# Self-supervised deep learning for component separation in the submillimeter sky

#### Victor Bonjean with Nabila Aghanim, Marian Dous

#### ML4ASTRO2 - Catania - 11/07/2024









with Nabila Aghanim, Marian Douspis, Tony Bonnaire, and Hideki Tanimura







Funded by the European Union



Observation

 $X = S_0 + S_1 + S_2$ 

Observation



#### Observation

#### Multiple frequencies

 $X_{i} = a_{i}S_{0} + b_{i}S_{1} + c_{i}S_{2}$ 

3 components



# $S_0 S_1 S_2$

#### ntrequencies





#### nx3: « Mixing matrix »

# $) S_1 S_2$

#### n frequencies





# X = A S

# $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{S}$

#### 1. We know A

#### «No problem» $S = A^{-1}X$

• Never the case



### X = AS + NBlind Source Separation (BSS)

#### 1. We know A

#### «No problem» $S = A^{-1} X$

• Never the case

2. We don't know A



- FastICA Prior on S
  GMCA
- Unsupervised Learning





#### 1. We know A

#### «No problem» $S = A^{-1} X$

• Never the case

#### 1.5. We know a bit of A

Problem

- ILC
- GMCA
- Self-supervised Learning
- Template based fitting
  - ----- Prior on A

### X = AS + NBlind Source Separation (BSS)

2. We don't know A



- FastICA \_\_\_\_\_ Prior on S
- GMCA
- Unsupervised Learning





#### 1. We know A

#### «No problem» $S = A^{-1} X$

• Never the case

#### 1.5. We know a bit of A

Problem

- ILC
- GMCA
- Self-supervised Learning
- Template based fitting
  - ----- Prior on A

### X = AS + NBlind Source Separation (BSS)

2. We don't know A



- FastICA \_\_\_\_\_ Prior on S
- GMCA
- Unsupervised Learning





#### 1. We know A

#### «No problem» $S = A^{-1} X$

• Never the case

Problem

- ILC
- GMCA
- Self-supervised Learning
- Template based fitting
  - ----- Prior on A

### X = A S + NBlind Source Separation (BSS)

1.5. We know a bit of A 2. We don't know A

1.75 My work - Problem

- FastICA \_\_\_\_\_ Prior on S
- GMCA
- Unsupervised Learning





### Component separation with deep learning

### Component separation with deep learning Deep Image Prior (DIP) and « Double-DIP »



Figure 2: Double-DIP Framework. Two Deep-Image-*Prior networks (DIP* $_1 \& DIP_2$ ) *jointly decompose an input* image I into its layers ( $y_1 \& y_2$ ). Mixing those layers back according to a learned mask m, reconstructs an image  $\hat{I} \approx I$ .

Gandelsman et al, 2018

### Component separation with deep learning Deep Image Prior (DIP) and « Double-DIP »



Figure 2: Double-DIP Framework. Two Deep-Image-*Prior networks (DIP* $_1$  & *DIP* $_2$ ) *jointly decompose an input* image I into its layers ( $y_1 \& y_2$ ). Mixing those layers back according to a learned mask m, reconstructs an image  $I \approx I$ .

Gandelsman et al, 2018

### Component separation with deep learning Deep Image Prior (DIP) and « Double-DIP »



Figure 2: Double-DIP Framework. Two Deep-Image-*Prior networks (DIP* $_1 \& DIP_2$ *) jointly decompose an input* image I into its layers ( $y_1 \& y_2$ ). Mixing those layers back according to a learned mask m, reconstructs an image  $I \approx I$ .

Gandelsman et al, 2018

#### 2) Apply priors on the mixing matrix

# Component separation with deep learning



Figure 2: Double-DIP Framework. Two Deep-Image-Prior networks (DIP<sub>1</sub> & DIP<sub>2</sub>) jointly decompose an input image I into its layers  $(y_1 \& y_2)$ . Mixing those layers back according to a learned mask m, reconstructs an image  $\hat{I} \approx I$ .

Deep Image Prior (DIP) and « Double-DIP »

3) Add a reconstruction loss (MSE) between the recomposed image and the input image

2) Apply priors on the mixing matrix

Gandelsman et al, 2018

# Component separation with deep learning



#### « Double-DIP »

Figure 1: A unified framework for image decomposition. An image can be viewed as a mixture of "simpler" layers. Decomposing an image into such layers provides a unified framework for many seemingly unrelated vision tasks (e.g., segmentation, dehazing, transparency separation). Such a decomposition can be achieved using "Double-DIP".

