9th Metis Workshop



Contribution ID: 31

Type: Oral

* Parameter effects on the total intensity of H I Lya line for a modeled CME and its driven shock

Thursday, 25 January 2024 12:25 (15 minutes)

The combination of the H I Ly α (121.6 nm) line formation mechanism with white-light and ultraviolet (UV) Ly α observations provides an effective method for determining the electron temperature of coronal mass ejections (CMEs). A key to ensuring the accuracy of this diagnostic technique is the precise calculation of theoretical Ly α intensities. This study performs a modelled CME and its driven shock via the three-dimensional numerical magneto-hydrodynamic simulation. Then, we generate synthetic UV images of the CME and shock to quantify the impact of different assumptions on the theoretical Ly α intensities, such as the incident intensity of the solar chromospheric Ly α line (I_{disk}), the geometric scattering function ($p(\theta)$), and the kinetic temperature (T_n) assumed to be equal to either the proton (T_p) or electron (T_e) temperatures. Through comparing relative variations of the Ly α intensities of the CME and shock under these assumptions, we find that: (1) Using the uniform or Carrington maps as input for I_{disk} underestimates the Ly α intensity (with relative errors below 10%) compared to the Real-time map, but the Carrington map yields better results than the uniform disk. (2) Neglecting the geometric scattering process leads to a relatively symmetric influence, with an error reversal interface at a latitude of approximately 40° . The Ly α intensity is overestimated above this latitude and underestimated below it. The relative errors increase with heliocentric distance, but do not exceed 10%. (3) Compared to the assumption $T_n = T_p$, using $T_n = T_e$ leads to more complex relative errors in CME Ly α intensity. The CME core and void are almost overestimated, with maximum values exceeding 50%. In the CME front, both overestimates and underestimates exist with relative uncertainties of less than 35%. However, the electron temperature assumption has a smaller impact on the shock, with an underestimated relative error of less than 20%.

Primary author: YING, Beili (Purple Mountain Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences)

Co-authors: SHI, Guanglu (Purple Mountain Observatory); FENG, Li (Purple Mountain Observatory); LU, Lei (Purple Mountain Observatory); XUE, Jianchao (Purple Mountain Observatory); LI, Shuting (Purple Mountain Observatory); GAN, Weiqun (Purple Mountain Observatory); LI, Hui (Purple Mountain Observatory)

Presenter: YING, Beili (Purple Mountain Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences)

Session Classification: Session 4