

Fifth workshop on millimeter astronomy in Bologna



Probing the gas reservoirs of high-z dusty galaxies using ALMA observations of atomic carbon

Gayathri GURURAJAN,

Matthieu Béthermin, Justin Spilker, Patrice Theulé and the SPT-SMG collaboration

Dusty star-forming galaxies

- A population of massive galaxies (> $10^{11} M_{\odot}$, e.g., Reichers+13) with prodigious star-formation rates (> 500 M_{\odot} /yr).
- Suspected : A combination of a large reservoir and boosted star formation efficiencies (Harris+12, lvison+12, Tan+14, Aravena+16, Ciesla+20, Jarugula+21).
- Characterising the gas reservoirs : understanding the nature and evolution of intensely star-forming dusty galaxies.
- Direct observations of H₂ not feasible thus we use tracers.
- Tracers such as CO, [CI], dust, and [CII] can be used to estimate the molecular gas content of a galaxy.



Star formation rate density

Molecular Gas mass estimators/tracers

CO molecule

- To assume : α_{CO}
- Excitation to obtain CO(1-0) flux from other CO transitions

 $M(H_2)^{CO} = \alpha_{CO} L'_{CO(1-0)} [M_{\odot}],$

Neutral carbon lines - [CI]

- To assume : X_{CI}
- Q₁₀ can be computed if both the [CI] transitions can be observed

$$M(H_2)^{[CI]} = 3.39 \times 10^{-2} \frac{L_{[CI]}}{Q_{10} X_{CI}} [M_{\odot}]$$

Ionised carbon fine structure line - [CII]

- To assume : $\alpha_{[CII]}$

 $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{H}_2)^{[\mathrm{CII}]} \neq \alpha_{[\mathrm{CII}]} \mathbf{L}_{[\mathrm{CII}]} \ [\mathbf{M}_\odot],$

Dust

- To assume : $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\text{GDR}}$
- Estimation of dust mass based on SED fitting

 $M(H_2)^{dust} = \delta_{GDR} M_{dust} [M_{\odot}],$

Questions

- 1. How efficient are [CI] emission lines as a tracer of the molecular gas content?
- 2. Can we cross-calibrate different tracers to see if they can agree with one another?

Gravitationally lensed DSFGs

- Lensing preserves surface brightness.
- Stretches the source Boosts apparent total flux, thus enabling the detection of faint sources.
- Integration time for an unresolved source ∝ μ⁻² thus saving precious telescope time!
- Resolved sources/highresolution observations : Increases the apparent source size, thus revealing smaller details (even to sub-kpc scales).



Credits : Left: SPT-SMG collaboration (Vieira et al. 2013; Weiss et al. 2013), Right : Spilker et al. 2016.

Questions

- 1. How efficient are [CI] emission lines as a tracer of the molecular gas content?
- 2. Can we cross-calibrate different tracers to see if they can agree with one another?
- 3. What insights can high-resolution spectral imaging give us on the nature of these objects?
- 4. What are the effects of differential magnification on these galaxies?

Observations of neutral carbon in 29 high-*z* lensed dusty star forming galaxies and the comparison of gas mass tracers

Gururajan+23 accepted to A&A, <u>arXiv:2306.03153</u>

Sample

- Target : SPT-SMGs with both [CI] transitions in the observable windows of ALMA.
- Ancillary data : [CII], low-J and mid-J CO lines and dust mass estimations (Gullberg+14, Aravena+16, Bothwell+17, Reuter+20).
- Lines observed with ACA : [CI](1-0), [CI](2-1), CO(7-6) and CO(4-3) for a few sources. Lines observed with APEX : [CII].
- Final sample : 29 SPT-SMGs in redshift range 1.8 4.7



ISM properties with line ratios



• $L_{[CI](2-1)}/L_{CO(7-6)}$ and $L_{[CI](1-0)}/L_{CO(4-3)}$: extended versus dense gas tracer (proxy of the density).

• $L_{[CI](2-1)}/L_{IR}$ and $L_{[CI](1-0)}/L_{IR}$: total gas content versus star-formation (proxy of the star-formation efficiency).

Valentino+20 sample

Walter et al. 2011; Alaghband-Zadeh et al. 2013; Bothwell et al. 2017; Yang et al. 2017; Andreani et al. 2018; Cañameras et al. 2018; Nesvadba et al. 2018; Dannerbauer et al. 2019; Jin et al. 2019

ISM properties with line ratios



- Comparable radiation field intensities and densities to the SMGs
- Slightly higher radiation field and density compared to the local galaxies despite a small overlap.

