## **8th Heidelberg International Symposium on High-Energy Gamma-Ray Astronomy (γ-2024)**

**Milan, 5 September 2024**

# **Gamma rays from binaries**

## **Marc Ribó (mribo@ub.edu)**

## **Departament de Física Quàntica i Astrofísica**





<u>IEECR</u>



- 1. Introduction
- 2. X-ray binaries
- 3. Gamma-ray binaries
- 4. Colliding-wind binaries
- 5. Novae
- 6. Conclusions

## **Introduction**

### **Why HE/VHE/UHE binary systems?**

#### **Particle acceleration**

- o Around a compact object in the system (relativistic wind, jet, etc.)
- In a shock of winds within the binary system (relativistic or stellar)



- Ø **Photon field** from companion to produce (anisotropic) **Inverse Compton**
- **Matter field** from stellar wind and/or decretion disk to have **pp interactions**
- Ø **Absorption** due to photon field of the companion

#### **(e.g., Dubus 2015, Bordas 2023 and references therein)**.



## **Introduction Binary systems** at **HE** / **VHE**.



Accreting X-ray binaries Young non-accreting pulsars Gamma-ray binaries



#### Colliding-wind binaries



#### Novae

Accreting WDs

Black Widows Recycled and transitional ms pulsars





#### **Binary systems** at **HE** / **VHE**.



Accreting X-ray binaries Toung non-accreting pulsars Colliding-wind binaries Gamma-ray binaries



#### Novae

Accreting WDs

**… Black** transitional ms pulsarsBlack Widows Recycled and





Many ways to produce gamma rays… when the jet is active! X-ray states, transients!

**Leptonic models**: Inverse Compton

- Ø **Synchrotron Self Compton** relativistic ein the jets with jet photons.
- $\triangleright$  **External Compton:** relativistic  $e^-$  in the jets with photon field of companion star **(e.g., Atoyan & Aharonian 1999, Paredes et al. 2000, Georganopoulos et al. 2002)**

**Hadronic models**: pp interactions and neutral pion decay

Ø **Jet protons with companion stellar wind** Ø **Jet protons with ISM (e.g. Romero et al. 2003, Dermer &** 

**Böttcher 2006, Bosch-Ramon et al. 2006)**



**Cygnus X-1.** O9.7Iab with 21  $M<sub>o</sub>$  BH at 2.2 kpc. Ø **GeV detection** during low/hard (jet) state. Orbital variability  $\rightarrow$  anisotropic IC scattering **(Zanin et al. 2016, Zdziarski et al. 2017)**.  $\triangleright$  TeV ULs when accumulating 40 or ~100 h **(Albert et al. 2007, Ahnen et al. 2017)**.  $\triangleright$  **TeV** excess at onset of **hard X-ray** peak (4 $\sigma$ )

post-trial) **(Albert et al. 2007)**.









z

 $2.0$ 

1.5

'n. iet

X

e.  $\theta$ 



M. Ribó (UB) Gamma rays from binaries 9

 $10^{-1}$ 

1

 $10<sup>4</sup>$ 

 $10^3$ 

 $10<sup>2</sup>$ 

10

E [GeV]

**Cygnus X-3.** WR of  $\sim$ 12 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub> with  $\sim$ 7 M $_{\odot}$  BH at 9 kpc. Ø **GeV detection** during radio flaring periods Orbital variability  $\rightarrow$  anisotropic IC **(Tavani et al. 2009, Abdo et al. 2009)**. 10  $\triangleright$  Jet *i* and orbital motion  $\rightarrow$  GeV lightcurve **(Dubus et al. 2010, Bednarek 2010)**. 5  $\triangleright$  **ULs at TeV** energies from ~60 h of Galactic latitude (°) MAGIC obs. **(Aleksic et al. 2010)**. o Not very constraining.  $\Omega$ o Cutoff ?  $\circ$   $\gamma\gamma$  absorption very relevant!  $-5$ See also VERITAS ULs **(Archambault et al. 2013)**.  $-10$  $\triangleright$  SHALON results at 0.8-100 TeV? **(Sinitsyna & Sinitsyna 2022)**. 90 Ø **UHE: Cyg X-3 in the core of Cygnus bubble (LHAASO Collaboration 2024)**.





**SS 433**. A-type supergiant orbited by ~BH at 5.5 kpc. Super-Eddington accretion. Barion-loaded 0.26*c* jet. Inside the W50 nebula, being distorted by jets.

Ø **multi-TeV detection** by HAWC, compatible with leptonic scenario with  $e$  energies up to  $\sim$  >100 TeV and *B*=16 µG **(Abeysekara et al. 2018)**.





