# Simulating the population of young massive stellar clusters in the Milky Way

**Stefano Menchiari** (INAF-OAA) Giovanni Morlino (INAF-OAA) Elena Amato (INAF-OAA) Niccolò Bucciantini (INAF-OAA) Germano Sacco (INAF-OAA)



From star clusters to field populations: survived, destroyed and migrated clusters Florence – 22/11/2023

# Young massive star clusters: Cosmic rays accelerators and y-ray sources

Young (<10 Myr) massive (>10<sup>3</sup> M<sub>☉</sub>) stellar clusters (YMSCs) are cosmic ray factories

# γ-ray emission detected in coincidence with **<u>12 YMSC!</u>**

		<i></i>	D	Ago	I
Name	$\log M/M_{\odot}$		D	Age	$L_w$
		[pc]	[kpc]	[Myr]	[erg s <sup>-1</sup> ]
Westerlund 1	$4.6\pm0.045$	1.5	4	4 - 6	10
Westerlund 2	$4.56 \pm 0.035$	1.1	$2.8\pm0.4$	1.5 - 2.5	2
Cygnus OB2	$4.7\pm0.3$	5.2	1.4	2 - 7	2
NGC 3603	$4.1\pm0.1$	1.1	6.9	2 - 3	-
BDS 2003	4.39	0.2	4	1	-
W40	2.5	0.44	0.44	1.5	-
RSGC 1	4.48	1.5	6.6	10 - 14	-
MC 20	$\sim 3$	1.3	3.8 - 5.1	3 - 8	$\sim 4$
NGC 6618	-	3.3	$\sim 2$	< 3	-
30 Dor (LMC)	4.8 - 5.7	multiple	50	1	
NGC 2070 / RCM 136	4.34 - 5	subcluster	50	5	-



Yang and Aharonian (2017)

cerni-AT residual

HII column density (Plank FF)

10.00 0

**Right ascension** 

11:00:00.0 10:50:00.0

NGC 3603

40:00.0

30:00.0

20:00.0

60:00:00

Declination



Galactic Longitude (deg)

Background image credit: NASA/JPL-Caltech



# Diffuse γ-ray emission

The γ-ray emission is diffuse and extended (1°-3°)!



Emission size consistent with projected dimension of wind-blown bubbles



Detecting and analyzing diffuse γ-ray emission is a challenging task! Detection bias for low surface brightness sources



## Work motivation

Detection of individual YMSCs is challenging...

Collective emission from YMSCs could be detected as a non-resolved contribution to diffuse galactic gamma-ray emission!

To estimate this contribution the knowledge of YMSCs population is required

Population of YMSCs only know locally...

Simulation of a synthetic population!

#### Diffuse emission = CRs sea + <u>unresolved sources</u>



# Work objective

Cosmic rays are accelerated thanks to winds from massive stars and supernova explosions (Morlino's talk)

Gamma-ray emission traces extension of wind-blown bubbles

#### What do we need?

- Wind luminosity and mass loss rate of stellar winds
- Number of supernovae and Wolf-Rayet stars
- Structure of the wind blown bubble

#### Workflow

a) Modeling stellar population in a YMSC

b) Modeling stellar wind physics: Use pure empirical approach

#### Generate galactic population of YMSCs:

Use info from local population of YMSCs

# Modeling stellar population and wind physics

# Stellar population in YMSC

Stars are spawned by random sampling the initial mass function (IMF) (Kroupa 2001)
 Number of stars in a cluster of mass M<sub>sc</sub> is that

 $M_{SC} = \langle N_{\star}(M_{SC}) \frac{\int_{M_{\star,min}}^{M_{\star,max}} M_{\star} f_{\star}(M_{\star}) dM_{\star}}{\int_{M_{\star,min}}^{M_{\star,max}} f_{\star}(M_{\star}) dM_{\star}} \rangle$ 

- Two possible cases for maximum stellar mass:
  - $\circ$  Constant (150 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>)
  - Function of the cluster mass (Weidner et al 2010)
- Label WR and supernovae according to cluster age and turn over time (Buzzoni et al 2002):
  - o If  $t_{age} > \tau_{to}$ : Main sequence star
  - If  $\tau_{to} < t_{age} < \tau_{to} + 0.3$  Myr and  $M_{\star} > 25$   $M_{\odot}$ : WR star (Celli et al 2023)
  - If  $t_{age} > \tau_{to} + 0,3$  Myr: Supernova (removed)



