Globular clusters' dynamical age determination based on machine learning performed on large number of detailed MOCCA simulations

Arkadiusz Hypki, Catania, ML4Astro2 2024.07.10

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science of Adam Mickiewicz University <sup>2</sup> Nicolaus Copernicus Astronomical Center, Polish Academy of Sciences

## Outline

Globular clusters

MOCCA code

Features

Numerical models

Motivation

Core collapse excess of blue stragglers number BEANS

Results

## **Globular clusters**

## **Globular clusters**



**Figure 1:** 47Tuc globular star cluster, one of the biggest and oldest in the Milky Way.

- very old (age comparable to the age of the Universe)
- size up to around 100 ly
- a core is clearly visible best place for creating of many exotic objects: cataclysmic variables, X-ray binaries, black holes, intermediate-mass black holes, blue stragglers
- great laboratories for studying stellar evolution and dynamical interactions between stars
- Milky Way GCs: 50% GC within 5 kpc, the most distant 130 kpc

- may provide basic information to understand the **formation and then the evolution of exotic objects** within star clusters (e.g. hard binaries)
- dynamical interactions between stars may lead to **perturbations, disruptions, collisions and mass transfers** between stars
  - e.g. this may lead to decrease the semi-major axes and allow mergers which would now happen otherwise
  - may lead to formation of exotic binaries, supernova explosions (especially in the initial phase when many of massive stars are present), formation of black holes
- dynamical interactions in GCs may eject a lot of binaries that could be potential **sources of GWs**

MOCCA code

## MOCCA – features

- $\cdot$  one of the most advanced codes for simulations of real-size star clusters
- based on Monte Carlo method (a few simplifications in comparison to N-body codes, e.g. one radial position)
- agrees very well with N-body codes (Wang et al. 2016)
- provides almost as much details about stars as N-body codes
- simulating the real clusters (M22, M4, 47Tuc etc.)
- exotic objects: blue stragglers, IMBHs, CVs...
- "observations" of simulations vs. real observations (COCOA)
- MOCCA can now handle **dynamical evolution of multiple population**
- very fast, which allows to test whole range of possible initial conditions (MOCCA-SURVEYs)
- data analysis with BEANS

- over 2000 detailed models of real size star clusters done with previous version of MOCCA (MOCCA-SURVEY-1)
  - various initial conditions
  - accessible from BEANS alongside with MOCCA-SURVEY-2 (http://beans.moccacode.net/)

#### **MOCCA-SURVEY-1**



Figure 2: First MOCCA-SURVEY (Askar et al. 2017)

Parameter	FG	SG
Ν	400k, 600k, 800k, 1.6M	150k, 200k, 300k, 600k
$\mathbf{W}_{0}$	2, 3, 4, 5, 6	7, 8
$\mathbf{M}_{max}$	150	20, 150
fb	0.1, 0.5, 0.95	
rg	2, 4, 6, 8	
r <sub>hFG</sub>	2, 4, 6, 8, TF	
conc <sub>pop</sub>	0.05, 0.1,	0.15, 0.2

**Figure 3:** Grid of initial conditions of MOCCA simulations. Note: not all of the combination of the parameters were computed.

- focus on multiple populations
  - $\cdot \ \rightarrow$  but the simulations are useful for any project
- updated SSE/BSE (Hurley et al. 2002, 2005)

## MOCCA-SURVEYs (Survey1, Survey2, and more models in progress



Figure 4: Grid of all MOCCA models from different MOCCA-SURVEY.

## Milky Way coverage of initial conditions (MOCCA-SURVEY-2)



**Figure 5:** MOCCA simulation *r<sub>c</sub>*, and *r<sub>hl</sub>* coverage of Milky Way GCs. MOCCA simulations cover proper ranges of values of Milky Way GCs – it gives some confidence that the results of our work are well representing Milky Way GCs

Motivation

## What are blue stragglers?



- BSs defined as stars which are brighter and bluer (hotter) than the main sequence turn-off point
- BSs lie along an extension of the main sequence in CMD
- it suggests that these objects got some additional mass
- BSs are present essentially in all star clusters

Figure 6: Example BSs in NGC2419

## Two channels of formation: mass transfer and collisions



# **Figure 7:** Mass transfer and collisional scenarios of BSs formation

- mass transfer (MT):
  - only for binaries (strong dependence on IMF)
  - BSs exceed only slightly turn-off (mostly)
  - MT leads to merger, which can create BSs too
- collisions (COLL):
  - dynamical interactions
  - important only for some star clusters

## Core collapse excess of blue stragglers number - 1 Gyr



Figure 8: Core collapse vs. dynamical blue straggler excess

## Core collapse excess of blue stragglers number - 3 Gyr



Figure 9: Core collapse vs. dynamical blue straggler excess

## Core collapse excess of blue stragglers number - 6 Gyr



**Figure 10:** Core collapse vs. dynamical blue straggler excess

## Core collapse excess of blue stragglers number - 11 Gyr



Figure 11: Core collapse vs. dynamical blue straggler excess



**Figure 12:** Dynamical BSSs to evolution BSSs fractions function of the half-mass relaxation time

- MOCCA simulations divided into two groups: more massive clusters (green points,  $> 100 k M_{\odot}$ ), and less massive clusters (red points,  $< 100 k M_{\odot}$
- low and high mass GCs have clearly different slopes for the excess of dynamical BSSs
- motivation 1: ML to find the core collapse automatically