**SS 433**. A-type supergiant orbited by ~BH at 5.5 kpc. Super-Eddington accretion. Barion-loaded 0.26*c* jet. Inside the W50 nebula, being distorted by jets.

Ø **multi-TeV detection** by HAWC, compatible with leptonic scenario with  $e$ - energies up to  $\sim$  >100 TeV and *B*=16 µG **(Abeysekara et al. 2018)**.

Ø **TeV detection** by H.E.S.S. Energy range: 1-50 TeV. At  $\sim$ 30 pc from the source on both E and W. Similar shape  $\&$  spectrum. Spatially consistent with the extended non-thermal  $\frac{5}{2}$ X-ray jets. **(H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. 2024)**.



(°) င

M. Ribó (UB) Gamma rays from binaries 12

 $39$ 

 $(^\circ)$ 

Pre-trial significance,  $\sigma$ 

**SS 433**. A-type supergiant orbited by ~BH at 5.5 kpc.

- Ø **Energy-dependent morphology** points to **leptonic origin** for TeV emission (advection and energy-dependent particle energy loss timescale).
- Ø Gamma-ray emission: IC of synch. photons by relativistic *e* up to 200 TeV.
- Ø Shocks where flow velocity decreases to 0.08*c* **(H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. 2024)**.



**V4641 Sgr.** B star of ~3 M<sub>\o</sub> with ~6 M<sub>\o</sub> BH at 6 kpc.  $\frac{2}{3}$  -26. Super-Eddington accretion. Superluminal 9.5*c* jets.

Ø **multi-TeV detection** significant above 100 TeV One or two sources? Spectrum up to >220 TeV. Projected in the plane of sky: 30 pc N, 55 pc S. What is their real distance? Similar to SS 433? **(HAWC Collaboration 2024, talk by Casanova)**.









**V4641 Sgr.** B star of ~3 M<sub>\o</sub> with ~6 M<sub>\o</sub> BH at 6 kpc.  $\frac{1}{2}$ <sub>-26</sub>. Super-Eddington accretion. Superluminal 9.5*c* jets. Ø **multi-TeV detection** significant above 100 TeV

One or two sources? Spectrum up to >220 TeV. Projected in the plane of sky: 30 pc N, 55 pc S. What is their real distance? Similar to SS 433? **(HAWC Collaboration 2024, talk by Casanova)**.







**Right Ascension** 



**Searches for TeV emission** from other X-ray binaries with jets have been conducted (non-exhaustive list):

- Ø **Scorpius X-1 (Aleksic et al. 2011)**.
- Ø **GRS 1915+105 (Acero et al. 2009, Saito et al. 2009, Abdalla et al. 2018)**.
- Ø **V404 Cyg (Ahnen et al. 2017)**.
- Ø **Cir X-1 (Abdalla et al. 2018)**.
- Ø **MAXI J1820+070 (Abe et al. 2018)**.

 $\triangleright$  ...

Spectral Energy Distribution (SED) maximum:

Accreting X-ray binary **Cygnus X-3** at keV.

Gamma-ray binary **LS I +61 303** (probably not accreting) at MeV-GeV.

**(Zdziarksi et al. 2011; Sidoli et al. 2006)**.

From **Moldón (2012)**.





M. Ribó (UB) Gamma rays from binaries 17



Basically: O6 III-V stars and B0 Ve stars.

- Ø 9 binary systems detected at GeV and/or TeV energies: **>10 TeV, ~100 TeV !**
- Ø 3 contain **young non-accreting pulsars**. The rest could be similar.
- $\triangleright$  Similar scenario as for PWN, but wind and photons from companion.
- Ø **Cometary tails** detected in radio (VLBI).



**LS I +61 303** cometary tail varying with orbital phase **(Dhawan et al. 2006)**.



**General observational properties:**

- Ø Binary system: **massive O/Be star** and **compact object of unknown nature** (3 radio pulsars in PSR B1259-63, PSR J2032+4127 and LS I +61 303).
- Ø **Distances** from few kpc to the LMC (50 kpc).
- Ø Very **different orbital configurations**: periods from 4 d to 50 yr and eccentricities from 0.3 to 0.95  $\rightarrow$  very different separations (0.1-100 AU).
- Ø VLBI observations show extended, **cometary tail-like morphologies**, sometimes forming bipolar structures like microquasars.
- Ø The **X-ray flux** is modulated with the orbital period, but with **maximum**  $\neq$  periastron. No clear accretion signatures, no X-ray pulsations.
- Ø **GeV spectra** can be fitted with a power law + exponential cutoff, **like for pulsar magnetospheres**, but the **emission is variable(!)** and periodic.
- M. Ribó (UB) Gamma rays from binaries 20 Ø **TeV emission is periodic** and to first order **correlated with X-ray emission**.