# Stellar parameters (MLR)

Stellar parameters calculated using empirical relations

- Mass-luminosity relation (MLR) (Menchiari 2023 for MS stars)
- Mass-radius relation (MRR) (Demicran 1991)
- Mass-temperature relation (MTR) (Boltzmann-law)

$$L_{\star} = \begin{cases} L_{b1} \left(\frac{M_{\star}}{7M_{\odot}}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{M_{\star}}{7M_{\odot}}\right)^{1/\Delta_{1}}\right]^{(-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2})\Delta_{1}} & 10^{7} \\ \text{for } 2.4 \leq \frac{M_{\star}}{M_{\odot}} < 12 \\ \\ \mathcal{K} L_{b2} \left(\frac{M_{\star}}{36M_{\odot}}\right)^{\alpha_{2}} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{M_{\star}}{36M_{\odot}}\right)^{1/\Delta_{2}}\right]^{(-\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3})\Delta_{2}} & \\ \text{for } M_{\star} \geq 12 \text{ M}_{\odot} & \\ \text{for } M_{\star} \geq 12 \text{ M}_{\odot} & \\ \\ \text{for } WR \text{ stars we adopt Schaerer & Maeder (1992):} \\ \log \frac{L}{L_{\odot}} = 3.0321 + 2.695 \log \frac{M}{M_{\odot}} - \left(\log \frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^{2} & 10^{1} & 10^{2} \end{cases} \\ 10^{7} & \frac{10^{7}}{10^{1}} & \frac{10^{7}}{10^{2}} & \\ 10^{7} & \frac{10^{7}}{10^{1}} & \frac{10^{7}}{10^{2}} & \\ 10^{7} & \frac{10^{7}}{10^{1}} & \frac{10^{7}}{10^{2}} & \\ \frac{10^{7}}{10^{1}} & \frac{10^{7}}{10^{1}} & \\ \frac{10^{7}}{10^{1}} & \\ \frac{10^{7}}{10^{1}} & \\ \frac{10^{7}}{10^{1}} & \frac{10^{7}}{10^{1}} & \\ \frac{10^{7}}{10^{1}} & \\ \frac{10^{7}}{10^$$

NB: for WRs the mass loss is taken into account!

 $M_{\star}$  [ $M_{\odot}$ ]

## Stellar parameters (MRR-MTR)

Stellar parameters calculated using empirical relations

- Mass-luminosity relation (MLR) (Menchiari 2023 for MS stars)
- Mass-radius relation (MRR) (Demicran 1991)
- <u>Mass-temperature relation</u> (MTR) (Boltzmann-law)

$$R_{\star} = 0.85 \left(\frac{M_{\star}}{M_{\odot}}\right)^{0.67} R_{\odot}$$

$$T_{\rm eff,\star} = \left(\frac{L_\star}{4\pi R_\star^2 \sigma_b}\right)^{1/4}$$



# Stellar wind physics

#### Wind physics modeled using empirical approach

For main sequence stars:

Mass loss rate ( $\dot{M}_{\star}$ ): Nieuwenhuijzen et al. (1990) Wind speed ( $v_{\star,w}$ ): Kudritzki & Puls (2000)

$$\log\left(\frac{\dot{M}_{\star}}{M_{\odot}\mathrm{yr}^{-1}}\right) = -14.02 + 1.24\log\left(\frac{L_{\star}}{L_{\odot}}\right) + 0.16\log\left(\frac{M_{\star}}{M_{\odot}}\right) + 0.81\left(\frac{R_{\star}}{R_{\odot}}\right)$$
$$L_{\star,w} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{M}_{\star} \left\{ C(T_{\mathrm{eff}})^{2} \left[\frac{2GM_{\star}(1 - L_{\star}/L_{\mathrm{Edd}})}{R_{\star}}\right] \right\} \mathcal{V}_{\star,w}^{2}$$



# Stellar wind physics

#### Wind physics modeled using empirical approach

For main sequence stars:

Mass loss rate ( $\dot{M}_{\star}$ ): Nieuwenhuijzen et al. (1990) Wind speed ( $v_{\star,w}$ ): Kudritzki & Puls (2000)

$$\log\left(\frac{\dot{M}_{\star}}{M_{\odot}\mathrm{yr}^{-1}}\right) = -14.02 + 1.24\log\left(\frac{L_{\star}}{L_{\odot}}\right) + 0.16\log\left(\frac{M_{\star}}{M_{\odot}}\right) + 0.81\left(\frac{R_{\star}}{R_{\odot}}\right)$$
$$L_{\star,w} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{M}_{\star} \left\{ C(T_{\mathrm{eff}})^{2} \left[\frac{2GM_{\star}(1 - L_{\star}/L_{\mathrm{Edd}})}{R_{\star}}\right] \right\} \mathcal{V}_{\star,w}^{2}$$