#### Gandelsman et al, 2018





CMB, tSZ, kSZ, CIB, Radio sources, CO, Free-free, Synchrotron and Galactic dust



CMB, tSZ, kSZ, CIB, Radio sources, CO, Free-free, Synchrotron and Galactic dust



CMB, tSZ, kSZ, CIB, Radio sources, CO, Free-free, Synchrotron and Galactic dust

#### Non independent

# $\longrightarrow \{C_i\} = \text{Bio} (1^*(\text{CMB}+\text{kSZ}) + \text{fi}^*\text{SZ} + \text{CIBi}) + \text{Ni}$



#### First study on **WebSky** numerical simulations (Stein et al, 2020):

- 90, 100, 143, 145, 217, 225, 280, 353, 545 GHz (Planck and SO)
- Healpix nside=4096
- CMB, CIB, SZ
- No noise, no beams



#### $C_i = 1 \times CMB + f_i \times SZ + CIB_i$

#### 1) Project HEALPIX nside=4096 in patches



#### $C_i = 1 \times CMB + f_i \times SZ + CIB_i$

#### 1) Project HEALPIX nside=4096 in patches

2) Network with n DIP for n components



#### $C_i = 1 \times CMB + f_i \times SZ + CIB_i$

#### 1) Project HEALPIX nside=4096 in patches

#### 2) Network with n DIP for n components

3) Reconstruct the maps with the approximated mixing matrix





$$\psi_i(\overline{z}) = \left(\frac{i}{545}\right)^{\beta+3} \times \frac{\exp\left(\frac{i}{545}\right)^{\beta+3}}{\exp\left(\frac{i}{545}\right)^{\beta+3}}$$

#### $C_i = 1 \times CMB + f_i \times SZ + CIB_i$

#### 1) Project HEALPIX nside=4096 in patches

#### 2) Network with n DIP for n components

3) Reconstruct the maps with the approximated mixing matrix





$$\psi_i(\overline{z}) = \left(\frac{i}{545}\right)^{\beta+3} \times \frac{\exp\left(\frac{i}{545}\right)^{\beta+3}}{\exp\left(\frac{i}{545}\right)^{\beta+3}}$$

#### $C_i = 1 \times CMB + f_i \times SZ + CIB_i$

#### 1) Project HEALPIX nside=4096 in patches

#### 2) Network with n DIP for n components

3) Reconstruct the maps with the approximated mixing matrix





$$\psi_i(\overline{z}) = \left(\frac{i}{545}\right)^{\beta+3} \times \frac{\exp\left(\frac{i}{545}\right)^{\beta+3}}{\exp\left(\frac{i}{545}\right)^{\beta+3}}$$



#### Optimization process



## Components are converging into the expected solution during the optimization





Variances:





**y** 5e-05 ò

СМВ





μ**κ** 330 -330

CIB



0.03 1.2 MJy.sr<sup>-1</sup>



0

































#### Power spectra:

#### Results







#### Power spectra:



#### Comparison with MILCA (Planck)



SZ map less contaminated by other components

#### Contamination:

#### SZ fluxes around clusters:



Competitive with MILCA

Second study on **WebSky** numerical simulations (Stein et al, 2020) and Planck Sky Model (pySM3, Thorne et al, 2016):

- 100, 143, 217, 353, 545 GHz (Planck)
- Healpix nside=2048
- CMB, CIB, tSZ, kSZ, radio sources, free-free, synchrotron, CO, AME, dust
- Noise & beams of Planck (no degraded resolution)

ee-free, synchrotron, CO, AME, dust ded resolution)









Bonjean et al, in prep.

#### Summary

- •
- **Competitive** with state-of-the-art methods (MILCA)
- **Less contaminated** by other components thanks to an adaptative CIB model
- **Use the full information** of the maps (no need to degrade resolution)

#### Next steps

- Include **polarization** maps
- Forecast for SO & LiteBIRD
- Application on foreground removal for **SKA** (M. Spinelli, J-L Starck)









# Functional deep learning network for blind multi-component separation of CMB, SZ, and CIB

#### Check it out on arxiv!











**Funded by** the European Union