#### **Simulations**.

**2D relativistic hydrodynamical simulations** on the scale of the orbit of a pulsar wind with interacting with a stellar wind **(Bosch-Ramon et al. 2012)**.

- $\triangleright$  Particle acceleration and non-thermal emission in shock formed towards the star and in **strong shocks produced by the orbital motion** (Coriolis shock).
- Ø Strong instabilities lead to the development of **turbulence and mixing**.
- **Doppler boosting** will have significant and complex effects on radiation.



Tracer Density and velocity field



See also other works **(Bosch-Ramon et al. 2015, Lamberts et al. 2011, 2012, 2013, Dubus et al. 2015, Huber et al. 2021a,b, Kissmann et al. 2023)**.

#### **PSR B1259-63**. **2010 periastron passage** by *Fermi***/LAT (Abdo et al. 2011)**.

- $\triangleright$  Marginal detection at periastron, huge GeV flare (only) afterwards!
- Ø **Nearly all the spin-down power is released** in HE gamma rays.
- Ø Doppler boosting suggested **(Tam et al. 2011)**, but fine tuning is needed(!).



**PSR B1259-63**. **2017 periastron passage** by *Fermi***/LAT** showed slightly different results, with more structure, later flares 70 days after periastron and a HE gamma-ray luminosity above the spin-down luminosity of the pulsar  $\rightarrow$ **Doppler boosting is needed! (Johnson et al. 2017)**.



**PSR B1259-63**. **2017 periastron passage** by *Fermi***/LAT** showed slightly different results, with more structure, later flares 70 days after periastron and a HE gamma-ray luminosity above the spin-down luminosity of the pulsar  $\rightarrow$ **Doppler boosting is needed! (Johnson et al. 2017)**.



Note. For the timescales listed during the 2017 periastron passage, this table provides the maximum energy flux (G), gamma-ray luminosity  $(L_{\gamma})$ , and luminosity as a fraction of the spin-down power,  $\dot{E} = 8.2 \times 10^{35} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  $(L_{\gamma}/E)$ . For the uncertainty on  $L_{\gamma}$ , we incorporate both the energy flux and distance uncertainties.

**PSR B1259-63**. **2017 periastron passage** by *Fermi***/LAT** showed slightly different results, with more structure, later flares 70 days after periastron and a HE gamma-ray luminosity above the spin-down luminosity of the pulsar  $\rightarrow$ **Doppler boosting is needed! (Johnson et al. 2017)**.



**MW results of 2021** periastron passage **(Chernyakova et al. 2024)**. **GeV flares in 2024** passage **(Burnett et al. 2024, Martí-Devesa et al. 2024)**.

#### **LS 5039**.

- Ø **Variable TeV emission** with the **orbital phase (Aharonian et al. 2006)**.
- $\triangleright$  Flux maximum at inferior conjunction of the compact object.
- Ø g**-**g **absorption** (e+-e- pair production on stellar UV photons), which has an angle dependent cross-section, **plays a major role but…**



#### **LS 5039**.

- Ø **Variable TeV emission** with the **orbital phase (Aharonian et al. 2006)**.
- $\triangleright$  Flux maximum at inferior conjunction of the compact object.
- Ø g**-**g **absorption** (e+-e- pair production on stellar UV photons), which has an angle dependent cross-section, **plays a major role but…**



Ø **… the flux should be 0** at periastron and superior conjunction, **but it's not!** Ø … the **spectrum** shows strong **variability**, but **not at 200 GeV** as predicted by absorption models! **(Dubus 2006, Böttcher 2007)**. Cascading has to be modeled in detail **(Khangulyan+ 2008, Cerutti+ 2010)**. Phase-dependent e- acceleration? TeV emission produced away from CO?

#### **LS I +61 303**.

**MAGIC** reported a correlation between X-ray and VHE gamma-ray emission **(Anderhub et al. 2009)**. This suggests **leptonic processes** are at work, and that the **X-rays are the result of synchrotron radiation** of the same electrons that produce **VHE emission as a result of IC scattering off stellar photons**.



**VERITAS** found a similar correlation with data 0.5 h apart, not with data within 24 h **(Patel et al. 2022)**.

#### **Simulations**.

Simulations of LS 5039 HE/VHE emission with 3D relativistic hydrodynamics. **Variability on timescales of 1 h reproduced (Kissmann et al. 2023)**.

