







INAF



ISTITUTO UNIVERSITARIO DI STUDI SUPERIORI DI PAVIA **UNIVERSITY OF TRENTO INAF/IASF - MILAN**

Young and Massive Star Clusters as Galactic PeVatrons

A. Bonollo A. Giuliani, P. Esposito, S. Crestan, G. Galanti, S. Mereghetti, M. Rigoselli

8th Heidelberg International Symposium on High-Energy Gamma-Ray Astronomy September 4th, 2024

PeVatrons are CR factories accelerating protons and electrons to PeV energies.

The SNR Paradigm explains CRs below the knee:

- Enough power for the CR flux
- Compatible SNR-CR distributions.

SNRs struggle to reach PeV energies.

LHAASO identified other classes of PeVatron candidates:

- PWNe
- <u>YMSCs</u> (CygOB2)

Energies and rates of the cosmic-ray particles



Next-Generation IACT Telescopes - I

<u>CTAO</u> (*Cherenkov Telescope Array Observatory*) will consist of two arrays of telescopes with (*alpha configuration*):

- LSTs and MSTs in the northern site (20 GeV 5 TeV).
- MSTs and SSTs in the southern site (150 GeV 300 TeV).

It will have **more than 60 telescopes** located across the two hemispheres.

- ~ 5 10% energy resolutions.
- ~ few arcmin angular resolution.





Next-Generation IACT Telescopes - II

The <u>ASTRI Mini-Array</u> (*Astrofisica con Specchi a Tecnologia Replicante Italiana*):

• 9 SSTs in the northern hemisphere (Teide Observatory - Tenerife).

Vast discovery space in the extreme gamma-rays, **up to 100s of TeV**.

- 3.5x H.E.S.S. spectral resolution.
- Wide FoV.
- 3' angular resolution.

Its **resolution and FoV** make it particularly useful to study YMSCs and their morphology.



The Sample of YMSCs and TeV Halos



We study the morphology of known diffuse sources to define classification methodologies of unidentified sources.



We simulated 5 YMSCs:

- 2 in the **northern** sky (CygOB2, Mk50).
- 3 in the **southern** sky (Wd1, Dk1-2).



We simulated 2 TeV Halos:

• Geminga and Monogem (PSR J0659+1414).

Analysis Methods and Simulations

Gamma-ray emission can be used to study the **morphology** of YMSCs. Following what was observed e.g. for *Westerlund 1* (Aharonian et al., 2022):



- **CR** distribution and **gamma-ray** emission around YMSCs with model by Morlino et al. (2021).
- Gamma-ray emission **simulations** with the ASTRI Mini-Array and CTAO IRFs.
- Morphology studies and radial **excess profile** modellization.



Right: Computed proton number at 1 TeV and 100 TeV in the case of Cygnus OB2 (*top*) and spherical symmetry. Observation simulation with the ASTRI Mini-Array IRF (*bottom*) compared with the size of the Cygnus OB2 system according to the Morlino et al. model.

Radial Profile Models - I





Modified Gaussian Function

$$f(x; N, x_0, a, w) = N e^{-\left[\left(1 + e^{a(x-x_0)}\right)\frac{x-x_0}{w}\right]^2}$$

Polynomial-Asymmetric Function

$$f(x; N, x_0, s, w) = N \left[\left(1 - \left(\frac{x - x_0}{w} \right)^2 \right) \frac{1}{1 + e^{\frac{x - x_0}{s}}} \right]$$
Parabola Siamoid

The parameters are:

- Curve height N.
- Peak position x_o.
- Curve symmetry *s* or *a*.
- Curve width *w*.

Radial Profile Models - II





Polynomial-Asymmetric Function Fit





With the incremental excess counts we find:

- The plane is divided in two regions. 0
- Distance from a reference function (area) Ο to evaluate the YMSCs - TeV halos classification.

| + | | + | + | |
|----------|-------|--|---|--|
| 0bject | Area | Object | Area | |
| Mk 50 | 0.011 | +===================================== | +====================================== | |
| Dk 1 | 0.080 | Wd 1 | 0.107 | |
| Dk 2 | 0.094 | Geminga | -0.103 | |
| Dk 1 & 2 | 0.080 | Monogem | -0.090 | |
| | ++ | + | + | |

- - +



95% Containment Radius of Source

Gas Modelization - I





We used 3d maps from Dame et al. (2001) to compute the **density and position** of molecular clouds.

We modelled the molecular clouds as **spheres of homogeneous density**.

Danks 2

Danks 1

́ 10 рс - 0.75°

Gas Modelization - II





Cygnus OB2



Westerlund 1

We used 3d maps from CO surveys to compute the **density and position** of molecular clouds.

We created 3d **temperature** and hydrogen **density** maps.



Danks 1 & 2



Markarian 50

We used the 3d maps to generate new source models for the YMSC simulations.





Integrated Radial Excess Profile

We compare the case with the molecular clouds model with the spherically symmetrical case:

- The sources show the same **behaviour** of • the reference curve (correct function).
- The sources occupy the same plane region • (correct source identification).

The emission intensity strongly depends on the positions of the clouds.

| ++ Object Area | ++ Object Area | ++ Object Area |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| ++ Cyg 0B2 0.072 | +=====+ Dk 1 0.025 | Dk 1 & 2 0.025 |
| Wd 1 0.041 | ++ Dk 2 0.044 | Mk 50 0.148 |



Azimuth Profiles I



The Azimuth profiles show the areas of intense gamma-ray emission and the distribution of the gas around each YMSC.





Azimuth Profiles II



The curves can be very different for different radial angles.

- Curves are **constant** when the shell is within one of the gas clouds.
- Curves with many peaks are given by **clumpy gas** distribution.

CTAO and ASTRI Mini-Array observation can be used to reconstruct the gas distribution in large regions.



Azimuth Profiles II







• More advanced morphology studies with **next-generation SSTs**.

- Radial emission profile fits can characterize the morphology.
- YMSC models predict peculiar morphological features that can help **source classification** (YMSCs TeV halos).

- More realistic simulations of YMSC systems require **gas distribution modelizations**.
- Incremental radial profiles are still valid features to **classify** sources.
- Azimuth radiation profiles further characterize the morphology and can help in reconstructing the gas distribution around YMSCs.

Thank you