New measurements of the galaxy mass-spin relation

(Including a contribution from Roy)

Ed Elson (UWC)

Introduction

The j-M relation

- Connects a galaxy's mass with its specific angular momentum (total angular momentum per unit mass).
- $j_* = \beta M_*^{\alpha}$
- Power-law behavior indicates a systematic way in which galaxies acquire and conserve angular momentum.



Introduction

The j-M relation

- AM content is largely determined by interaction/merger history and feedback processes.
- It's a probe of the baryon cycle, and hence galaxy evolution.
- Validate predictions from tidal torque theory and hierarchical assembly models.
- Also a link between DM and observable properties.

Introduction The j-M relation

•
$$j_h = \frac{1.67 \times 10^3}{\sqrt{F_E}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{0.035}\right) \left(\frac{M_h}{10^{12}M_\odot}\right)^{2/3}$$
 kpc km/s

• Considering $f_* \equiv M_*/M_h$ and $f_j \equiv j_*/j_h$, the specific angular momentum for the stars in a galaxy is:

$$j_* = \frac{77.4}{\sqrt{F_E}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{0.035}\right) f_j f_*^{-2/3} \left(\frac{M_*}{10^{10} M_\odot}\right)^{2/3} \text{ kpc km/s}$$

Previous j*-**M*** **studies** Fall (1983)



- Spirals and ellipticals have $j_* = \beta M_*^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha \sim 0.6$.
- β varies by a factor ~5 between spirals and ellipticals.

Figure 1. Specific angular momentum against luminous mass for galaxies of different morphological types. Spirals: $J/M = 2v_{c}\alpha^{-1}$ with $v_{c} = V(R_{25}^{1b})$ and $\alpha^{-1} = 0.32 R_{25}^{1b}$; $M = (M/L)L(B_T^{1b})$ with M/L = 3.0 for Sb and M/L = 1.5 for Sc; data from Rubin et al. (1980, 1982). Ellipticals: $J/M = 2.5 v_m r_e$ as appropriate for a de Vaucouleurs profile, a flat rotation curve and random orientations; $M = (M/L)L(B_T^{b})$ with M/L = 6.0; data from Davies et al. (1982). A Hubble constant of 50 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹ is adopted but the relative positions of points do not depend on this distance-scale.

Previous j*-**M*** **studies** Romanowsky & Fall (2012)

- Spirals and ellipticals follow parallel *j*_{*} - *M*_{*} tracks with α~0.6 but with ellipticals containing ~3-4 times less *j*_{*}
- Presented new methods of accurately estimating j_* using global observable quantities $(j_* \approx 2R_{\rm eff}V_{\rm c}).$



Previous j*-**M*** **studies** Elson (2017)

- Used 37 HI-rich galaxies from WHISP survey to study baryonic *j* – *M* relation.
- Roughly doubled the number of gals with $M_{\rm b} < 10^{10} M_{\odot}$
- Found $\alpha = 0.62 \pm 0.02$.



Roy's contribution

- In ~2018, Roy asked how AM is transferred between the various mass components.
- Roy's suggestion: maybe simulations could be used to figure it out.

Previous j*-M* studies

Elson et al. (2023)

- Using Simba simulations: very tight j M relations for stars, HI and baryons.
- Scatter linked to HI content.
- Galaxies with higher/lower-than-average HI mass have higher/lower-than-average j_* .



Previous j*-**M*** studies

Lagos et al. (2017)

• Lagos et al. (2017): $j_* - M_*$ scatter highly correlated with morphological proxies (gas fraction, stellar concentration, etc.)



Previous j*-**M*** **studies** Summary

- Most studies favour α in the range ~0.5 to 0.7, which is similar to the theoretical expectation of 2/3.
- Sample sizes are typically <u>small</u> and <u>scatter</u> in relations is oftentimes high (>0.2 dex).
- Several studies point to proxies of galaxy morphology playing a role in determining j_* .

What next?

- Let's generate a new set of j_* -M* relations that are:
 - Based on large, statistically significant samples.
 - Have very low intrinsic scatter.
 - Consistent with the current best measurements of j_{*}-M_{*}, but which improve on them.
- To do this:
 - Used data from the Arecibo Legacy Fast ALFA (ALFALFA) Survey.

