#### AGN obscuration: the X-ray spectral prospective

- AGN emission from accretion disk and the corona, surrounded by a dense torus of gas and dust.
- Depending on our viewing angle with respect to the central engine, part of the emission can be suppressed (absorption by metals).
- The shape of the X-ray spectrum changes depending on the column density of hydrogen (NH) in the line of sight: higher energy photons can be absorbed / scattered away from the line of sight at larger NH values.
- Compton thick (CT-) AGN: NH>10<sup>24</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> (τ>1), most of the emission suppressed <10 keV.</li>



Courtesy of X. Zhao

### Modelling X-ray emission in heavily obscured AGN



TORsigma=84 CTKcover=0.2



TORsigma=84 CTKcover=0.3



TORsigma=84 CTKcover=0.5



TORsigma=84 CTKcover=0.6



#### TORsigma=28 CTKcover=0.0



TORsigma=28 CTKcover=0.2



TORsigma=28 CTKcover=0.3



TORsigma=28 CTKcover=0.5



TORsigma=28 CTKcover=0.6



#### TORsigma=7 CTKcover=0.0



TORsigma=7 CTKcover=0.2



TORsigma=7 CTKcover=0.3



TORsigma=7 CTKcover=0.5



TORsigma=7 CTKcover=0.6



#### TORsigma=0 CTKcover=0.0



TORsigma=0 CTKcover=0.2



TORsigma=0 CTKcover=0.3



TORsigma=0 CTKcover=0.5



TORsigma=0 CTKcover=0.6



#### The accurate characterization of X-ray emission in heavily obscured AGN

- From a purely phenomenological perspective, obscured AGN X-ray spectrum is a combination of three components.
- From a physical standpoint, each component gives us a different information on AGN and obscuring material surrounding it.



#### Transmitted power law - line-of-sight column density



- Corona photons absorbed by obscuring material between SMBH and observer
- Energy-dependent effect: low E, soft photons are more easily absorbed, even by low NH clouds.
- Main parameters: power law photon index; l.o.s. column density

# Reprocessed power law - average column density / torus covering factor



#### Scattered power law - torus covering factor



- Corona photons passing through the clouds without interacting or being absorbed
- Usually a fraction 1-10% of the emitted photons
- Main parameters: torus covering factor (although less accurate than reprocessed component)

# MyTorus coupled: self-consistently characterizing an uniform torus

- Murphy & Yaqoob (2009): among the first models to selfconsistently characterize X-ray spectra of heavily obscured AGN.
- Three components: absorbed main power law; reprocessed component; fluorescent lines (Fe K alpha and beta; Ni K alpha)
- Uniform torus: cannot decouple l.o.s. and average NH.
- Inclination angle as a free parameter.
- Covering factor fixed to 0.5 (i.e., torus opening angle fixed to 60 deg).



#### Murphy and Yaqoob (2009)

### Limits of a uniform torus

- Observational evidence, both in X-rays and in IR, points towards a "clumpy torus" scenario
- Variability in NH l.o.s. observed on time-scales of days, weeks -> Obscuring material needs to move very close to SMBH
- Models need to be updated to take into account this observational evidence.



Risaliti+05 (see also Bianchi+09, Guainazzi+12, Miniutti+14, Ricci+16...)

### MyTorus decoupled: a first clumpy torus modelling

- Yaqoob (2012) and Yaqoob et al. (2015)
- L.o.s. component (MYTZ) untied from reprocessed one
- Reprocessed (and lines): proxy of torus average NH
- Two reprocessed components
- theta\_obs=90 -> Material between SMBH and observer.
- theta\_obs=0 -> material behind the SMBH
- Ratio between two components can give information on torus covering factor



Yaqoob (2012)

