



www.in

Dish LMC: a prototype control system for the SKAl-Mid telescopes

S. Riggi – INAF-OACT INAF ICT Workshop, 10-14 September 2018 - Catania



The Square Kilometer Array (SKA)



SKA: the largest and most sensitive radio observatory ever built...

 2 antenna arrays (SKA-Mid, SKA-Low) to be built in South Africa and Western Australia

2 construction phases foreseen

- Phase 1 (2018-2024): SKA1-Mid + Meerkat + SKA1-Low (cost cap: 675 M€)
- *Phase 2* (mid 2020s): expansion of both arrays in Southern Africa and Australia

Pre-construction phase ongoing

- lead by 8 Consortia (**Dish**, TM, CSP, LFAA, SDP, SaDT, INFRA, AIV) coordinated by the SKA Organization (SKAO)
- 10 member countries (more to join), >100 research institutions and companies across 20 countries
- Consortia busy to complete the *Critical Design Reviews* (CDRs)
- Moving to an intergovernmental organisation (IGO) for long-term governance and funding



SKA1-Mid Dish array







- 133 15-m diameter dishes + 64 13.5-m Meerkat dishes
- Spread over \sim 150 km in the South Africa's Karoo region
- 5 frequency bands foreseen: 0.35-13.8 GHz
 - Band 1, 2, 5 (5a, 5b) prioritized in Phase 1
 - $\circ~$ Band 5 installed in 67 dishes only
- Expected performance boost ~5-10 wrt existing arrays
 - \circ Sensitivity: ~0.75 μ Jy hr^{1/2} (continuum), ~66 μ Jy hr^{1/2} (spectral-line)
 - Resolution(@1.67 GHz): ~0.25"
 - $\,\circ\,$ Survey speed: $\sim 1.19 \times 10^{6} \mbox{ deg}^2 \mbox{ m}^4 \mbox{ K}^2$

Extension to ~2500 antennas in SKA 2

SKA Dish design organization & status





Design roadmap (T0: 4 Nov 2013)

- May 2014: Concept Design Review (CoDR)
- Nov-Dec 2014: Preliminary Design Review (PDR)
- May-Dec 2016: Detailed Design Review (DDR)
- Feb 2018: First SKA dish prototype assembled in China (SKA-P)
- Mar-June 2018: Second SKA dish prototype assembled in South Africa (SKA-MPI)
- May-Dec 2018: Critical Design Review (CDR)
- Early 2019: Dish Qualification & Integration on SKA-MPI
- 2019-2020: Construction bridging + Early Production Array (EPA) (TBD)

Dish Consortium organized in 4 major work packages or sub-elements

- LMC (Local Monitoring and Control): INAF (OACT + OATS), SAM, EIE (Italy)
- SPF (Single Pixel Feeds): Onsala Space Observatory (Sweden), EMSS (SA), Oxford University/STFC (UK)
- SPFRx (Receivers): NRC (Canada) + Bordeaux University (France)
- **DS** (Dish Structure): CETC54 (China) + MTM (Germany) + SAM (Italy)

The SKA Control System (CS)



SKA CS: a technological and organizational challenge

- large & eterogenous system (>10⁶ moni points), scalability & future maintenability
- group geographical dispersion & previous background

Harmonization process main outcomes (see SKA Control Guidelines)

- o Tango selected over other frameworks (EPICS, ACS, Meerkat CAM) (Mar 2015)
- Standardized architectural components (Element master, logging, alarm handler, archiver)
- Standardized SKA Control Model (SCM) (operating states/modes, ...)
- Standardized control & configuration patterns
- Standardized programming languages & tools
- Some areas still to be covered (e.g. deployment, configuration, testing strategies, etc)



SKA CS organized in hierarchical Element facilities (=Tango domains)

- Each SKA Element has a Local Monitoring and Control (LMC) sub-element
- LMC providing dish master control and rolled-up monitoring to Telescope Manager (TM)

The SKA Dish Control System

INAF

- Dish equipment distributed in 3 zones (pedestal, yoke, indexer)
- SPF: M&C of feed packages (B1/B2/B345), Helium/Vacuum systems
 - 6 Tango Device Servers (TDS) provided (one per sub-system + main controller) to LMC
 - Low-level interface: serial over fibre
- SPFRx: M&C of receiver digiter system (B1,...,5a, 5b)
 - 1 TDS provided to LMC
 - Low-level interface: SPI



 DS: low-level M&C of antenna servo/safety systems and power distribution

- No TDS provided, interface via custom protocol (tcp sockets)
- Low-level interface: Beckoff EtherCAT
- LMC: high-level Dish M&C (logging/archiving/...) towards TM, PDU control
 - 10 TDS provided
- Relatively small system from the M&C view
 - Moni points: <1000
 - M&C data rate flowing centrally: ~200 kbps
 - Fastest M&C rate: 100 ms (ACU loop is faster)
 - Moderate number of TDS: <20

Dish LMC Design



10 Tango device servers (TDS) in LMC

- o 1 Dish master TDS providing high-level control and rolled-up monitoring status to TM
- 1 logger, 4 archivers, 1 alarm handler TDSs
- 1 DS manager TDS, providing Tango interface to DS subsystems
- o 1 PDU manager TDS, providing PDU pedestal control
- 1 LMC monitor TDS, providing interface to Nagios and self monitoring parameters



