

#### THE FIRST ORPHAN GRB DETECTED THROUGH ITS ASSOCIATED SN EMISSION

#### LUCA IZZO (DARK/NBI, COPENHAGEN U.)

#### K. AUCHETTL, F. DE COLLE, C.R. ANGUS, C. GALL J. HJORTH, S. RAIMUNDO, E. RAMIREZ-RUIZ

**GRBV** - September 13 - Trieste

# Gamma-ray bursts

**27/C** 



### Gamma-ray bursts

#### Two (?) ''families'' of GRBs



(Kouveliotou+ 1993, Hjorth+ 2005)

### **GRB-SN** connection

#### Type Ic-SNe

CC SNe from H- and He-stripped progenitors



Fe Si O C He

н

### Ic BL SNe w/o GRBs

#### Relative number of CC-SNe



~10% of Ic-BL SNe are "apparently" associated with a GRB

What about the remaining 90%?

### pre-SN emission

#### Cooling SBO emission + possible interaction with CSM



(Bersten+ 2018, Gagliano, Izzo+ 2022)

### D16<



(courtesy Devor, Spurio)

### しくとく

## **GRB-SN** connection

- Fast-rotating Fe core
- H (and likely He) stripped-envelope progenitor
- Low metallicity (mass-loss is Z-dependent)



# The Jet-Cocoon

as the jet propagates within the stellar atmosphere it creates a cocoon composed of Newtonian shocked and a mildly-relativistic shocked jet material



The cocoon would eventually breaks out from the star, releasing subrelativistic material in the circum-stellar environment

(courtesy Nakar)

### りくやく

### SN 2020bvc



type-Ic BL SN @ z = 0.025235

(Izzo+ 2020)

### りくやく

### SN 2020bvc





Early "blue" bump Swift UVOT + ZTF

#### Floyds & SPRAT spectra

(Izzo+ 2020)

#### (TNS archive)

## SN 2020bvc

#### X-ray

#### Radio



(Izzo+ 2020)

(Ho+ 2020)

A

error

0.71

0.56

0.32

0.30

### **SN 2020bvc**

#### Light curve of SN 2020bvc X-ray 10-11 ASAS-SN detection s<sup>-1</sup>) ASAS-SN Un-absorbed flux (erg cm<sup>-2</sup> 10-12 upper lim. b ν 5 W1 10-13 M2 W2 Chandra O a-ZTF r-ZTF Swift $10^{-14}$ Chandra u.I. ġ. 10 30 50 Ó 1 Rest-frame time since explosion (days) Epoch HR flux error í Ó $(erg/cm^2/s)$ $(erg/cm^2/s)$ (MJD) С Swift-XRT 58884.8 <3.23e-14 \_ 58886.9 1.20e-13 8.65e-14 -0.99 58892.3 3.51e-14 2.19e - 14-0.93O 58895.8 1.53e-14 0.54e-14 -0.390.51e-14 58907.0 1.35e-14 -0.770 Γ

HR = (H-S) / (H+S) = -0.66 + -0.21 $1.9 < \gamma < 2.1$ 

(Izzo+ 2020)

### SN 2020bvc

#### **Multi-wavelength SED**

#### Match with a GRB afterglow



#### (Sari+ 1998, Izzo+ 2020, De Colle+ 2018)

#### しくかく

### SN 2020bvc

#### An off-axis GRB afterglow



#### (Granot+ 2018, Izzo+ 2020)

#### しくかく

### SN 2020bvc

#### An off-axis GRB afterglow





Figure 1. Schematic description of the Collapsar's jet and the cocoon. The cocoon is composed of two components: an inner "shocked jet cocoon" and an outer "shocked stellar cocoon." The jet cocoon is more dilute and hence it expands after breakout to faster, possibly relativistic, velocities. Also shown are the different emission components and their angular extent. A typical opening angle of the relativistic cocoon components (if exist) is ~0.5 rad. The stellar cocoon is sub-relativistic. As it gets out of the star it engulfs the star and its emission is practically isotropic.

#### (Granot+ 2018, Izzo+ 2020)

#### (Nakar & Piran 2017)

### りくやく

### SN 2020bvc

#### More detailed analysis confirm the off-axis jet nature



### Summary

ワヘアく

- ➡ jets, and then rotation, can play a fundamental role in the processes by which massive stars explode
- jets are indeed Poynting-flux dominated and neutrino annihilation processes are likely not adequate in driving jets and very energetic SN explosions in general
- ➡ evidences of cocoon emission induced by the GRB jet in the Ic-BL SN 2017iuk associated with GRB 171205A support the existence of choked-jets in Ic-BL SNe not accompanied by GRBs
- cocoon/SN emission can also pinpoint off-axis afterglow, as proposed (and demonstrated) for the Ic-BL SN 2020bvc