#### For WR stars:

Mass loss rate ( $\dot{M}_{\star}$ ): Nugis & Lamers (2000) Wind speed ( $v_{\star,w}$ ): 2000 km/s Assumed solar metallicity

$$\log_{10} \left( -\frac{\dot{M}_{\text{WR, i}}}{M_{\odot} y r^{-1}} \right) = -11.0 + 1.29(14) \log_{10} \left( \frac{L_{\text{WR, i}}}{10^5 L_{\odot}} \right) + 1.73(42) \log_{10} \left( \frac{Y_{\text{WR, i}}}{Y_{\odot}} \right) + 0.47(09) \log_{10} \left( \frac{Z_{\text{WR, i}}}{Z_{\odot}} \right)$$

Cluster wind luminosity and mass loss rate calculating by summing all  $L_{\star,W}$  and  $\dot{M}_{\star}$ 



# Modeling cluster population

#### **YMSCs distribution function**





#### YMSC distribution function:

 $\xi_{SC}(M_{SC}, t, r, \theta) = \frac{dN_{SC}}{dM_{SC}dtdrd\theta} = f(M_{SC})\psi(t)\rho(r, \theta)$ 

## Generate YMSCs population (I)

**Cluster IMF** (Piskunov et al, 2018)  $f(M_{SC}) \propto M_{SC}^{-1.54} [2.5 - 6.3 \times 10^4] M_{\odot}$ 

**NB:** the maximum cluster mass depends on the gas profile

 $M_{max,r}(r) = M_{max} \left[ \frac{\Sigma_{gas}(r)}{\Sigma_{gas}(r=0)} \right]^{3/2}$  (Pflamm-Altenburg&Kroupa, 2008)



## YMSC distribution function: $\xi_{SC}(M_{SC}, t, r, \theta) = \frac{dN_{SC}}{dM_{SC}dtdrd\theta} = f(M_{SC})\psi(t)\rho(r, \theta)$ **Cluster formation rate** $SFR_{sc} = 790 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ Myr}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-2}$ (Bonatto et al 2011) $\bar{\psi} = \frac{SFR_{sc}}{\int M_{cc} f(M_{cc}) dM_{sc}} = 1.8 \,\mathrm{Myr}^{-1} \mathrm{kpc}^{-2}$ <sup>500</sup> F Piskunov et al. (2018) Cluster age 400 distribution 300 N 200 ≈constant 100 10 g

 $\log(t, yr)$ 

## Generate YMSCs population (II)

#### **Cluster distribution in galaxy**

Radial position traced by giant molecular clouds

Position in (x,y): random (r,  $\theta$ ) -> associate with structures [*see backup slides*] Position in (z): random (z) following gas distribution (Strong et al 2000)



#### YMSC distribution function:

 $\xi_{SC}(M_{SC}, t, r, \theta) = \frac{dN_{SC}}{dM_{SC}dtdrd\theta} = f(M_{SC})\psi(t)\rho(r, \theta)$ 

Parameters	Arm 1	Arm 2	Arm 3	Arm 4	Local Spur
$R_i$ [kpc]	3.27	4.29	3.58	3.98	8.16
$\Psi_i$ [°]	9.87	10.51	10.01	8.14	2.71
$ heta_i$ [°]	38.5	189	215.2	320.1	50.6



# Results

#### Synthetic YMSCs spatial distribution



Single realization of the Galactic population

#### Total number of YMSCs: 747 (Age <10 Myr, $M_{sc}$ >10<sup>3</sup> $M_{\odot}$ )



Spatial distribution (100 realizations)

## Wind blown bubbles



2000

### YMSCs wind properties (I)

<u>Results shown are for a single galactic realization</u> **No significant difference from changing M**<sub>\*,max</sub> (Contribution of WRs here is not considered!)



# YMSCs wind properties (II)



# Effect of WRs and SNe

#### We now fix $M_{\star,max}$ =150 $M_{\odot}$

We consider 100 different realization of the galactic population

#### Ratio between energy injected by supernovae and winds NB: the history of wind is not considered! This is a lower limit!!!



