# Unveiling the structure of LSB galaxies with SHARP

**Enrichetta Iodice INAF-Astronomical Observatory of Capodimonte** 





Science case: low-surface brightness galaxies Lesson learned from IFS for LSB galaxies: the LEWIS project What next with SHARP?















 $10^7 < M < 10^8 M_{\odot}$ 







 $10^7 < M < 10^8 M_{\odot}$ 



# $1.5 \le Re \le 4.6 \ kpc$ $\mu_{0,g} \ge 24 \ mag/arcsec^2$ $M^* \sim 10^8 \ M_{\odot}$







 $10^7 < M < 10^8 M_{\odot}$ 



 $1.5 \le Re \le 4.6 \ kpc$  $\mu_{0,g} \ge 24 \ mag/arcsec^2$  $M^* \sim 10^8 \ M_{\odot}$ 







Color









State of the art: observations vs theoretical predictions



#### **Kinematics**

#### **Stellar pop**





Color













#### **Kinematics**

### **Stellar pop**





#### blue population of UDGs in low-density regions











red and quenched UDGs, in clusters

#### **Stellar pop**





blue population of UDGs in low-density regions











red and quenched UDGs, in clusters

no rotation?

#### **Stellar pop**





blue population of UDGs in low-density regions

Low velocity dispersion

old systems ~ 9 Gyr metal-poor ( $-0.5 \le [M/H] \le -1.5$  dex)







State of the art: observations vs theoretical predictions



red and quenched UDGs, in clusters







younger & star forming





blue population of UDGs in low-density regions

Low velocity dispersion



**DM** dominated  $(M_h \ge 10^{11} M_{\odot})$ 







L

State of the art: observations vs theoretical predictions



red and quenched UDGs, in clusters







no rotation?

younger & star forming

dwarf-like DM  $(M_h \sim 10-100 M_\odot)$ 





blue population of UDGs in low-density regions

Low velocity dispersion

old systems ~ 9 Gyr *metal-poor*  $(-0.5 \le [M/H] \le -1.5 dex)$ 

> **DM** dominated  $(M_h \ge 10^{11} M_{\odot})$

DM-free 

 $UDGs in Coma: large S_N \quad \longleftarrow \quad GCs \quad \longrightarrow \quad dwarf-like S_N$ 









State of the art: observations vs theoretical predictions



red and quenched UDGs, in clusters





no rotation?

younger & star forming

dwarf-like DM  $(M_h \sim 10-100 M_\odot)$ 







### Failed $L_*$ ( $M_* \sim 10^{11} \text{ M}_{\odot}$ ) galaxies (van Dokkum et al. 2015)

lost gas supply at an early epoch, which prevented the formation of normal, higher surface-brightness systems

> UDG is red, quenched, metal poor & old gas poor DM dominated









Internal processes

**UDGs** properties

#### State of the art: observations vs theoretical predictions

External processes













(Di Cintio et al. 2017; Amorisco & Loeb 2016; Rong *et al. 2017; Tremmel et al. 2019)* 

star-formation feedback

high-spin DM halo

**UDGs** properties

External processes









Internal processes



star-formation feedback

high-spin DM halo

(Di Cintio et al. 2017; Amorisco & Loeb 2016; Rong *et al. 2017; Tremmel et al. 2019)* 

**UDGs** properties



External processes









#### Internal processes



star-formation feedback

#### high-spin DM halo

(Lelli et al. 2015; Duc et al. 2014; Ploeckinger et al. 2018; Poggianti et al. 2019; Conselice 2018; Carleton et al. 2021; Bennet et al. 2018; Müller et al. 2019; Silk 2019; Shin et al. 2020; Sales et al. 2020; van Dokkum et al. 2022)

(Di Cintio et al. 2017; Amorisco & Loeb 2016; Rong et al. 2017; Tremmel et al. 2019)





#### External processes

#### • gravitational interactions & merging

interaction with the environment





#### Internal processes



star-formation feedback

#### high-spin DM halo

(Lelli et al. 2015; Duc et al. 2014; Ploeckinger et al. 2018; Poggianti et al. 2019; Conselice 2018; Carleton et al. 2021; Bennet et al. 2018; Müller et al. 2019; Silk 2019; Shin et al. 2020; Sales et al. 2020; van Dokkum et al. 2022)

(Di Cintio et al. 2017; Amorisco & Loeb 2016; Rong et al. 2017; Tremmel et al. 2019)





#### External processes

#### • gravitational interactions & merging

interaction with the environment

et al. tet al. tet

DM free
blue, dust, moderate Z/H, SF
UV emission + gas rich

• gas poor & dwarf-like DM halo









<u>Origin</u>









Origin **Classes of UDGs** Dwarf Puffed-up









Origin **Classes of UDGs** Dwarf Puffed-up LTG Failed







## Which are the observables to discriminate between UDGs formation channels?

- Structural properties & spatial distribution
- Stellar kinematics (also spatially resolved) —> DM content
- Age & Metallicity —> star formation history
- GCs content —> independent DM tracers

