

- Negative (radially declining) gradient = inside-out star-formation (SF), weak feedback
- Shallow/positive gradient = galaxy mergers, strong feedback, fast mixing
- Accurate interpretation of gradients is crucial!


- Cosmological, hydrodynamic zoom-in simulations of MW-type halos
- High spatial resolution in CGM ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{kpc}$ ) and ISM ( $\sim 300$ pc) \& high cadence of outputs $\sim 5$ Myr = capturing small-spatial scale and short time-scale variations - Shown here: one of the six FOGGIE halos


## FOGGIE SIMULATIONS



- Metallicity gradients are extremely stochastic on short time-scales, particularly at high-z
- FOGGIE galaxies spend $\sim 40-50 \%$ of their lifetime up to $z>2$ more than a typical observational uncertainty away from the mean trend

- Difficult to interpret high-z JWST measurements


## PROPOSED SOLUTION

- Characterising the full distribution of metallicity
- Free from assumptions about disk/geometry

Novel, nonparametric
method
+/- 0.03 dex/kpc


- Metallicity distribution characteristics (median, IQR) respond better to SF feedback than the radial gradient
- Non-parametric quantification of metallicity distribution will be informative for JWST observations at high-z