Valentino+20 sample

Walter et al. 2011; Alaghband-Zadeh et al. 2013; Bothwell et al. 2017; Yang et al. 2017; Andreani et al. 2018; Cañameras et al. 2018; Nesvadba et al. 2018; Dannerbauer et al. 2019; Jin et al. 2019

Cross-Calibration of gas mass tracers

- We compute the gas mass estimated by [CI](1-0), low-J CO lines, the dust mass and the [CII] line.
- Unknowns : X_{CI}, $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\text{CO}}$, $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\text{GDR}}$, and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\text{[CII]}}$.
- Solution : Cross-calibrate them as a function of all the other known factors.
- $\Rightarrow \frac{3.39 \times 10^{-2} \, \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{[CI]}}}{\mathrm{Q}_{10} \, \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{CO}(1-0)}'} = \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{CI}} \times \alpha_{\mathrm{CO}}$

 $M(H_2)^{CO} = M(H_2)^{[CI]}$

• We do not provide an absolute calibration which requires to implicitly assume a value for one of these tracers.

Cross-Calibration of gas mass tracers





Conclusions on the cross-calibrations

- Tracers agree reasonably well!
- Significant fraction of the scatter can be modelled by measurement uncertainties.
- No strong trend with L_{IR}.
- Assuming $\alpha_{CO} \sim 3.4$ (Jarugula+21) $\Rightarrow X_{CI} \sim 1.86\pm0.20*10^{-5}$ $\delta_{GDR} \sim 145\pm22$, and $\alpha_{[CII]} \sim 40\pm6 \text{ M}_{\odot}/\text{L}_{\odot}$.
- Assuming $\alpha_{CO} \sim 0.8$ (Downes & Solomon 98, Engel+10, ULIRG like value) $\Rightarrow X_{CI} \sim 7.9 \pm 0.8 \times 10^{-5}$, $\delta_{GDR} \sim 34 \pm 5$ (possible in metal rich environments, Litke+23, De Breuk+19) and $\alpha_{[CII]} \sim 9.4 \pm 1.5 \text{ M}_{\odot}/\text{L}_{\odot}$.

Cross-calibration of tracers	Value
$X_{CI} \times \alpha_{CO} (\times 10^{-5})$ $X_{CI} \times \alpha_{[CII]} (\times 10^{-5})$ $X_{CI} \times \delta_{GDR} (\times 10^{-5})$ $\alpha_{CO} / \alpha_{[CII]}$ $\delta_{GDR} / \alpha_{CO}$	6.31 ± 0.67 95.5 ± 17.1 302.0 ± 52.2 0.08 ± 0.01 42.66 ± 6.43 4.36 ± 1.07

Depletion timescales of the sample

- Using the CO-estimated gas mass, we compute the depletion timescales of these galaxies with two values of $\alpha_{\rm CO}$.
- Higher $\alpha_{\rm CO}$ (~3.4) gives longer depletion timescales, indicating the population to be in the main-sequence.
- Lower α_{CO} (~0.8) gives shorter depletion times, which is suggestive of a starburst-like population.



Insights on the nature of these DSFGs from high-resolution (~0.3") imaging with ALMA

Gururajan+22, <u>10.1051/0004-6361/202142172</u>

Sample

Resolution ~ 0.3"; Observed : [CI](2-1), CO(7-6) and continuum emission



Image plane kinematics



- Pixel-wise velocity maps Identify peak of emission and the corresponding velocity at every line-of-sight.
- The velocity maps of both the sources show a smooth gradient which could be suggestive of their rotation.
- SPT0103-45 and SPT2147-50 : Probable rotators

Image plane kinematics



- Position-velocity diagram : Two components which seem to be connected by a bridge like structure
- Pixel-wise decomposition of the spectra using a double-Gaussian profile for the lines.
- SPT2357-51 : Possible merger candidate

Lens modelling



Modelling the lensing configuration with visibility-based modelling code visilens (Spilker+16).

Source-plane Kinematics

To model the source-plane velocity, we plot the positions from the lens modeling of the line emissions for every velocity bin.

SPT0103-45 and SPT2357-51 : Probable rotators.



SPT2357-51 : [CI](2-1) source position

Continuum position

CTTT Continuum size

- 300

200

- 100

- 0

- -200

-300

0.3

0.2

0.1

0.0

-0.1

-0.2

-0.3

∆y (arcsec)

Differential magnification

- Differential magnification : comparing the effective magnification of the lines to the continuum magnification.
- The asymmetries observed in the line profiles of SPT0103-45 and SPT2147-50 directly linked to magnification effects.
- However, no strong effect (<24%) is seen on the total fluxes



Resolved ISM diagnostics using line ratios

- Our sample have comparable radiation field intensities and densities to the SMGs and main sequence galaxies at z~1.
- The ISM of our sources (pixels in the figure) exhibit a heterogeneity, showing variations in the radiation field and density across the source.

