

LeMMINGs the eMERLIN radio legacy survey of nearby galaxies Ranieri D. Baldi in collaboration with I. McHardy, D. Williams, R. Beswick and many others

Southampton



The radio-loud / radio-quiet dichotomy



Among the many differences distinguishing AGN, one of the best known and studied effect is the presence of two populations of AGN, which can be separated on the basis of their radio luminosity with respect to the light emitted in the optical band.

The dichotomy can be parametrized numerically, with a threshold of $L_{radio}/L_o = 10$ (Kellerman + 97) or in X-ray (Terashima & Wilson 03), but in most cases radio-loud AGN can be recognized by the presence of very extended radio-structures clearly associated to large scale jets.



OPTICAL CLASSIFICATION

AGN can be classified on the basis of the emission line ratios.



BPT: Baldwin+81, Kewley+06, Buttiglione+10

Iow-Iuminosity AGN vs QSO

why care about LLAGN and not QSO?

- common, numerous and representative of BH accretion
- similar to quiescent galaxies
- allow to study of galaxy emission
- low accretion regime
- small BH masses
- low end of the luminosity function



The University of Manchester Jodrell Bank Observatory



MANCHESTER

LEMMINGS

LEGACY E-MERLIN MULTI-BAND IMAGING OF NEARBY GALAXIES

Collaboration between University of Southampton and Manchester Ranieri Baldi (Southampton) David Williams (Southampton) Rob Beswick (JBCA/e-MERLIN) Ian M^cHardy (Southampton)











L-band high-resolution and high-sensitivity observations of eMERLIN allow to disentangle AGN and SF:

- 1. low-luminosity AGN (nucleus)
 - radio core, indicative of jet energetics
 - accretion, radio/X-ray connection
 - jets
- 2. Star formation (host galaxy)
 - individual populations, eg SN, PNe, HII regions
 - unresolved large SF scale emission





- Sample = Palomar bright galaxy sample
 - Best selected sample of nearby galaxies (Ho et al 1995)
 - Optically selected, $B_T < 12.5$ mag, no radio bias
 - All galaxy types: Active (Seyfert, Liner), Non-active (HII region, Absorption line galaxies)
 - All 280 galaxies above Dec +20 [median distance 20Mpc]
 - Strong multi-wavelength coverage
 - Complete HST, Spitzer and (mostly) Herschel imaging
 - Almost complete Chandra imaging (Large Program approved)
 - Complete JVLA imaging
- 'Shallow' tier: short observations at L band (1.5 GHz)

Shallow sample: Radio

- Palomar Sample (103 targets, Baldi et al. 2018)
 - rms ~70 microJy/beam and angular resolution 150 mas

Southampton

Active

- 84/241 (~35%) of the sample detected (F > 0.2 mJy) at 1.5 GHz
- Detection fraction:
- 1. LINER: 46 / 77 → 60%
- 2. Seyfert: 9 / 16 → 56%
- 3. HII regions: 24 / 119 → 20%
- 4. Absoprtion line galaxies: $5 / 29 \rightarrow 17\%$ / Inactive
- Extended radio emission appears with UV-tapering
- Radio morphologies: core/core-jet, one-sided jet, triple sources, double-lobed, complex

Radio morphologies



FR

Seyfert

500kλ

250pc

08.25 08.20

Radio morphologies



10

Deeper than any other radio survey of the Palomar sample (Nagar et al. 2002, Filho et al 2006)



- L_{core} ~ 10³² 10⁴⁰ erg s⁻¹ (10¹⁶
 10²² W Hz⁻¹)
- Within a factor 100 of Sgr A* (in L band), but aim at reaching radio luminosity function within a factor of 10 in C band.
- LINERs are the brightest and more luminous
- jetted sources in HII galaxies and in BH with ${>}10^6\,M_{\odot}$

Active

Inactive

Shallow sample: X-ray Chandra

- Palomar Sample (163 archival data + 48 new ACIS-S 10ks Chandra obs) in 0.3-10 keV band
 - flux limit: 3 x 10⁻¹⁵ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻²
 - 149/211 (~71%) of the sample detected in 2-10 keV band
 - Detection fraction:
 - 1. LINER: 64 / 74 → 86%
 - 2. Seyfert: 14 / 15 → 93%
 - 3. HII regions: 50 / 98 → 51%
 - 4. Absoprtion line galaxies: $21 / 24 \rightarrow 88\%$
 - Photometry and spectroscopy (area of 2")

Chandra spectra (0.3-10 keV)

 X-ray Spectral fit for 120/149 sources with more than 200 photons (thermal + absorbed power law)



X-ray luminosities



Radio – X-ray relation



LINERs: radio-quiet - loud LINERs. Radio-loud LINERs are scaled-down FRI Seyferts are above the correlation: standard accretion? HII regions : SF and AGN dominated?

Fundamental plane of BH activity

- radio M_{BH} X-ray
- relation between accretion, jet and BH gravitational w/
- Bayesian fit with different models (ADAF, jet, standarc disk)





CONCLUSIONS



- Nearby galaxy surveys with eMERLIN have great potential for study of LLAGN, jets and star formation on crucial small scales.
 - LeMMINGs: Palomar sample (241 so far, Baldi et al 2018): deepest Palomar radio survey, ~10³² erg s⁻¹
 - 2. pc-scale radio jets from BH down to ${\sim}10^6\,M_{\odot}$
- Radio-loud LINERs are the scaled-down version of FRI radio galaxies
- Low-luminosity Seyferts are powered by higher accretion rate and higher radiative disc efficiency than the ADAF disc seen in LINERs (but less than in QSO?).
- HII galaxies have optically SF dominated but can hide a LLAGN
- Fundamental plane of BH activity for the Palomar sample requires an ADAF/jet coupling

THANK YOU