Dish LMC - Logging



Logging data flow: 3 logging targets per Tango DS

- o Central logger (Tango LogConsumer) for cross-facility log viewing @ default WARN level
- · Element logger (Tango LogConsumer) for viewing purposes @ default INFO level
- rsyslog server for tmp log storage (+ forwarding to central Elasticsearch for permanent storage & analysis) @ default INFO level



Dish LMC - Alarm & Archiving



Alarm and archiving data flow

- Alarms interpreted on behalf of dish sub-elements using alarm rules (compliant to IEC 62682 - Alarm Management Lifecycle standard)
- Dish data archive is temporary (12 h at least)



Dish LMC software prototype



LMC prototype implementation started after DDR Phase

- o Main scope: architecture validation, dish integration
- Adopted technologies
 - Developed in C++/python, Tango 9
 - Addon libs/tools: Nagios 4, Tango Addons (hdb++/yat/alarm), boost/log4cxx/pugixml, ...
 - Build system: cmake
 - Version control: git
 - Unit/Integration testing: Google Test, pytango/nose
 - CM + CI: Ansible + Jenkins
 - OS: Ubuntu 14.04/16.04, CentOS 7

Dish LMC - Tango addons



Some SKA/Dish required functionalities missing in Tango framework

- Pre-configured SKA loggers, including syslog target
- No attribute transition rules or attribute-based command state machine (only for DevState)
 - Support SKA/Dish Control Model (mostly based on DevEnum attribute)
- Formula attributes (not in Tango Core, community device available)
 - Support rolled-up dish monitoring
- Control task sequencer not available
 - \checkmark Support dish control operations and event handling

Developed additional features in a base Tango device (LMCDevice)

- Standardized logging: syslog target, custom logging macros
- 2 Attribute transition & command state-machine rules (loaded at device startup)
- Oynamic attribute generation from XML config files (based on yat4tango lib)
 - ✓ follow ICD continuous changes, ease of integration with CM tools (Ansible), supporting command & attribute rules
- Formula attributes: based on C++ Exprtk lib
- Device proxy utilities: proxy, event and handlers registration, proxy monitoring
 - ✓ Registration: manually (e.g. via macros), from properties, automatically for formula vars
- Task sequence management
 - ✓ tasks (=device commands) pushed in a queue and processed in a dedicated thread according to priority, start/timeout time, dependency on other tasks in the sequence
 - \checkmark status of long running tasks determined from dedicated progress attributes

Other SKA Elements implemented similar or additional functionalities

ightarrow LMC Commons

Dish LMC - Development process





Simple CI pipeline set up for pre-construction

- Jenkins to manage configuration and deployment pipelines
- Pipeline jobs triggered manually/on commits
- LMC development strategy being standardized at SKA level for construction

Development status

- 6/7 LMC servers implemented
- DSManager server implementation ongoing (DS-LMC ICD delayed)

LMC configuration approach

- Initial server configuration automated with Ansible playbooks (XML template config files)
- LMC server configuration stored in TangoDB
- Current configuration can be changed at runtime using Tango UI (Jive) or pytango API

LMC deployment approach

- LMC deployed on a set of virtual machines
- Expected to move to Docker/Singularity containers for construction (TBD)

Dish LMC - Testing process



Testing process

- >100 test procedures designed for CDR
- Software interface simulators (SPF, Rx) developed for testing purposes (based on ICD)
- Non-automated testing done using Tango builtin UI tools
- Automating qualification testing
 - Test procedure scripts implemented in python using pytango API (a script per test case)
 - Test framework provided by LMC Eurosoft Srl partner

Testing status

- First qualification testing performed in both manual and automated way (May-Aug 2018)
- ~40% automated testing coverage (wrt to manual tests)
- Overall testing and integration ongoing

Potential improvements and developments

- Behavior Driver Development (BDD) approach explored
- Qualification tests defined in Gherkin textual language (masking details to stakeholders)
- Many frameworks available: python behave + nose considered for prototyping
- Integration with Jenkins tested

@stow_dish



Background: Control system is started Given TangoDB is up and running And LMC is up and running

Scenario Outline: Stow dish in STANDBY-LP Given I an "cLNC" Client (TM or operator) And Dish is in STANDBY-LP mode When I request dish LPK to stow Then I should be acknowledged that stowing was initiated by LMC And I should be notified within 30 s that dish is in STOW mode

Examples: Device name | LMC | mid_dish_0001/elt/master | dish_master



13/14

Summary



SKA Dish is completing the CDR phase

SKA Dish LMC prototype implemented and under test

- Experience with Tango & interaction with community very positive
- $\circ~$ Tango extensions developed to fullfil SKA & dish requirements, pull requests made for Tango v10
- o Interface with Dish Structure missing to complete the prototype
- $\circ~$ Focusing on DS integration, testing and documentation for the next months

Short-term roadmap

- CDR review (Sep 2018)
- post-CDR activities (Oct-Dec 2018)
- LMC integration on the field (mid 2019)

Towards SKA construction (construction bridging)

- setup and integration in the SAFE framework for the development of SKA software
- development of SKA common libraries and additional SKA standards (LMC Commons)

SKA construction is TBD!

Waiting for IGO and INAF decisions...