Valentino+20 sample

Walter et al. 2011; Alaghband-Zadeh et al. 2013; Bothwell et al. 2017; Yang et al. 2017; Andreani et al. 2018; Cañameras et al. 2018; Nesvadba et al. 2018; Dannerbauer et al. 2019; Jin et al. 2019

Understanding the depletion timescales of our sample

- Computing the dynamical masses of the two rotators using a simple Keplerian approach.
- Gas mass estimated with $\alpha_{\rm CO}$ = 0.8 was agreeable with the dynamical mass, a higher $\alpha_{\rm CO}$ = 3.4 was in tension with the dynamical mass.
- With $\alpha_{CO} = 0.8$, our sample have a short depletion time scale < 100 Myr.
- However, we are limited by a very small sample.

Conclusions

Nature of massive, intensely star-forming high-z DSFGs

Thank you

Gururajan+23

Gururajan+22

Backup!!!!!

L_{IR} versus $L_{[CI]}$

- [CI] : gas tracer and IR :SFR tracer
 [CI]-L_{IR} relation: integrated KS
 relation
- [CI](1-0) vs L_{IR} : SMGs and local galaxies have a nearly linear slope, but the slope is super-linear for a combined sample (different trend for MS and Starbursts? Daddi+10, Genzel+10).
- [CI](2-1) vs L_{IR} : Slope is nearly linear across all populations, (higher excitation of [CI](2-1) in starbursts could be a factor).

Excitation temperature of [CI]

• Most of our sources have larger dust temperatures than the [CI]-excitation temperature.

 Plausible explanation : The dusty cores of these galaxies are warmed by the intense star formation, whereas the [CI] trace more extended, less dense regions.

 Our results does not favor optically thick dust scenario (GN20 – Cortzen+20).

[CI]-excitation fraction – Q_{10}

- With the two [CI] line fluxes, we can constrain the [CI] excitation fraction.
- We use the following formula

 $Q_{10} = 3e^{-T1/Tex} / 1 + 3e^{-T1/Tex} + 5e^{-T2/Tex}$

where, $T_1 = 23.6$ K and $T_2 = 62.5$ K which are the two excitation levels of neutral carbon.

• Median Q_{10} of the sample : 0.45 ± 0.01.

Is the scatter driven by the measurement uncertainties?

- We perform the following test to determine this. Consider the case of XCI*alphaCO plot.
- Assuming the median value, we generate mock L'CO values using a random gaussian generator.

 $\frac{1375.8 \ D_L^2 \ S_v \Delta v}{(1+z) \ Q_{10} \ A_{10} \ median(X_{CI} \times \alpha_{CO})} = L'_{CO}$

 We recompute the XCI*alphaCO using the mock L'CO values and compare it with our data.

stddev of log(data): 0.2232 stddev of log(LpCO from MCI/median): 0.2198

KstestResult(statistic=0.1805771890986143, pvalue=0.39415746390053674)

Scatter and ks test

Table D.1. KS test between the observed data and the simulated data with noise

Data	Deviation	p-Value
VXXX	0.18	0.20
$X_{CI} \times \alpha_{CI}$ $X_{CI} \times \alpha_{[CII]}$	0.18	0.39
$X_{CI} \times \delta_{GDR}$	0.18	0.35
$\delta_{\rm GDR}/\alpha_{\rm CO}$	0.15	0.50
$\partial_{\text{GDR}} / \alpha_{\text{[CII]}}$	0.32	0.06

Dust temperature versus gas tracers

SPT0103-45

Two most intriguing features : gem and the unidirectional, high-velocity tail.

Position-velocity diagram

SPT2357-51

- Position velocity diagram of a slice along the RA axis of the source
- Two components which seem to be connected by a bridge like structure
- Maybe a merging scenario?

Gaussian decomposition of the spectra

- SPT2357-51 : double peak profile for both the lines in its integrated spectra.
- Pixel-wise decomposition of the spectra using a double-Gaussian profile for the lines.
- Example of the fit for a single line of sight.

Position-velocity diagram

- Extracted a slice along the arc.
- CO(7-6) reference velocity set as zero-velocity.

Results

- Our sources have a high intrinsic SFR > 800 M_☉ / yr. We estimated the gas mass of our sample with ancillary CO(3-2) data and [CI](1-0) for SPT2147-50.
- From the source size estimates and axis ratios, we compute the dynamical mass using a simple Keplerian approach.
- Gas mass estimated with $\alpha_{\rm CO}$ = 0.8 was agreeable with the dynamical mass, a higher $\alpha_{\rm CO}$ = 3.4 was in tension with the dynamical mass.
- With these gas mass ($\alpha_{CO} = 0.8$), we can also calculate the depletion time scales. Our sample have a short depletion time scale < 100 Myr.

$$M_{dyn} = \frac{RV_{obs}^2}{G\sin^2(i)}$$

$$Radius estimated from the velocity modelling$$

$$Projected component of the velocity$$

$$cos(i) = b/a;$$
i.e. axis ratio