## Which are the observables to discriminate between UDGs formation channels?

- Structural properties & spatial distribution
- Stellar kinematics (also spatially resolved) —> DM content
- Age & Metallicity —> star formation history
- GCs content —> independent DM tracers

→ deep images

Structural properties & spatial distribution \*

Stellar kinematics (also spatially resolved) —> DM content

Age & Metallicity —> star formation history

GCs content —> independent DM tracers

### Which are the observables to discriminate between UDGs formation channels?





Structural properties & spatial distribution \*

Stellar kinematics (also spatially resolved) —> DM content

Age & Metallicity —> star formation history

GCs content —> independent DM tracers

### Which are the observables to discriminate between UDGs formation channels?

Where we are?







Structural properties & spatial distribution

\* Stellar kinematics (also spatially resolved) —> DM content

Age & Metallicity —> star formation history

GCs content —> independent DM tracers

### Which are the observables to discriminate between UDGs formation channels?

Where we are?



~3000 UDGs detected groups & cluster

low statistics in the field





Structural properties & spatial distribution

\* Stellar kinematics (also spatially resolved) —> DM content

Age & Metallicity —> star formation history

GCs content —> independent DM tracers



## IFS for LSB galaxies: the LEWIS project Looking into the faintEst With muSe (LEWIS)

\* ESO LP (P.I. E. Iodice) @ MUSE: 133.5 hrs over P108-P109-P110, 2021-2023

Targets: a complete sample of UDGs in the Hydra I cluster





## IFS for LSB galaxies: the LEWIS project Looking into the faintEst With muSe (LEWIS)

Targets: a complete sample of UDGs in the Hydra I cluster

- \* ESO LP (P.I. E. Iodice) @ MUSE: 133.5 hrs over P108-P109-P110, 2021-2023

first homogeneous integral-field spectroscopic survey of UDGs





## LEWIS: project plan

#### science goal: nature of UDGs in Hydra I

#### cluster membership



## LEWIS: project plan

#### science goal: nature of UDGs in Hydra I

#### GCs systemic velocities

stellar populations

#### cluster membership

#### stellar kinematics



## LEWIS: project plan

#### science goal: nature of UDGs in Hydra I

#### GCs systemic velocities

stellar populations

- confirm membership -SN & study of the richness -M<sub>dyn</sub>

-age & metallicity, SFH -evolutionary link with dwarfs

#### cluster membership

#### stellar kinematics

- M<sub>dyn</sub> -DM content vs environment





### **22 UDGs** 8 LSB

Iodice E. et al. 2023, A&A, 679, 69







### 22 UDGs 8 LSB

Iodice E. et al. 2023, A&A, 679, 69

![](_page_35_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_4.jpeg)
### **LEWIS: results** On the cluster-membership





### **LEWIS: results**



### **LEWIS: results**

z: 0.01169 ± 0.00002
Vsys=3507 ± 3 km/s
RV<sub>Hydra</sub>: -176 km/s  $\sigma = 20 \pm 8$  km/s

### ToT Exp T~6hrs - S/N=16











Chiara Buttitta (INAF-OAC) et al. in prep.

### Chiara Buttitta (IN





### Chiara Buttitta (IN



























by Goran Doll (PhD@INAF-OAC & UniNA)



old: ~ 5-10 Gyrs

### by Goran Doll (PhD@INAF-OAC & UniNA)



### comparable age & M/H for dwarf galaxies

old: ~ 5-10 Gyrs

### by Goran Doll (PhD@INAF-OAC & UniNA)









- Bimodality in the stellar rotation map
- $\rightarrow$  Low velocity dispersion ( $\sigma \sim 10 40 \text{ km/s}$ )
- → Old (5-10 Gys) & metal poor (-1.3 < [M/H < -0.2])
- ➡ Bimodal distribution of M/H
- → Dwarf-like DM halo  $(M_h \sim 10^{10} M_{\odot})$

**Different classes of UDGs in Hydra I cluster** 





- Bimodality in the stellar rotation map <</li>
- $\rightarrow$  Low velocity dispersion ( $\sigma \sim 10 40 \text{ km/s}$ )
- → Old (5-10 Gys) & metal poor (-1.3 < [M/H < -0.2])
- Bimodal distribution of M/H
- → Dwarf-like DM halo  $(M_h \sim 10^{10} M_{\odot})$