These are complex systems.



Fig. 2. Different snapshots of mass density in the orbital plane during a short period in the second full orbit as indicated in the plots. As given by the indicated orbital phases, these snapshots cover a period of slightly more than 40 min.

#### **HESS J0632+057**.

**MAGIC/VERITAS/HESS** around 450 h of TeV observations from 2004 to 2019:

- Ø **Orbital periodicity** from VHE data.
- $\triangleright$  Spectra compatible with power-law, requiring a cutoff for orbital phases 0.2-0.4.
- Ø Clear **X-ray/TeV correlation** with non-zero X-ray flux when TeV emission disappears (as for LS I +61 303) **(Adams et al. 2021)**.



#### **HESS J1832-093**.

**NIR** observations reveal **another O6V star**. Distance around 6.7 kpc.

- $\triangleright$  Apparent grouping around this spectral type for the known gamma-ray binaries with an O-type star.
- Ø This may be due to the interplay between the **initial mass function** and the **wind momentum–luminosity relation (van Soelen et al. 2024)**.
- $\triangleright$  Should we focus around this spectral type when searching for new systems?



M. Ribó (UB) Gamma rays from binaries 31

**Searches for new gamma-ray binaries** (non-exhaustive list):

- Ø **Fermi/LAT periodicity searches**: previous successful discoveries include 1FGL J1018.6-5856, LMCP3 and 4FGL J1405.1-6119 **(Fermi LAT Collaboration et al. 2012, Corbet et al. 2016, Corbet et al. 2019)**.
- Ø **Runaway massive stars from Gaia DR3 (Carretero-Castrillo, Ribó, Paredes 2023)**.
- Ø **Obscured massive stars (Martí & Luque-Escamilla, poster at this conference)**.

 $\triangleright$  ...

#### Ø **CTA in the future**

## **Colliding-wind binaries**



#### **Eta Carinae**

- Ø *Fermi***/LAT** data during 12yr show **5.5 yr orbital variability in Eta Carinae**.
- $\triangleright$  This can be understood and interpreted in a colliding-wind binary scenario for orbital modulation of the gamma-ray emission.
- Ø The **lightcurves change from cycle to cycle**.
- $\triangleright$  The spectral shape in each periastron passage is different.
- Ø These facts strongly suggest that **the wind collision region of this system is perturbed from orbit to orbit**, affecting particle transport within the shock **(Martí-Devesa & Reimer 2021)**.



## **Colliding-wind binaries**



#### **Eta Carinae**

- Ø HESS detected **VHE** g-ray emission from **Eta Carinae close to periastron**.
- $\triangleright$  The source is point-like and the spectrum is best described by a power law.
- Ø The γ-ray spectrum extends up to **at least** ∼**400 GeV**.
- $\triangleright$  In a leptonic scenario this implies  $\boldsymbol{B} \leq 0.5$  G in the emission region.
- $\triangleright$  No indication for phase-locked flux variations is detected in the HESS data. **(HESS Collaboration, Abdalla et al. 2020)**.



**Novae**

## **RS Oph MAGIC, HESS, LST** have reported VHE emission from the recurrent nova **RS Oph (Acciari et al. 2022, Aharonian et al. 2022, Aguasca-Cabot et al. 2022)**.



**H.E.S.S.**  $\alpha$ <sub>HESS</sub> = 1.43 ± 0.18 Fermi-LAT  $\times 10^{-3}$ Ŷ.  $10^{-11} \alpha_{\text{LAT}} = 1.31 \pm 0.07$ Energy Flux (erg cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>)<br> $\frac{1}{2}$  $T_0$  $10^{-13}$  $10$  $30$ 5 Time (days)

**Modelling of the VHE and HE**  *Fermi*/LAT data clearly support **hadronic emission processes**.





## **Conclusions**

- Ø **X-ray binaries** are now well stablished ~100 TeV emitters in reacceleration jet regions (SS 433, V4641 Sgr?). **Leptonic emission favored**. Hints of fast TeV variability in some sources? (Cyg X-1).
- Ø **Gamma-ray binaries** showing a diversity of behaviors with emission up to ~100 TeV with no cutoff. **Leptonic emission favored**.
- $\triangleright$  More and more evidence of clustering around O or Be stars with young nonaccreting pulsars. What is their real population? **Searches** for new gammaray binaries ongoing.
- Ø **Novae** discovered a few years ago at VHE. **Hadronic emission favored**. Will T CrB finally explode? Many physical parameters could be constrained in such nearby system.
- Ø **Colliding-wind binaries** also in place but need CTA to make real progress.