#### MyTorus decoupled in XSpec

	Data group: 1									
	1	1	constant	factor		1.00000	frozen			
	2	2	phabs	nH	10^22	3.83000E-02	frozen			
	3	3	MYtorusZ	NH	10^24	0.935362	+/- 4.83159E-02			
ΜπΤΖ	4	3	MYtorusZ	IncAng	Degrees	90.0000	frozen			
	5	3	MYtorusZ	Z		1.34360E-02	frozen			
loc NH	6	4	zpowerlw	PhoIndex		1.59957	= p12			
1.U.S. 1111	7	4	zpowerlw	Redshift		1.34360E-02	= p5			
	8	4	zpowerlw	norm		5.62266E-03	+/- 1.32837E-03			
	9	5	constant	factor		0.401144	+/- 7.55201E-02			
	10	6	MYtorusS	NH	10^24	0.372699	+/- 7.27184E-02			
11		6	MYtorusS	IncAng	Degrees	90.0000	frozen			
	12	<u> </u>	MYtoruss	PhoIndy		1 50057	+/_ 5 QQ016F_07			

Model constant<1>\*phabs<2>(etable{/Users/stefano/Documents/work/clemson/mytorusfiles/mytorus\_Ezero\_v00.fits}<3>\*zpowerlw<4> + constant<5>\*atable{/Users/stefano/Documents/work/clemson/mytorusfiles/mytorus\_scatteredH500\_v00.fits}<6> + constant<7>\*atable{/Users/stefano/Documents/work/clemson/mytorusfiles/mytorusfiles/mytl\_V000010nEp000H500\_v00.fits}<8> + const ant<9>\*zpowerlw<10> + mekal<11>) Source No.: 1 Active/On

average 1111	15	7	constant	factor		0.401144	= p9
	16	8	MYTorusL	NH	10^24	0.372699	= p10
	17	8	MYTorusL	IncAng	Degrees	90.0000	= p11
	18	8	MYTorusL	PhoIndx		1.59957	= p12
	19	8	MYTorusL	Z		1.34360E-02	= p13
	20	8	MYTorusL	norm		5.62266E-03	= p14
Scattored	21	9	constant	factor		1.62872E-03	+/- 4.39518E-04
Scattereu	22	10	zpowerlw	PhoIndex		1.59957	= p12
	23	10	zpowerlw	Redshift		1.34360E-02	= p5
emission	24	10	zpowerlw	norm		5.62266E-03	= p8
	25	11	mekal	kT 👘	keV	0.584302	+/- 3.51773E-02
TT1	26	11	mekal	nH	cm-3	1.00000	frozen
Inermal	27	11	mekal	Abundanc		0.448132	+/- 0.879008
• •	28	11	mekal	Redshift		1.34360E-02	= p5
emission	29	11	mekal	switch		1	frozen
	30	11	mekal	norm		2.21229E-05	+/- 4.01863E-05

## Borus02: measuring the covering factor

- Balokovic et al. (2018)
- borus02 models only the reprocessed component: l.o.s. absorption treated by an additional parameter
- Average torus NH and covering factor are both free parameters
- Torus still assumed to be uniform, but NH torus can be different from NH los -> Indirect indication of clumpiness



Balokovic et al. (2018)

## borus02 in XSpec

				Data g	roup: 1		
	1	1	constant	factor		1.00000	frozen
	2	2	phabs	nH	10^22	3.83000E-02	frozen
	3	3	constant	factor		1.00000	frozen
1 00	4	4	borus02	PhoIndex		1.59599	+/- 0.0
borus02	5	4	borus02	Ecut	keV	300.000	frozen
NIL	6	4	borus02	logNHtor		24.2394	+/- 0.0
average Nn	7	4	borus02	CFtor		0.278200	+/- 0.0
covoring factor	8	4	borus02	<pre>cos(thInc)</pre>		0.194618	+/- 0.0
covering factor	9	4	borus02	A_Fe	A_Sun	1.00000	frozen
	10	4	borus02	Z		1.34360E-02	frozen
	11	٨	horus@?	norm		1	+/_ 0 0
odel constant<1>*phabs<2>(constan utoffpl<7> + constant<8>*cutoffpl	(<3>*atabl (<9>) + mek	e{/User al<10>	s/stefano/Docum Source No.: 1	ents/work/clemson Active/On	/nustar_cta	ign/test_borus/borus@	02_v1/0323a.fits}<4> + zphabs<5>
1 NITT	<u>тэ "</u>	<b>b</b>	zpnaos	кеазпітт		1.343000-02	= p10
<b>1.0.S. NH</b>	14	6	cabs	nH	10^22	83.4422	= p12
	15	7	cutoffpl	PhoIndex		1.59599	= p4
	16	7	cutoffpl	HighECut	keV	300.000	= p5
	17	7	cutoffpl	norm		4.51593E-03	+/- 0.0
Scattored	18	8	constant	factor		1.49725E-03	+/- 0.0
Scattereu	19	9	cutoffpl	PhoIndex		1.59599	= p4
emission	20	9	cutoffpl	HighECut	keV	300.000	= p5
CIIII5510II	21	9	cutoffpl	norm		4.51593E-03	= p17
	22	10	mekal	kT	keV	0.600535	+/- 0.0
The entropy of	23	10	mekal	nH	cm-3	1.00000	frozen
Inermal	24	10	mekal	Abundanc		0.136573	+/- 0.0
omission	25	10	mekal	Redshift		1.34360E-02	= p10
emission	26	10	mekal	switch		1	frozen
	27	10	mekal	norm		5.63755E-05	-+/- 0.0