**Different classes of UDGs in Hydra I cluster** 

44% rotation

22% no rotation





- Bimodality in the stellar rotation map
- → Low velocity dispersion ( $\sigma \sim 10 40 \text{ km/s}$ ) + 1 case with high  $\sigma \sim 60 \text{ km/s}$
- → Old (5-10 Gys) & metal poor (-1.3 < [M/H < -0.2])</p>
- ➡ Bimodal distribution of M/H
- → Dwarf-like DM halo  $(M_h \sim 10^{10} M_{\odot})$

Different classes of UDGs in Hydra I cluster

- → 44% rotation
- $\rightarrow$  22% no rotation





- Bimodality in the stellar rotation map
- $\Rightarrow$  Low velocity dispersion ( $\sigma \sim 10 40 \text{ km/s}$ ) + 1 case with high  $\sigma \sim 60 \text{ km/s}$
- Bimodal distribution of M/H
- → Dwarf-like DM halo  $(M_h \sim 10^{10} M_{\odot})$

**Different classes of UDGs in Hydra I cluster** 

- 44% rotation
- 22% no rotation

 $\rightarrow$  Old (5-10 Gys) & metal poor (-1.3 < [M/H < -0.2]) + 2 cases with younger age (~1 Gyr) & SF





- Bimodality in the stellar rotation map
- $\Rightarrow$  Low velocity dispersion ( $\sigma \sim 10 40 \text{ km/s}$ ) + 1 case with high  $\sigma \sim 60 \text{ km/s}$
- $\rightarrow$  Old (5-10 Gys) & metal poor (-1.3 < [M/H < -0.2]) + 2 cases with younger age (~1 Gyr) & SF
- ➡ Bimodal distribution of M/H —> metal-rich UDGs are "inside" the cluster
- → Dwarf-like DM halo  $(M_h \sim 10^{10} M_{\odot})$

**Different classes of UDGs in Hydra I cluster** 

- 44% rotation
- 22% no rotation





- Bimodality in the stellar rotation map
   22% no rotation
- → Low velocity dispersion ( $\sigma \sim 10 40 \text{ km/s}$ ) + 1 case with high  $\sigma \sim 60 \text{ km/s}$
- → Old (5-10 Gys) & metal poor (-1.3 < [M/H < -0.2]) + 2 cases with younger age (~1 Gyr) & SF
- ➡ Bimodal distribution of M/H —> metal-rich UDGs are "inside" the cluster
- → Dwarf-like DM halo  $(M_h \sim 10^{10} M_{\odot}) + 1$  case DM dominate, i.e. M/L~1000

**Different classes of UDGs in Hydra I cluster** 

- 44% rotation









### → statistically significant and <u>homogeneous imaging</u> and <u>spectroscopic samples</u>







➡ statistically significant and <u>homogeneous imaging</u> and <u>spectroscopic samples</u>

### Euclid LSST ELT

## What we still miss







➡ statistically significant and <u>homogeneous imaging</u> and <u>spectroscopic samples</u>

### Euclid LSST ELT

### WST? SHARP?





→ statistically significant and <u>homogeneous imaging</u> and <u>spectroscopic samples</u>

ELT

→ the detection and properties of UDGs beyond the *local Universe* (z>0.05)

Euclid

LSST



### WST? **SHARP?**





➡ statistically significant and <u>homogeneous imaging</u> and <u>spectroscopic samples</u>

ELT

➡ the detection and properties of UDGs beyond the local Universe (z>0.05)

Euclid

LSST

# WST? SHARP?



DI ASTROFISICA

➡ statistically significant and <u>homogeneous imaging</u> and <u>spectroscopic samples</u>

ELT

➡ the detection and properties of UDGs beyond the local Universe (z>0.05)

Euclid

LSST

# WST? SHARP?



ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI ASTROFISICA

→ statistically significant and <u>homogeneous imaging</u> and <u>spectroscopic samples</u> Euclid LSST **SHARP?** ELT

⇒ the detection and properties of UDGs beyond the local Universe (z>0.05)

→ *dedicated studies* to map the gas content and star formation signs (HI,  $H\alpha$ , and UV emissions)

# WST?



ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI ASTROFISICA

→ statistically significant and <u>homogeneous imaging</u> and <u>spectroscopic samples</u> Euclid LSST **SHARP?** ELT

⇒ the detection and properties of UDGs beyond the local Universe (z>0.05)

→ *dedicated studies* to map the gas content and star formation signs (HI,  $H\alpha$ , and UV emissions)

> MeerKAT **SKA**

# WST?



ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI ASTROFISICA
























- → spectral resolution: LSB galaxies  $M_* \sim 10^{7-8} M_\odot => \sigma \sim 10-30 \text{ km/s}$
- $\rightarrow$  S/N vs Exp Time: MUSE@VLT S/N~16 with ExpT~6hrs ->  $\mu_g$ ~28 mag/arcsec<sup>2</sup>
- Combine long-slit with IF





