

# Preliminary design of a Calibration and Test Unit for MAORY

I. Di Antonio<sup>a</sup>, G. Di Rico<sup>a</sup>, G. Rodeghiero<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Valentini<sup>a</sup>, M. Dolci<sup>a</sup>, E. Portaluri<sup>a</sup>, A. Goncharov<sup>c</sup>, N. Devaney<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> INAF – Osservatorio Astronomico d'Abruzzo (Italy), <sup>b</sup> INAF – Osservatorio di Astrofisica e Scienza dello Spazio Bologna (Italy),

<sup>c</sup> NUI Galway – National University of Ireland



# INTRODUCTION

**MAORY** [1] is the multi-conjugate adaptive optics system of the **ELT**. It will be firstly used by MICADO, a near-infrared high-angular resolution imager, to compensate aberrations and provide high-Strehl images within a large (53"x53") Field of View. The Calibration Unit [2] (CU), providing suitable light sources, will enable MAORY to run calibration templates, such as wavefront sensors (WFS), Non-Common-Path Aberrations and tomographic reconstructor calibrations, as well as verification and test procedures, in standalone mode, drastically reducing the amount of required night-time for such operations. The CU will be also used to ease the alignment of MAORY and to test and verify its performances after the assembly (AIV phase). The CU architecture, the optical and mechanical design and the main analyses performed are here presented.

## **OPTICAL DESIGN**

The CU optical design (Fig.4) consists of a **catadioptric** system, chosen for its compactness and very good optical performances. The largest optics (W, SM ~600mm diam.) are semi-transmissive, as well as the flat Beam Splitters (BS1 and BS2). The pupil size and accessibility is driven by the necessity to install a commercial Deformable Mirror, so that it is possible to fully test and verify MAORY during the AIV. The baseline design foresees the presence of a bulky cubic beam splitter (CBS ~140mm side) to make the pupil accessible. A backup solution (Fig.6) has also been preliminarily developed, that does not require the CBS, but more complex lenses in the LGS path (aspherical biconics), to compensate for the astigmatism collected by the LGS beams through BS1.

### ANALYSES

Starting from the nominal optical design WFE and considering all the contributions coming from the various analyses (uncorrelated), it has been possible to define the CU **WFE breakdown**, reported in the table beside. The contributions 1 and 2, retrieved from tolerance analyses, refer to a mean (statistic) condition.

|   | Contribution        |                            | rms WFE (nm) |         |         |
|---|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------|---------|
| # |                     |                            | NGS-REF      | LGS-104 | LGS-150 |
| 0 | Optica              | l design                   | 16           | 37      | 40      |
|   | Alignment           | Internal:<br>single optics | 13           | 11      | 12      |
| 1 |                     | Internal:<br>among blocks  | 15           | 14      | 15      |
|   |                     | External:<br>CU-MAORY      | 15           | 15      | 15      |
|   | Optics<br>manufact. | Geometry &<br>Flatness     | 36           | 58      | 54      |
| 2 |                     | Glass<br>homogeneity       | 20           | 30      | 30      |
| 3 | Opto-meo<br>abe     | ch. induced<br>rration     | 10           | 15      | 15      |
| 4 | Thermal stability   |                            | 10           | 20      | 20      |
| 5 | Contingency         |                            | 10           | 10      | 10      |
|   | Total WFE           |                            | 54           | 83      | 82      |
|   | CU WFE Budget       |                            | 60           | 100     | 100     |

#### ARCHITECTURE

Four typologies of light sources, different in position, size, amount and wavelength have to be provided, each one feeding a different WFS: **NGS-REF** (R-band), **NGS-LO** and **NGS-MIC** (H-band), **LGS** (589nm). The CU is divided in 2 sub-systems: Electronics Cabinet (CUE) and Main Bench (CUMB).

The **CUE** (Fig.1) consists of a standard cabinet containing 7 sub-racks, needed to operate and control all the electronic devices. Among them, three electroopto-mechanical modules host the physical light sources: QTH lamps for the REF, SLEDs for the LO and MIC, narrow-band laser diodes for LGSs. The light is injected into suitable optical fibers and the flux is adjusted by moving neutral density filters.



Fig. 1 – CUE.

The NGS and LGS **fibers** are stretched from the CUE up to the CUMB, positioned into the MAORY Main Structure (Fig.3), where they reach the Fiber Splitting Unit (FSU) and the LGS Mask (LGSM) respectively. In the FSU a set of fiber splitters provide the final number of NGS fibers, plus some ready-to-use spares, to the NGS Mask (NGSM). Here, some REF and LO terminations are also coupled in custom dual-core fibers (Fig.2).



