

Finanziato dall'Unione europea NextGenerationEU Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca





Machine Learning Based Cosmological Radio Source Detection

(aka...kill two birds with one stone)

Nicoletta Sanvitale (INAF-IRA), Chiara Stuardi (INAF-IRA), Claudio Gheller (INAF-IRA), Andrea Botteon (INAF-IRA) and Franco Vazza (UniBo)



USCVIII – General Assembly, Galzignano October 15th 2024

HaMMon (Hazard Mapping and vulnerability Monitoring) : WP 4

WP4 objective:

Development of vulnerability curves for flood, windstorm, hail and seismic risk, based on the characteristics of the buildings automatically identifiable by the algorithms.





Automatic assessment of Italy's buildings patrimony through Machine Learning

INAF focus on WP4





Al solutions for automatic extraction of building features



Approches : CNN and Vision Transformers (ViT) architectures



(Tuli, Shikhar et al. 2021 "Are Convolutional Neural Networks or Transformers more like human vision?" *ArXiv* abs/2105.071972021)

Convolution uses a kernel to apply sliding multiplication and addition to local data points:

- Strong ability to model local properties
- Less data for training
- Scaling linearly with the datasize O(N)

Vision Transformers treat images as sequences of image patches. The Transformer encoder is a stack of Attention layers that allow the model to learn the relationships between the patches:

- Strong ability to model long-range dependencies
- More data for training
- Scaling quadratically with the datasize O(N²)

Hybrid ViTs with Convolutions

5



Vision Transformer





Class

Bird

Ball Car

...

-

MLP

Head

0*

n

TransUnet



Chen, Jieneng, et al. (2021) "Transunet: Transformers make strong encoders for medical image segmentation." arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.04306

Open benchmark Potsdam/Germany dataset

- 7
- 38 high-resolution airborne images (6000 ×6000 pixels)
- training dataset 24 images, testing dataset 14 images
- spatial resolution of 5 cm.
- Near-infrared (NIR), RGB, orthorectified imagery
- ground-truth comprising 6 classes



Comparison of predictions across Resolutions





9

Target: detection of radio sources in data collected from radio telescopes (galaxies, cluster of galaxies, cosmic web, etc.).

Due to the size and complexity of the data produced by current and upcoming astrophysical observatories (LOFAR, MeerKAT, SKA, etc), its processing needs to be:

- automated
- accurate
- fast





Deep learning approach: RadioUnet

C Stuardi, C Gheller, F Vazza, A Botteon, Radio U-Net: a convolutional neural network to detect diffuse radio sources in galaxy clusters and beyond, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, Volume 533, Issue 3, September 2024





Examples of LOFAR LoTSS-DR2/PSZ2 galaxy clusters processed by Radio U-Net and successfully classified

Exploring Vision Transformers for Radio Source Detection

11

Comparison of RadioUnet vs TransUnet (TU) based on the simulated dataset



the ground truth mask is calculated as the pixels of the sky image with flux > 10^{-8} Jy

Mock observation:

Light cones from cosmological simulations (sky model)

-7

- Observations simulated with WSClean (https://gitlab.com/aroffringa/wsclean) + custom tools to reproduce noise and artifacts such as
 - ✓ Random instrumental noise (thermal, electronics...)
 - ✓ Incomplete sampling of visibility space (PSF or dirty beam)
- LOFAR HBA 8 hrs observation

Comparison of Evaluation Metrics

- Dataset with 140 mock images (2000 ×2000 px)
- training 100 images, testing 40 images
- nominal spatial resolution 2 arcsec
- single frequency 150 MHz
- ground-truth comprising 2 classes:
 - class 1: emission (3.5%)
 - ✓ class 0: non emission (96.5%)

emissionnon emission

Class 1 Statistics: Emission

RadioUnet: 200 epochs, lr 10 ⁻⁴ , batch size 50, tile size 192 px			
metric	value	std	
Dice	0.4559	± 0.0074	
loU	0.3054	± 0.0055	
Precision	0.6372	± 0.0096	
Recall	0.3916	± 0.0078	
TransUnet: 200 epochs, lr 0.005, batch size 24, tile size 512 px			
metric	value	std	
	0 5077		
Dice	0.53//	± 0.0096	
loU	0.5377	± 0.0096 ± 0.0090	
loU Precision	0.5377 0.3766 0.6121	± 0.0096 ± 0.0090 ± 0.0184	

 $2 \cdot IoU$

Dice =

loU =

Union

 $ext{Precision} = rac{ ext{True Positives}}{ ext{True Positives} + ext{False Positives}}$

 $\text{Recall} = rac{\text{True Positives}}{\text{True Positives} + \text{False Negatives}}$

Comparison of Evaluation Metrics

- Dataset with 140 mock images (2000 ×2000 px)
- training 100 images, testing 40 images
- nominal spatial resolution 2 arcsec
- single frequency 150 MHz
- ground-truth comprising 2 classes:
 - class 1: emission (3.5%)
 - ✓ class 0: non emission (96.5%)

emissionnon emission

Computational Perfomance (NVIDIA A100)	TransUNet	UNet
Inference time (sec/image)	2.9	0.36
Training time (sec/epoch)	88.5	13.5
Image resolution	2000x2000	2000x2000

Results

Sky Model log(Jy) Clean Image log(jy) Dirty Image log(jy) -5 -5.5 -6 -6.5 -7 -7.5 -8 TU Prediction [0-1] UNet Prediction [0-1] Ground Truth [0-1]

The mask (ground truth) of the network prediction has been set with probability threshold > 0.5

Results



The mask (ground truth) of the network prediction has been set with probability threshold > 0.5

15

Results: Flux Distribution of the test dataset

16

Flux distribution of:

- all pixels of the sky images with flux > 10⁻⁸ Jy, i.e. ground truth
- all pixels of the sky images overlapping the TransUNet predictions
- all pixels of the sky images overlapping the RadioUnet predictions











17

OThe same network can be used successfully on **completely different data and fields**

Deep Learning can be effectively used to process and analyze large and complex astronomical images

Ovision transformers can be effective in enhancing segmentation performance of traditional convolutional approach but application on real astronomical images is to be investigated



Finanziato dall'Unione europea NextGenerationEU







THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION



USCVIII – General Assembly, Galzignano October 15th 2024