ✓ Components vary together
- ✓ Same velocity



# Conclusions



- X-ray and UV observations are a powerful tool to understand any kind of highly ionized outflow
- For warm absorber it is essential to understand the density, geometry and launching mechanism of the outflow
- In the (lucky) case of I Zw1 we could establish the outflowing system with extraordinary clarity, thanks to the long term X-ray variability and the UV-X-ray campaign.