Fig. 4 – CU optical design and nominal spot diagrams. Pupil blur and distortion are ≤ 1% of pupil diameter.

**MECHANICAL DESIGN** 

The **CUMB** (Fig.7) is the main opto-mechanical module of the CU. The CUMB

supporting structure, whose position is adjustable in all directions, is made of

commercial steel welded tubular profiles, connected to the MAORY Main

Structure through 3 Spherolinders<sup>©</sup> (ensuring the repeatability). The opto-

mechanics have been organized in 4 blocks to ease the alignment process and

maintenance and to provide all the needed DoFs: each block will be internally

aligned before proceeding with the mutual alignment of the blocks, following a



| The <b>ghost a</b> | nalyses, |
|--------------------|----------|
|--------------------|----------|

run with nonsequential models, show a PSF/ghosts ratio ~1%, proving that the ghosts are not harmful for the CU tasks and will not interfere with the alignment. (LGS sources are much larger than NGSs).



|   | NO scatter | Scatter A001 |  |  |  |  |
|---|------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| THROUGHPUT (%) @ CU focal plane                               |            |              |  |  |  |  |
| NGS (on-axis)   | 0.193      | 0.152        |  |  |  |  |
| NGS (off-axis)  | 0.175      | 0.140        |  |  |  |  |
| LGS   | 0.614      | 0.486        |  |  |  |  |
| WFSs calibration with CU sources – SNR @ WFS focal planes     |            |              |  |  |  |  |
| NGS-LO/MIC (1650nm)   | 76         | 67           |  |  |  |  |
| NGS-REF (750nm)   | 23         | 26           |  |  |  |  |
| LGS - 3mm pinhole (589nm)                                     | 8          | 7            |  |  |  |  |
| CU AIV operations with CU test cameras – SNR @ CU focal plane |            |              |  |  |  |  |
| NGS-LO/MIC (1600nm)   | 113        | 93           |  |  |  |  |

The **straylight analyses** showed a very low throughput (as expected) and this excluded the use of end-to-end interferometry for the alignment. Nevertheless, the derived signal-to-noise ratios (SNR) are fully compliant with the CU tasks: WFS calibrations,



Fig. 2 – Scheme of the NGS transmission chain.

The LGS fibers reach directly the LGS mask (LGSM), where 3mm-pinholes are backlit through diffusers. The LGSM is moved by a linear stage, since two conjugation altitudes are required for the LGS sources (104km and 150km). The light sources, coming out from NGSM and LGSM assemblies, after passing through the CU optical relay, are injected into the MAORY path through a Folding Mirror (CUFM) and focused on the MAORY/ELT focal plane.



Fig. 5 – Prototype of paraxial-CU (P-CUP - 2-inch optics - NGS path only). Goal: gain familiarity with the alignment strategy.

well-defined step-by-step procedure.

PMir BS1 LGS mask L2 LGS-FM

Fig. 6 – CU backup optical solution.

| NGS-REF (800nm)           | 63 | 57 |                    |
|---------------------------|----|----|--------------------|
| LGS - 3mm pinhole (589nm) | 59 | 50 | CU AIV, MAORY AIV. |
|                           |    |    | •                  |

The **propagation of a real set of CU aberrations** (mean statistic condition) into the MAORY AO loop (closed loop with no turbulence) has been preliminarily simulated.

The residual error (ELT-CU differential) seen by the REF-WFS, presents a rather flat trend across the FoV and is significantly lower than 100 nm.



#### CONCLUSION

The CU design is ready to start to the Final Design phase. A preliminary error budget breakdown has been derived from the analyses, confirming that the requirements of WFE are fulfilled. The level of detail of the mechanical design is advanced, although some opto-mechanics key features are going to be improved with the support of the manufacturers. FEAs have been carried out in parallel with the development of the mechanical design. The optics prescription have been defined and ROM pricings from manufacturers have been collected. The alignment strategy has been defined. The whole transmission chain has been analyzed to assess the final throughput.



Fig. 3 – CUMB inside MAORY Main Structure.

#### Fig. 7 – CUMB with its blocks (external cover, FSU, fibers and cables are not shown).



[1] Ciliegi, P., et al. "MAORY: the adaptive optics module for the Extremely Large Telescope (ELT)", Proc. SPIE, 11448-33, 2020

[2] Di Antonio I., et al. "MAORY calibration unit design status", Proc. SPIE, 11448-30, 2020



Forum della Ricerca Sperimentale e Tecnologica in INAF | Bologna, 22-24 Giugno 2022