#### Wind luminosity



# What about the gamma-ray emission?

#### Contribution to diffuse y-ray emission

# We consider 100 different realization of the galactic population

#### γ-ray emission depends on many parameters:

- $\succ$  Efficiency in accelerating cosmic rays (10% of L<sub>w</sub>)
- Density of target material (10 cm<sup>-3</sup>)
- Particles confinement within the bubble



# Conclusions

- Importance of YMSCs as high energy sources has constantly growing in the last decades
- To estimate their contribution to γ-ray emission, simulation of synthetic population is required
  - We expect 750 YMSCs in the Milky Way
  - Maximum stellar mass does not affect wind power and mass loss rate of MS stars
  - Contribution of WRs is important
- Contribution to the diffuse emission likely not negligible!

#### **Future prospects**

- Evaluate contribution to neutrino flux
- Population study cross check with Milky-Way like galaxies

# COMING SOON

Workshop on young massive star clusters from different prospective END SPRING/BEGINNING SUMMER 2024 – Florence/Siena



# BACKUP SLIDES

#### Synthetic YMSCs spatial distribution



	R	θ	
		$\theta < 360^{\circ}$	
Spiral Arm 1	$R \geq 3.27 \ \rm kpc$	(or $50^\circ > \theta > 110^\circ$	
		if $7.59 \text{ kpc} < R < 9.17 \text{ kpc}$ )	
		$\theta < 360^\circ$	
Spiral Arm 2	$R \geq 4.29 \ \rm kpc$	(or $50^\circ > \theta > 110^\circ$	
		if $7.59 \text{ kpc} < R < 9.17 \text{ kpc}$ )	
		$\theta < 360^{\circ}$	
Spiral Arm 3	$R \geq 3.58 \ \rm kpc$	(or $50^\circ > \theta > 110^\circ$	
		if $7.59 \text{ kpc} < R < 9.17 \text{ kpc}$ )	
		$\theta < 360^{\circ}$	
Spiral Arm 4	$R \geq 3.98 \ \rm kpc$	(or $50^\circ > \theta > 110^\circ$	
		if $7.59 \text{ kpc} < R < 9.17 \text{ kpc}$ )	
Local Spur	$7.59\mathrm{kpc} < R < 9.17\mathrm{kpc}$	$50^{\circ} \le \theta \le 110^{\circ}$	
NF 3kpc / Bar	$R < 4.29 \ \mathrm{kpc}$	$\theta < 360^{\circ}$	



# Effect of WRs and SNe (II)

#### We now fix $M_{\star,max}$ =150 $M_{\odot}$

We consider 100 different realization of the galactic population

#### **Contribution of WR is not negligible!**

![](_page_28_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Wind luminosity

#### Cluster population study

**Left column:** Wind luminosity (top) and Mass loss rate (bottom) if maximum stellar mass is  $150 \text{ M}_{\odot}$ 

**Right column:** Wind luminosity (top) and Mass loss rate (bottom) if maximum stellar mass depends on the cluster mass

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

WRs vs tage

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Density environment close to YMSC

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Effect of WRs and SNe

#### We now fix $M_{\star,max}$ =150 $M_{\odot}$

We consider 100 different realization of the galactic population

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

# CR accelerated by YMSC

#### Morlino et al. (2022): CRs accelerated at the wind TS

(1) 
$$f_1(r,p) \simeq f_{TS}(p) \cdot exp\left[-\int_r^{R_{TS}} \frac{u_1}{D_1(r',p)} dr'\right]$$
  
(2)  $f_2(r,p) = f_{TS}(p)e^{\alpha} \frac{1 + \beta(e^{\alpha_B - \alpha} - 1)}{1 + \beta(e^{\alpha_B} - 1)} + f_{gal}(p) \frac{\beta(e^{\alpha} - 1)}{1 + \beta(e^{\alpha_B} - 1)}$   
(3)  $f_{ism}(r,p) = f_2(R_b,p) \frac{R_b}{r} + f_{gal}(p) \left(1 - \frac{R_{TS}}{r}\right)$ 

$$f_{TS}(p) \simeq \frac{3n_1 u_1^2 \epsilon_{CR}}{4\pi \Lambda_p (m_p c)^3 c^2} \left(\frac{p}{m_p c}\right)^{-s} \left[1 + a_1 \left(\frac{p}{p_{max}}\right)^{a_2}\right] e^{-a_3 (p/p_{max})^{a_4}}$$

 $\alpha_B = \alpha(r = R_b, p)$ 

 $\beta = \beta(p) = \frac{D_{ism}(p)R_b}{u_2 R_{TS}^2}$ 

Models	$a_1$	$a_2$	$a_3$	$a_4$
Kolmogorov	10	0.308653	22.0241	0.43112
Kraichnan	5	0.448549	12.52	0.642666
Bohm	8.94	1.29597	5.31019	1.13245

![](_page_33_Figure_5.jpeg)