#### MyTorus - borus02 comparison

#### XMM–NuSTAR – MyTorus decoupled 90 degrees – August 02, 2021





# UXClumpy: parameterizing the clumpiness

- Buchner et al. (2019)
- No more uniform distribution, but clouds orbiting around the accreting SMBH
- New free parameter: TORsigma (vertical height of clouds around the SMBH).
- Observational evidence: some sources require extra reprocessed component -> Possible inner ring of clouds, parameterized with CTKcover
- Large CTKcover: few large clouds; small CTKcover: many small clouds.



## UXClumpy in XSpec

	Data group: 1						
	1	1	constant	factor		1.00000	frozen
	2	2	phabs	nH	10^22	3.83000E-02	frozen
Torus absorbed	3	3	torus	nH		91.2953	+/- 6.36989
iorus absorbed	4	3	torus	PhoIndex		1.63035	+/- 0.143057
and reprocessed	5	3	torus	Ecut		300.000	frozen
	6	3	torus	TORsigma		10.2774	+/- 6.24846
emission	7	3	torus	CTKcover		1.41449E-07	+/- 0.276019
	8	3	torus	Theta inc		87.8574	+/- 7.95276
	9	3	torus	z		1.34360E-02	frozen
	16	2	torue	norm		£ 79099E_02	±/_ 2 26279E_A2
odel constant<1>*phabs<2>*atable{/ k/clemson/UXClumpv/uxclumpv-cutoff	'Users/stefan '-omni.fits}<	o/Docu 5> + m	ments/work/clemso ekal<6> Source No	n/UXClumpy/uxclump .: 1 Active/On	oy-cutoff.fits	<pre>}&lt;3&gt; + constant&lt;4&gt;*a</pre>	table{/Users/stefano/Documents/
	12	5	scat	nH		91.2953	= p3
Castland	13	5	scat	PhoIndex		1.63035	= p4
Scattered	14	5	scat	Ecut		300.000	= p5
emission	15	5	scat	TORsigma		10.2774	= p6
CIIIISSIOII	16	5	scat	CTKcover		1.41449E-07	= p7
	17	5	scat	Theta_inc		87.8574	= p8
	18	5	scat	z		1.34360E-02	= p9
	19	5	scat	norm		6.78988E-03	= p10
	20	6	mekal	kT	keV	0.616787	+/- 4.12811E-02
The serves of	21	6	mekal	nH	cm-3	1.00000	frozen
Inermal	22	6	mekal	Abundanc		8.80642E-02	+/- 5.96450E-02
emission	23	6	mekal	Redshift		1.34360E-02	= p9
CHHSSION	24	6	mekal	switch		1	frozen
	25	6	mekal	norm		7.99735E-05	+/- 3.99139E-05

## Not only X-rays: the IR perspective

- Esparza-Arredondo et al. (2019, 2021)
- IR emission comes from optical photons absorbed and reprocessed by torus -> Excellent proxy of torus properties
- X-ray/IR synergy to best understand gas and dust properties and distribution
- First results: no clear agreement between IR and X-ray covering factor, but result could be biased by Seyfert 1 galaxies.

![](_page_15_Figure_5.jpeg)