Measuring angular momentum Approximation method

• v_c can be measured from the global HI profile: $w_{50} = 2 \ v_c \times \sin i \rightarrow v_c = w_{50}/2 \sin i.$

•
$$j_* \approx 2 v_c R_{\text{eff}} / 1.68 = \frac{w_{50} R_{\text{eff}}}{1.68 \sin i}$$



Results Full sample (N=3607)

- Fit a power-law model $\log_{10}\left(\frac{j_*}{\text{kpc km/s}}\right) = \alpha \log_{10}\left(\frac{M_*}{M_{\odot}}\right) + \beta \cdot \overbrace{\mathbb{S}}^{\circ}$
- MPFITEXY IDL routine.
- $\alpha = 0.404 \pm 0.003$
- $\beta = -1.164 \pm 0.03$
- $\sigma_{\text{int}} = 0.168 \text{ dex}$
- N=3 607



 $<\mu_{\rm eff}>$ sub-samples

- Is there another galaxy parameter/property that strongly correlates with j_* ?
- $j_* M_*$ relation is known to be heavily dependent on galaxy morphology.
- The bulge-to-total mass ratio is strongly correlated.
- Idea: What about *I*-band effective surface brightness as a proxy for mass concentration?

$<\mu_{\rm eff}>$ sub-samples

• First, fit a plane

$$\log_{10}\left(\frac{j_*}{\text{kpc km/s}}\right) = \alpha \log_{10}\left(\frac{M_*}{M_{\odot}}\right) + \beta \left(\frac{<\mu_{\text{eff}}>}{\text{mag arcsec}^2}\right) + \gamma$$

to galaxies in $\log_{10} j_* - \log_{10} M_* - <\mu_{eff}>$ space.

•
$$\alpha = 0.589 \pm 0.002$$
, $\beta = 0.193 \pm 0.002$

• Standard deviation of residuals about this plane: 0.089 dex!!



 $<\mu_{\rm eff}>$ sub-samples

• Now split ALFALFA galaxies into sub-samples delimited by $\langle \mu_{\rm eff} \rangle = \{25, 24, 23, 22, 21, 20, 19\} \text{ mag/arcsec}^2$ and check 2D $j_* - M_*$ relations.

$< \mu_{\rm eff} >$ sub-samples



• Most of these relations are consistent with the $\alpha = 0.55 \pm 0.02$ result from Posti et al. (2018b).

$< \mu_{\rm eff} >$ sub-samples



• 2D $j_* - M_*$ relations are the tightest ever measured. Intrinsic scatter ≤ 0.1 dex for a large $< \mu_{eff} >$ range.

$< \mu_{\rm eff} >$ sub-samples



• 2D $j_* - M_*$ relations are based on the largest samples ever used (up to factor ~3 larger).

$< \mu_{\rm eff} >$ sub-samples



• Results published as Elson (2023) - arXiv:2310.17916

Part 2 (very quickly):

- Can the Simba sims be used to produce a more accurate measurement of the $\log_{10} j_* \log_{10} M_* \langle \mu_{\text{eff}} \rangle$ relation?
- Answer: yes!
- Question: What useful things can be done with it?
- Answer: Easily estimate the stellar masses of real galaxies to within 0.1 to 0.2 dex!

The Simba $j_* - M_* - \langle \mu_{eff} \rangle$ relation

- Use the Simba m25n512_s50 z=0 snapshot.
- Select a suitable set of galaxies for which to measure j_{\star}
- Final sample: N=179

HI total intensity maps of 2 mock-observed Simba galaxies



HI spectra of mock-observed Simba galaxies



The Simba $j_* - M_* - \left\langle \mu_{\rm eff} \right\rangle$ relation Results

• After also measuring $\langle \mu_{\rm eff} \rangle$ for each Simba galaxy, a 2D plane was fit to the galaxies in $\log_{10} j_* - \log_{10} M_* - \langle \mu_{eff} \rangle$ space:

$$\log_{10}\left(\frac{j_*}{\text{kpc km/s}}\right) = \alpha \log_{10}\left(\frac{M_*}{M_{\odot}}\right) + \beta \left(\frac{\langle \mu_{\text{eff}} \rangle}{\text{mag arcsec}^2}\right) + \gamma$$

The Simba $j_* - M_* - \left\langle \mu_{\rm eff} \right\rangle$ relation Results

- Simba's planar relation fits much tighter.
- Has α very close to theortical expectation (2/3).
- The planar relation between $\log_{10} j_* \log_{10} M_* \langle \mu_{\rm eff} \rangle$ is truly fundamental.

	α	β	Y	σ
Simba	0.694 (0.046)	0.190 (0.026)	-8.111 (1.009)	0.057 dex
ALFALFA	0.586 (0.002)	0.193 (0.002)	-7.198 (0.054)	0.089 dex

The Simba $j_* - M_* - \langle \mu_{eff} \rangle$ relation

Predicting real stellar masses

- Use Simba $\log_{10} j_* \log_{10} M_* \langle \mu_{\text{eff}} \rangle$ relation to predict M_* given j_* and $\langle \mu_{\text{eff}} \rangle$ measurements.
- Results published as Elson (2024) arXiv:2409.08076



Thank you.