Forecasting the Population of Globular Cluster Streams in Milky Way-type galaxies

The Milky Way Assembly Tale May 28th, 2024

Sarah Pearson

Niels Bohr Institute University of Copenhagen

@spacewsarah

In collaboration with: Ana Bonaca, Yingtian Chen & Oleg Gnedin

VILLUM FONDEN





UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN

log deg j

0 -15°



Arxiv: 2405.15851







How many streams are we missing?

Fjörm

Hric

Galaxy Picture : ESA/Gaia/DPAC **Stellar streams :** Malhan et al. (2018, 19) Ibata et al. (2021, 23)

Kshir

GD-1

C - 19([Fe/H] **=** [•] − 3.4) _

Credit: Khyati Malhan

NGC .1261

Sylgr

[Fe/H] = -2.9

Jhelum

Phoenix

° • •



How many streams are we missing? ...and what will we learn if we find them?

Fjörm

Hric

Galaxy Picture : ESA/Gaia/DPAC **Stellar streams :** Malhan et al. (2018, 19) lbata et al. (2021, 23)

Kshir

GD-1

C - 19([Fe/H] = [•] − 3.4) _

Credit: Khyati Malhan

NGC .1261

Sylgr

[Fe/H] = -2.9)

Jhelum

Phoenix



Drlica-Wagner et al. 2019 Boehm et al. 2014

Nibauer et al. 2022

we learn from a of MW streams?

Joint constraint on potential

 q_2

 r_h

See also Bovy et al 2016 Bonaca & Hogg 2018





Drlica-Wagner et al. 2019 Boehm et al. 2014

of MW streams?

Joint constraint onpotential

Response to live halo What can we learn from a Dillamore et al. 2022 full sample



Drlica-Wagner et al. 2019 Boehm et al. 2014

Joint constraint onpotential





Drlica-Wagner et al. 2019 Boehm et al. 2014

Nibauer et al. 2022



See also Bovy et al 2016 Bonaca & Hogg 2018





Drlica-Wagner et al. 2019 Boehm et al. 2014

Nibauer et al. 2022



See also Bovy et al 2016 Bonaca & Hogg 2018



Forecasting the missing population of Milky Way globular cluster streams How do we start?

Chen & Gnedin 2022, Chen & Gnedin 2023



Illustris TNG50 Background hydrodynamical cosmological simulation Nelson et al. 2019







Chen & Gnedin 2022, Chen & Gnedin 2023



Illustris TNG50

Background hydrodynamical cosmological simulation

Nelson et al. 2019







Merger tree Time Disks Halos and qrow bulges grow etweer during mergers nergers

GC formation

Kravtsov & Gnedin 2005

Illustris TNG50 Background hydrodynamical cosmological simulation

Nelson et al. 2019

Chen & Gnedin 2022, Chen & Gnedin 2023







Chen & Gnedin 2022, Chen & Gnedin 2023



Illustris TNG50 Background hydrodynamical cosmological simulation

GC formation

Kravtsov & Gnedin 2005

Cluster sampling

Schechter 1976

Nelson et al. 2019









Chen & Gnedin 2022, Chen & Gnedin 2023



GC formation

Kravtsov & Gnedin 2005

Cluster sampling

Schechter 1976

Illustris TNG50 Background hydrodynamical cosmological simulation Nelson et al. 2019





Nelson et al. 2019



Chen & Gnedin 2022, Chen & Gnedin 2023



GC formation

Kravtsov & Gnedin 2005

Cluster sampling

Schechter 1976

Illustris TNG50 Background hydrodynamical cosmological simulation Nelson et al. 2019



Nelson et al. 2019

Tidal disruption

TNG10

TNG50

Gieles & Gnedin 2023









Chen & Gnedin 2022, Chen & Gnedin 2023

Illustris TNG50 Background hydrodynamical cosmological simulation Nelson et al. 2019

Halos and bulaes arow during mergers

Reproduces kinematics, metallicities, and spatial distribution of observed MW GCs.