りくやく





### Gamma-ray bursts



The "prompt" spectrum

Correlation between E<sub>peak</sub> and E<sub>iso</sub>

(Amati+2002, 2008)

#### しくかく

## Metallicity indicators

Nebular gas emission lines can be used as indicators for gas Z

Since Ic-BL SN progenitors have short (~10 Myr) lives, the metallicity of the surrounding gas is a proxy for the progenitor Z



## Shocked stellar material (SSM)

The physics of the emission from the shocked stellar material is similar to the envelope surrounding an SN cooling after the passage of SBO => similarities between the two phenomena

(Nakar & Piran 2017)

Assuming canonical values for k, and considering cooling shocks equation, we have that the SSM peaks at

$$t_{c,s} \approx 1.2 E_{51.5}^{-1/4} \theta_{10^{\circ}}^{3/2} M_{10}^{3/4} \kappa_{0.05}^{1/2} day,$$
Time  
$$L_{c,s} \approx 10^{42} E_{51.5} \theta_{10^{\circ}}^{-4/3} R_{11} M_{10}^{-1} \kappa_{0.05}^{-1} erg s^{-1}$$
Luminosity  
$$T_{c,s} \approx 9000 E_{51.5}^{1/8} \theta_{10^{\circ}}^{-7/12} R_{11}^{1/4} M_{10}^{-3/8} \kappa_{0.05}^{-1/2} K$$
Temperature

"...cocoon emission from a GRB will produce an isotropic signal a day after the event..." at an absolute magnitude ~ -16 and is dominant over the orphan afterglow..."



(Nakar & Piran 2017)

## Shocked jet emission

In case of no complete mixing (e.g. partial or null mixing) the shocked jet material is characterised by a relativistic and non-relativistic emission

The relativistic emission would peak at NUV frequencies ~100-1000 s after the collapse

The newtonian emission has a shallower emission, being more isotropic, then it should be visible in the first few days after the collapse



### Arnett model

Homologous expansion, spherical symmetry, constant optical opacity, Ni & Co as energy sources.

$$L_{56\text{Ni}}(t) = 2 \times 10^{43} \left( \frac{M_{\text{Ni}}}{M_{\odot}} \right) [3.9e^{-t/\tau_{\text{Ni}}} + 0.678(e^{-t/\tau_{\text{Co}}} - e^{-t/\tau_{\text{Ni}}})] \text{erg s}^{-1},$$

(Kasen 2017, Arnett 1982, Valenti+ 2008)



- $M_{ejecta} = 4.9$  MSun
- $M_{56Ni} = 0.18$  MSun

$$E_{kin} = 2.4 \times 10^{52} \text{ erg}$$

#### しくかく

### The Jet-Cocoon

- early thermal cooling emission from X-rays to UV-opt
- velocity shocked material ~ 0.1-0.3 c
- significant level of mixing (no mixing, no party !!!)



BE 171205A / SN 2017iuk

#### (Izzo+ 2019, D'Elia+ 2018)



rapid decay in the X-ray afterglow emission

> very faint afterglow

anomalous behaviour in the first day at UV-optical freqs

multi-wavelength photometric & spectroscopic campaign (Swift, VLT, GTC, GROND, PST2, OSN, GOTO, ...)



(Izzo+ 2019)

from near-IR to X-rays



#### cooling thermal component

Ca II near-IR triplet



expanding velocities for the ejecta of ~ 0.3c Huge KE for the cocoon -> 10<sup>51</sup> - 10<sup>52</sup> erg !!!

#### Spectral synthesis model (TARDIS code)



- CO138 model (1998bw)
- flat distribution at high velocities
- Fully-mixed chemical composition



 $M_{cocoon} \sim 0.13 \text{ MSun} - E_{kin} \sim 10^{52} \text{ erg}$ 

#### Significant level of mixing

(Iwamoto+ 1998, Nakamura+ 2001, Maeda+ 2002, Izzo+ 2019)

**Spectral evolution** 



## Ic BL SNe w/o GRBs

GRB-SN are Ic-BL SNe, but not all type Ic-BL SNe are associated with a GRB => no relativistic jet emission



#### しくかく

## Ic BL SNe w/o GRBs

radio observations of a sample of six Ic-BL without GRB have revealed no association with an off-axis jet



(Soderberg+ 2006)

For the nearby SN2014ad it cannot be excluded a narrow jet offset by 30deg



(Marongiu+ 2019)

### <u> つ</u>^6く

## GRB171205A @ radio

No jet break observed up to >3 years after the GRB => radio emission from a quasi-spherical afterglow (SBO or cocoon)

> Enhancement of energy at late time in radio LC => energy injection from the central engine



Two component

- early radio from cocoon

 late rise from afterglow of a jet observed slightly off-axis

りくやく

### Type Icn SNe



WR surrounded by a CO(Ne) massive wind

Early spectra show P-Cygni of "interacting" C II lines

(Perley+ 2021, Gal-Yam+2022)