## **BEANS** code

E Q Dashboard		4 <mark>8</mark> X II
ashboard		
1982 Datasets More info	<b>39966</b> Tables More Info	
184 Hotebooks More info	<b>26</b> Usen More info	
Notebooks	Search	Q
Name	Last edit	
Checking if we have 9, 10 types of kicks for B interactions	2023-08-22 17:38	
WDs - Survey 5	2023-08-13 21:23	
Searching for cases when in inter-binevol a b	2023-08-07 15:15	

- interactive, distributed data analysis
- $\cdot$  web-based
- open source
- data analysis in a form of notebooks (like Jupyter)
- Apache Pig (Apache Hadoop)
- connectors to MOCCA, NBODY codes
- Python, AWK, Gaia plugins
- access to all simulations from all different MOCCA-SURVEY from BEANS
- motivation 2: ML plugin

#### Figure 13: http://BEANScode.net

## **BEANS** code



Figure 14: BEANS example notebook (computing histories for all WDs from all MOCCA simulations). 18

## Results

## **BEANS ML plugin**

BEANS release	= Q Machine learning tests Q X		
) arkadiusz@hypki.net	→ × ₩ ↑ ↓ 00 + · ₩ →		
	Predicting core collapse for one Survey1 mocca simulation		
	Training table(s)		
	Data from datasets from Tables		
	mach train		
	Column names for training (separated by comma)		
	tphys, smt, r1, rchut2, rhob, vc		
	column name to predict		
	Test table(s)		
	Data from datasets from Tables		
	machine system d8cb7		
	Column names for testing should be the same as for learning and should exist in the test tables		
	Contract table		
	Output table Output table name with predictions		
	collapsed predicted full system survey1		
	Column name with predictions		
	collapsedpred		

#### Figure 15: BEANS ML plugin

- ML plugin added to BEANS
  - now we have access to our > 2000 MOCCA simulations
- currently we are using SCIKIT-LEARN
  - e.g. Random Forest Classifier
  - APACHE MAHOUT in plans
- one can easily define which column to use for learning which helps non-technical users
- the output are immediately accessible in BEANS for further analysis

### ML accuracy



Figure 16: Qualitative ML accuracy for predicting core collapse for a few MOCCA simulations

20

## Finding the core collapse time



Figure 17: Collapse and not collapsed parts for one selected MOCCA simulation

## Last step - using Apache Pig to compute core collapse



**Figure 18:** Apache Pig computes cumulative distributions for all MOCCA simulations for collapsed and not collapsed points. The core collapse is when not collapsed closes to 1.0, and not collapsed is still small.

## Last step - using Apache Pig to compute cumulative distributions



Figure 19: Cumulative plots showing collapsed and not collapsed parts for one MOCCA simulation

## Current step – testing different classifiers

Nearest Neighbors 'n\_neighbors': 3: accuracy=68.59% precision=37.56% recall=49.07% train\_time=18.12333s predict\_time=528.67222s

•••

Decision Tree 'max\_depth': 10: accuracy=67.49% precision=35.26% recall=44.43% train\_time=18.15583s predict\_time=0.11338s

...

Random Forest 'max\_depth': 10, 'max\_features': 'sqrt', 'n\_estimators': 10: accuracy=67.97% precision=36.28% recall=46.44% train\_time=102.94294s predict\_time=1.12742s

•••

## Naive Bayes 'var\_smoothing': 1e-07: accuracy=95.29% precision=90.63% recall=89.36% train\_time=0.99014s predict\_time=0.31822s

QDA 'reg\_param': 0.0: accuracy=85.84% precision=79.14% recall=54.67% train\_time=2.08210s predict\_time=0.48526s

•••

Gradient Boosting 'learning\_rate': 0.01, 'n\_estimators': 50: accuracy=67.49% precision=35.26% recall=44.43% train\_time=1294.12376s predict\_time=2.26932s

•••

- check different GCs parameters (or subset of them) to asses whether the predictions would be equally good
- check other ML classifiers:
  - Nearest Neighbors, Decision Tree, Random Forest (different params), Naive Bayes, QDA, Gradient Boosting
- use ML to predict CC, nCC, IMBH-GC, BHs-GC clusters

## Conclusions



Figure 20: MOCCA, NCAC, NCN

- BEANS it is a nice cool toy which allow us to do the full data analysis (+ML) on TBs of data from one place
- machine learning is unbelievable powerful
  - machine learning can automatize many effort with really easily
  - it can be actually easy applied
- astro science: core collapse in GCs does increases the number of blue stragglers

#### MOCCAcode.net | BEANScode.net | Arkadiusz Hypki | ahypki@camk.edu.pl