GC formation

Merger tree

Time

Disks

nergers

Kravtsov & Gnedin 2005

Cluster sampling

Schechter 1976

Particle assignment

Nelson et al. 2019

Tidal disruption

TNG10

TNG5(

Gieles & Gnedin 2023









Chen & Gnedin 2022, Chen & Gnedin 2023

Illustris TNG50 Background hydrodynamical cosmological simulation Nelson et al. 2019

Halos and bulaes arow during mergers

Reproduces kinematics, metallicities, and spatial distribution of observed MW GCs.

GC formation

Merger tree

Time

Disks

nergers

Kravtsov & Gnedin 2005

Cluster sampling

Schechter 1976

Let's assume they reproduce streams too....

Particle assignment

Nelson et al. 2019

Tidal disruption

TNG10

TNG5(

Gieles & Gnedin 2023









Chen & Gnedin 2022, Chen & Gnedin 2023

Halos and bulaes arow during mergers

Illustris TNG50 Background hydrodynamical cosmological simulation Nelson et al. 2019

From the catalogs we have...

Time of cluster formation/ accretion

Merger tree

Time

Disks

nergers

Position of cluster/remnant at present day

Cluster mass at brith

Time of dissolution

Cluster age/metallicity

TNG10

TNG50







Chen & Gnedin 2022, Chen & Gnedin 2023

Illustris TNG50 Background hydrodynamical cosmological simulation Nelson et al. 2019

Halos and bulaes arow during mergers

From the catalogs we have...

Time of cluster formation/ accretion

Merger tree

Time

Disks

nergers

Position of cluster/remnant at present day

We generate mock streams as a self-consistent extension of the model

Cluster mass at brith

Time of dissolution

Cluster age/metallicity

TNG10

TNG50









Integrate orbit back from present day

...or from accretion position

Generate mock stream

Fardal et al. 2015 Credit: Adapted from A. Price-Whelan **Stop generating** new stars at time of dissolution

Evolve until present day

Approximate potential

Integrate orbit back from present day

...or from accretion position

Generate mock stream

Populate streams with stars

Estimate stellar mass of stream stars from Kroupa IMF Luminosity of stars from isochrones given mass, age, Z of cluster Stop generating new stars at time of dissolution

Evolve until present day



Spatial distribution of disrupted objects



Pearson, Bonaca et al. 2024

102

R_{Gal} [kpc]

L V



R_{Gal} [kpc]



R_{Gal} [kpc]





Spatial distribution of disrupted objects

Fully disrupted catalog GCs Observed fully disrupted GCs

Ga

Which of these survive as streams until present day?

















Random subset of 300 objects

If ~1: only rotating in the disk plane





Random subset of 300 objects

If ~1: only rotating in the disk plane





Random subset of 300 objects

If ~1: only rotating in the disk plane

0.6 0.4

0.2

 10^{-1}

1.0

0.8





Random subset of 300 objects

If ~1: only rotating in the disk plane

0.6 $|L_Z / L|$ 0.4

0.2

1.0

8.0

Classified as "debris"

 10^{-1}





Random subset of 300 objects

If ~1: only rotating in the disk plane

0.6 L_Z / L 0.4

0.2

1.0

0.8

Classified as "debris"

 10^{-1}







Pearson, Bonaca et al. 2024

10¹ 10² R_{Gal} [kpc]





All fully disrupted clusters classified as "debris" (8984 objects)







Streams fully disrupted in bulge



Pearson, Bonaca et al. 2024

939 Accreted streams 864 In situ streams







Pearson et al. 2017, Erkal et al. 2017, Banik et al. 2019



Pearson, Bonaca et al. 2024

(galstreams)









Pearson, Bonaca et al. 2024

l [deg]



Pearson, Bonaca et al. 2024

l [deg]



Pearson, Bonaca et al. 2024

l [deg]











Summary

<10% of the surviving GC streams in the Milky Way have been discovered to date

The surviving GC streams in the outskirts are from accreted objects

LSST can discover many of the remaining streams

Roman can find these in M31 and dwarfs

VILLUM FONDEN





European Research Council Established by the European Commission





UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN



Arxiv: 2405.15851

Drlica-Wagner et al. 2019

Our model: post-processing of simulations

https://github.com/ybillchen/GC formation model

Our model: GC Tagging (since Chen & Gnedin 2022, arXiv:2203.00599)

https://github.com/ybillchen/GC formation model

Our model: Calibration of model parameters

https://github.com/ybillchen/GC formation model

