

Finanziato dall'Unione europea NextGenerationEU



linistero

dell'Università

Properties of the populations of Gamma Ray Bursts

Giancarlo Ghirlanda INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera INFN Sezione Milano Bicocca

In collaboration with: R. Salvaterra (INAF - IASF) & O. S. Salafia (INAF-OAB)

Celebrating 20 years of Swift Discoveries Florence 24-28 March 2024





Population properties





Physical motivations



Progenitors and ambient



Methods

Α

(non-parametric) Direct

Un-binned method - Lynden-Bell 1971 Kocevski+2006; Wu+2012; Yu+2015; Petrosian+2015; Tsvetkova+2017; Lloyd et al. 2019; Petrosian+2023

B 2D binned method - Wanderman & Piran 2010

Limitations and issues:

- Sample incompleteness
- Extrapolations
- Treat L,z independently
- Jet (not accounted or a-posteriori)



Constrain model parameters by N(z), N(P) ... C Daigne et al. 2006; Salvaterra et al. 2012; Ghirlanda et al. 2015; Palmerio & Daigne 2021; Ghirlanda & Salvaterra 2022; Salafia et al. 2023

Agreement on $\Phi(L \mid \alpha = 1.5 \pm 0.25, \beta = 2.3 \pm 0.5, L_b = 10^{52.5 \pm 0.5})$

Limitations and issues:

- Degeneracy
- Often treat L,z independently





Param





- 1. Long GRBs follow a free-parametric $\Psi(z)$
- 2. Implement jet opening angle
- 3. Allow for luminosity evolution

18-D parameter space (11 free parameters)



14 constraints (Fermi, CGRO, Swift):

- Observer frame (e.g. Peak flux, Fluence, duration ...)
- Rest frame (Energy, Luminosity, Redshift)

Ghirlanda & Salvaterra 2022

Method: MCMC + parallel stretch move









GRB formation rate:

- •Local GRB rate (full population) $\sim 80 \pm 30 \,\text{Gpc}^{-3} \text{yr}^{-1}$
- •Peaks at z~3
- •Steeper than CSFR at low z and same slope at high z
- Dashed line: MMR (Mass-Metallicity-Redshift) model:

1.Star formation-stellar mass function [Tomczak+2014]

2.Galaxy mass function [McLeod+2021]

3.Mass-Metallicity relation (with z evolution) [Maiolino+2008]

Metallicity $< 12 + \log(O/H) < 8.6$ [consistent with] hosts, e.g. Palmerio+2019, Vergani+2015]

IMF slope (see also F. Gabrielli, ... GG, ... et al., 2024)

But a few GRB hosts with super-solar metallicities (See Briel, ... GG, ... et al., 2025)

• ~1.3% of BL SNIc @ z=0 produce a successful jet (~7% at z>3)





There is no low redshift excess !

Non parametric method — (L-z plane) [Petrosian+2015; Yu+2015; Tsvetkova+2017; Lloyd-Ronning+2019; Petrosian 2024]

BUT





Low redshift excess is **EXCLUDED** by:

- 1) at > 5σ (GG&RS2022)
- 2) Pescalli et al. 2016 (real complete data and simulations) (See also Briant+2021 and Le+2020)
- 3) Host masses (e.g. Palmerio+2019; Vergani+2015)



Luminosity function



Log(L) [erg/s]

Salafia et al. 2015

Quasi universal Gaussian jet structure

A powerlaw θ^{-2} is excluded

[For QSJ in short GRBs see Salafia et al. 2023]













- Long GRB intrinsic properties $\Phi(L, z)$; $\Psi(z)$; $\rho_0 \dots$ (GG&RS2022) Parametric approach Largest set of obs/rest frame constraints (CGRO, Fermi, Swift + SAX, HeteII) Account for jets
 - Long GRB formation rate shaped by a (low) metallicity bias
 - Low redshift excess excluded at $>>5\sigma$
 - Mild evolution of the characteristic luminosity
 - Local (beaming corrected) rate ~80(+-30) yr^-1 Gpc^-3
 - Luminosity function slope and extension consistent with Gaussian quasi universal jet

Conclusions

G. Ghirlanda 9-13 Jan 2023 - Sexten









Ghirlanda & Salvaterra 2022

~2.5% of Fermi and 1% of Swift detected bursts @ z<2 should be off axis events (conservative estimate).

The redshift retrieval efficiency of Swift detected bursts is slightly decreasing with redshift and $\sim 30\%$ at z=2







A posteriori consistency checks

Beppo/SAX

Hete-II





Well constrained parameters Weak residual parameter correlation

