



## Context

The flat-spectrum radio quasar **3C 279** is well known for its prolific emission of rapid flares. One particular event occurred on December 20<sup>th</sup>, 2013, exhibiting a large flux increase with a doubling time scale of a few hours, a very hard  $\gamma$ -ray spectrum, and a time asymmetry with a slow decay, while no significant variations in the optical range were detected.

We propose a novel scenario to interpret this "orphan flare", based on two emission zones corresponding to a stationary and a fast moving plasma blob. While the stationary blob is located within the broad-line region (BLR) and accounts for the low-state emission, the moving blob decouples from the stationary zone, accelerates and crosses the BLR. The high-energy flare can be attributed to the variable external Compton emission as the blob moves through the BLR, while the variations in the synchrotron emission remain negligible.

Our description differs from previous interpretations of this flare by not relying on any acceleration mechanism of the plasma electrons. Instead, the **flare emerges as a consequence of the bulk motion and geometry of the external photon fields**.

## Multiwavelength Observation of 3C 279 orphan flare

Data available over three days before the flare show 3C 279 in a quiescent state. We refer to this state as **Period LS (MJD 56643-56645)**. The flare period is referred to as **Period F (MJD 56646)**.




Figure 1: Broadband spectral energy distribution of 3C 279 for the Period LS (low-state of 3C 279) and the Period F (flaring state of 3C 279). The error bars represent  $1\sigma$  statistical errors. The data was taken from Hayashida et al. (2015)(1).





Figure 2:  $\gamma$ -ray, optical, and infrared light curves of 3C 279, centered on the Period F. The top and middle panel shows the integrated photon flux for energies  $> 100$  MeV and  $> 1$  GeV, with 192 minutes bin. The bottom panel displays the effective flux density in optical and infrared bands. Error bars represent  $1\sigma$  statistical errors. Fermi  $\gamma$ -ray and Kanata optical data were taken from Hayashida et al. (2015)(1).

## Acceleration of a blob within the BLR photon field



Schematic view of the model (not to scale). The black hole in black, with an outward jet in blue and a moving blob (black with an arrow) within it. The external photon sources considered in the model are the accretion disk (yellow), X-ray corona (dark blue), broad line region clouds (BLR) (green), and dusty torus (red).

The blob within the jet can accelerate up to a certain distance from the black hole (Ghisellini & Tavecchio 2009(2)):

$$\Gamma_{blob} = \min \left( \Gamma_{max}, \left( \frac{R_{b-BH}}{3R_S} \right)^{1/2} \right) \quad (1)$$

where  $\Gamma_{blob}$  is the blob's Lorentz factor,  $R_{b-BH}$  is its distance from the black hole, and  $R_S$  is the Schwarzschild radius.

The BLR external field is modeled as in Hayashida et al. (2012)(3), with energy density in the blob's frame:

$$U'(\epsilon', R_{b-BH}) = \frac{L'_{BLR}(\epsilon') \Gamma_{blob}^2}{3\pi R_{BLR}^2 c \left( 1 + \left( \frac{R_{b-BH}}{R_{BLR}} \right)^{\beta_{BLR}} \right)} \quad (2)$$

where  $L'_{BLR}$  is the BLR luminosity,  $R_{BLR}$  is the BLR radius, and  $\beta_{BLR}$  is the power law index of the BLR density profile.

## Description of the scenario

In our leptonic two-zone model, **Blob 1** is stationary within the broad line region (BLR) and accounts for the AGN's quiescent state (Period LS). **Blob 2**, responsible for the flare (Period F), is accelerated from the jet base up to the BLR shell.

We consider a continuous injection of a power-law electron distribution in each blob. Blob 2 undergoes adiabatic expansion.

The following parameters were used in our simulation.