- → spectral resolution: LSB galaxies  $M_* \sim 10^{7-8} M_\odot => \sigma \sim 10-30 \text{ km/s}$
- $\rightarrow$  S/N vs Exp Time: MUSE@VLT S/N~16 with ExpT~6hrs ->  $\mu_g$ ~28 mag/arcsec<sup>2</sup>
- Combine long-slit with IF

► R~20000





- → spectral resolution: LSB galaxies  $M_* \sim 10^{7-8} M_\odot => \sigma \sim 10-30 \text{ km/s}$
- $\rightarrow$  S/N vs Exp Time: MUSE@VLT S/N~16 with ExpT~6hrs ->  $\mu_g$ ~28 mag/arcsec<sup>2</sup>
- Combine long-slit with IF

 $\rightarrow$  ELT: same ExpT  $- > \sim 3$  times larger S/R

► R~20000





- → spectral resolution: LSB galaxies  $M_* \sim 10^{7-8} M_\odot => \sigma \sim 10-30 \text{ km/s}$
- $\rightarrow$  S/N vs Exp Time: MUSE@VLT S/N~16 with ExpT~6hrs ->  $\mu_g$ ~28 mag/arcsec<sup>2</sup>
- Combine long-slit with IF

 $\blacktriangleright$  LS  $\rightarrow \sigma$  (HR spec) IF -> 2D kin + GCs

 $\rightarrow$  ELT: same ExpT  $- > \sim 3$  times larger S/R

► R~20000





- → spectral resolution: LSB galaxies  $M_* \sim 10^{7-8} M_\odot => \sigma \sim 10-30 \text{ km/s}$
- S/N vs Exp Time: MUSE@VLT S/N~16 with ExpT~6hrs ->  $\mu_g$ ~28 mag/arcsec<sup>2</sup>
- Combine long-slit with IF

 $\blacktriangleright$  LS  $\rightarrow \sigma$  (HR spec) IF -> 2D kin + GCs

SHARP can map the stellar kin & SFH of UDGs at high z

► R~20000

 $\rightarrow$  ELT: same ExpT  $- > \sim 3$  times larger S/R







### Additional slides

### LEWIS data are in the LSB regime —> main issue is the S/N of the spectra

several tests to identify the minimum S/N needed for the data to retrieve a reliable value for  $\sigma_{LOS}$ 



### LEWIS data are in the LSB regime —> main issue is the S/N of the spectra

several tests to identify the minimum S/N needed for the data to retrieve a reliable value for  $\sigma_{LOS}$ 

based on the E-MILES models, we simulated mock UDG11-like spectra to study how the retrieved quantities from the pPXF fit vary with the SNR



several tests to identify the minimum S/N needed for the data to retrieve a reliable value for  $\sigma_{LOS}$ 

based on the E-MILES models, we simulated mock UDG11-like spectra to study how the retrieved quantities from the pPXF fit vary with the SNR

I. constructed a synthetic spectrum with log(Age)=10 and [M/H]=-1.2

LEWIS data are in the LSB regime -> main issue is the S/N of the spectra



several tests to identify the minimum S/N needed for the data to retrieve a reliable value for  $\sigma_{LOS}$ 

based on the E-MILES models, we simulated mock UDG11-like spectra to study how the retrieved quantities from the pPXF fit vary with the SNR

I. constructed a synthetic spectrum with log(Age)=10 and [M/H]=-1.2II. convolved the spectrum with MUSE LSF (Bacon+2017)

LEWIS data are in the LSB regime -> main issue is the S/N of the spectra



I. constructed a synthetic spectrum with log(Age)=10 and [M/H]=-1.2

II. convolved the spectrum with MUSE LSF (Bacon+2017)

III. convolved it with varying kernels to simulate different  $\sigma_{LOS}$ 

- LEWIS data are in the LSB regime -> main issue is the S/N of the spectra
  - several tests to identify the minimum S/N needed for the data to retrieve a reliable value for  $\sigma_{LOS}$
- based on the E-MILES models, we simulated mock UDG11-like spectra to study how the retrieved quantities from the pPXF fit vary with the SNR



I. constructed a synthetic spectrum with log(Age)=10 and [M/H]=-1.2

II. convolved the spectrum with MUSE LSF (Bacon+2017)

III. convolved it with varying kernels to simulate different  $\sigma_{LOS}$ 

- LEWIS data are in the LSB regime -> main issue is the S/N of the spectra
  - several tests to identify the minimum S/N needed for the data to retrieve a reliable value for  $\sigma_{LOS}$
- based on the E-MILES models, we simulated mock UDG11-like spectra to study how the retrieved quantities from the pPXF fit vary with the SNR

  - IV. add Poissonian noise with different 5 < S/N < 120 per pixel







- Minimum S/N to obtain an unbiased value -