	Blob characteristics	Injection spectrum
<b>Blob 1</b>	Type : stationary Magnetic field = 2.5 G $R_{b-BH} = 0.5 \times 10^{17}$ cm $R_b = 2.1 \times 10^{16}$ cm	Type : PL $N = 3.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$ $\gamma_{pivot} = 215$ $\alpha_{inj} = -3.5$ $\gamma_{min} = 675$ $\gamma_{max} = 10^7$
<b>Blob 2</b>	Type = accelerating Magnetic field = [0.01, 0.0086] G $R_{b-BH} = [0.1, 50] \times 10^{17}$ cm $R_b = [2.4, 2.8] \times 10^{16}$ cm	Type = PL $N = 2.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$ $\gamma_{pivot} = 200$ $\alpha_{inj} = -3.5$ $\gamma_{min} = 1300$ $\gamma_{max} = 10^7$

Figure 3: Schematic view of the jet and blobs in our proposed scenario : the flare comes from an accelerating blob (in red) crossing the BLR (green)

Inside the BLR shell, as blob 2 accelerates, its radiation energy density in the blob frame evolves as (see eq. (1), (2)):

$$U' \propto R_{b-BH} \quad (3)$$

Outside the BLR shell, where blob 2 moves at a constant velocity, the energy density evolves as:

$$U' \propto R_{b-BH}^{-\beta_{BLR}} \quad (4)$$

As blob 2 moves in the jet,  $R_{b-BH}$  increases. This results in an initial increase in the radiation energy density followed by a decrease, leading to a corresponding rise and fall in the external Compton emission of blob 2, and thus a high-energy flare.

Table 1: Parameters used in the simulation of emission from the two blobs.  $R_{b-BH}$  : distance between the blob and the black hole ;  $R_b$  : radius of the blob ; PL : power law ;  $N$  : normalisation of the injection spectrum ;  $\gamma_{pivot}$  : pivot Lorentz factor in the injection spectrum ;  $\alpha_{inj}$  : index of the power law ;  $\gamma_{min}$  : minimal Lorentz factor of electrons in the injection spectrum ;  $\gamma_{max}$  : maximal Lorentz factor of electrons in the injection spectrum

Redshift	$z = 0.536$
Initial Doppler factor	$\delta_{i,j} = 18.8$
Final Doppler factor	$\delta_f = 30$
Jet angle	$\theta_j = 1.91^\circ$
Black hole mass	$M_{BH} = 1.0 \times 10^{12} M_\odot$
Disk luminosity	$L_d = 1.0 \times 10^{46}$ erg/s
BLR fraction	$f_{BLR} = 0.1$
BLR power law index	$\beta_{BLR} = 4$
DT fraction	$f_{DT} = 0.1$
DT temperature	$T_{DT} = 1500$ K

Table 2: Parameters of the AGN used in the simulation.  $\delta_{i,j}$  : doppler factor of the jet at the base of the jet / far from the base of the jet ;  $f_{BLR/DT}$  : fraction of re-emission of disk radiation.  $T_{DT}$  : temperature of the dusty torus.

## Results: light curves and time evolution of the SED

The scenario was simulated using the time-dependent code **EMBLEM** (Dmytriiev et al. 2021(4)). The outputs are the time evolution of the spectral energy distribution and the light curves of the two blobs.




Figure 4: Simulated SED of both blob 1 and blob 2 emissions. Blob 1 emission explains the data of Period LS. Blob 2 IC-EC emission explains the high-energy data ( $E \gtrsim 0.1$  GeV) of Period F. Blob 1 synchrotron emission explains the optical data ( $E \approx 0.1 - 10$  eV) of Period F.




Figure 5: Simulated light curves in different bands ( $E > 1$  GeV,  $E > 100$  MeV, optical and infrared) from the emissions of both blob 1 and blob 2.

## Conclusion

The good overall agreement between model and data suggests that our **natural scenario** of an **accelerating blob** can explain **orphan flares** from AGNs. Our preliminary model using the EMBLEM code to describe a stationary blob (Blob 1) and an accelerating blob (Blob 2) matches well the time-resolved MWL data available from 3C 279.

One issue that necessitates further investigation is the large **difference between the magnetic field strengths** assumed for Blob 1 and Blob 2. This difference can be reduced if one admits that the emission from Blob 2 becomes negligible beyond  $R_{BLR}$ , thus suppressing the increase in the simulated X-ray flux after the flare event.

## References

### References

1. M. Hayashida, K. Nalewajko, G. M. Madejski, et al., *The Astrophysical Journal* **807**, 79 (2015).
2. G. Ghisellini, F. Tavecchio, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **397**, 985–1002 (2009).
3. M. Hayashida, G. M. Madejski, K. Nalewajko, et al., *The Astrophysical Journal* **754** (2012).
4. A. Dmytriiev, H. Sol, A. Zech, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **505**, 2712–2730 (2021).