### Gamma-ray and X-ray study of possibly oldest GeV supernova remnant G298.6–0.0

Paul K. H. Yeung Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo

Related publication: Yeung et al. in preparation (to be submitted to PASJ)

# High-energy properties of SNRs revealed by gamma-ray observations

- No. of gamma-ray sources identified as or associated with SNRs:
  - $\ge 33$  are detected by HESS, MAGIC, VERITAS in TeV
  - $\ge 40$  are detected by Fermi-LAT in GeV
- Observations+Theories: SNRs can generate cosmic rays responsible for observed gamma-rays
  - hadronic-to-leptonic ratio is generally ~100
- ≥11 gamma-ray-bright SNRs are interacting with molecular clouds (SNR-MC sources)
- GeV spectra: Broken-Power-Law:  $\frac{dN}{dE} = N_0 \times \begin{cases} (E/E_b)^{\gamma_1} & \text{if } E < E_b \\ (E/E_b)^{\gamma_2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$  $|\gamma_2| > |\gamma_1|$

#### Correlation of y-ray spectrum with SNR age

# The time-evolution of **CR-acceleration** energetics.

### **CR-escape** develops with time, from high to low energies

• The time-evolution of SNR gamma-ray spectra: Flux+Shape

- "Gamma-ray spectrum vs. Age" relation
  - as a stopwatch for the escape of CRs from SNRs

## Thermal X-rays of SNRs

- Ionising Plasma (IP): electron temperature (T<sub>e</sub>) > ionisation temperature (T<sub>z</sub>); timescale > 10 kyr
- Recombining Plasma (RP): T<sub>e</sub> < T<sub>z</sub> (timescale > 10 kyr)
- Plasma in Collisional Ionisation Equilibrium (CIE):  $T_e = T_z$
- Possible evolutionary routes of SNRs: IP domination ==> CIE; or IP domination ==> RP domination ==> CIE

### Why studying SNR G298.6-0.0?



- Mixed-Morphology SNR
- Molecular-cloud interaction
- CIE X-ray spectrum (Suzaku)
- Our observational efforts:
  - Fermi-LAT data (13.7 yr)
  - Chandra data (20 ks)

### Fermi-LAT Spatial Morphologies



#### Src-NW & Src-S detected at >16 GeV

#### Additional component: Src-NE detected at >8 GeV

#### Subtract NW & S to localise NE

Yeung et al. in prep. (to be subm. to PASJ)

#### Fermi-LAT Spectral Energy Distributions



- Src-NE: Log-Parabola / Broken-Power-Law
  - E<sub>break</sub> ~ 1.8 GeV
  - Curvature/Break is robust against statistical & systematic errors
- >2 GeV: NE is much softer than S

Paul K. H. Yeung, University of Tokyo

**Chandra-ACIS** Spatial Morphology



- X-ray centroid is slightly tilted to **northeast** part of SNR
- No X-rays at southwest edge of SNR



- Beyond the **inner** region, flux bkg  $\lesssim 5\sigma$
- Beyond the **outer** region, flux – bkg << 3σ</li>

Yeung et al. in prep. (to be subm. to PASJ)

Paul K. H. Yeung, University of Tokyo

### Chandra-ACIS Spectroscopy



- Consistent with Suzaku results (Bamba et al. 2016)

Yeung et al. in prep. (to be subm. to PASJ)

Energy (keV)

Paul K. H. Yeung, University of Tokyo

# CO& HI Lines



Paul K. H. Yeung, University of Tokyo

### CO&HILINES

At V<sub>LSR</sub>~27 km/s, towards SNR G298.6-0.0,
CO: cavity; HI: absorption

==> Expanding gas motion (Wind-blown bubble)

==> Proofs of **shock-cloud interaction** 

- V<sub>LSR</sub>~27 km/s corresponds to kinematic distance ~ 10.1 kpc (∵ Galactic rotation curve)
- 3 MC clumps (CO) surrounding the SNR: East clump <-> Src-NE North-front clump <-> Src-NE West clump <-> Src-NW

### MCs as actual gamma-ray emitters

- The gamma-ray centroids are more coincident with the MC distribution than with the dimension of SNR G298.6-0.0. Why?
- Because pion-decays occur at proton collision sites (MCs) rather than the proton acceleration site (SNR).
- More accurately speaking, the actual gamma-ray emitting sources are the MCs impacted by the SNRaccelerated cosmic-ray particles, instead of the SNR itself.



#### **Major Orientation of SNR-MC Interaction**

- Gamma-ray: Src-NE is much brighter than Src-NW
- X-ray: Diffuse emission is slightly more concentrated in northeast part of SNR
- CO line: MCs in SNR's northeast vicinity are larger, denser & closer to SNR

==> SNR-MC interaction occurs mainly in **northeast** direction



#### Major Orientation of SNR-MC Interaction

- Gamma-ray: Src-**NE** is much brighter than Src-NW
- X-ray: Diffuse emission is slightly more concentrated in northeast part of SNR
- CO line: MCs in SNR's northeast vicinity are larger, denser & closer to SNR

==> SNR-MC interaction occurs mainly in **northeast** direction



### Major Orientation of SNR-MC Interaction

- Gamma-ray: Src-NE is much brighter than Src-NW
- X-ray: Diffuse emission is slightly more concentrated in northeast part of SNR
- CO line: MCs in SNR's northeast vicinity are larger, denser & closer to SNR
- ==> SNR-MC interaction occurs mainly in **northeast** direction



### Estimated age of SNR G298.6-0.0

Relation between SNR gamma-ray spectrum and SNR age 100000 Suzuki et al. (2022) 10000 1000



0.5

log R (pc)

Yeung et al. in prep. (to be subm. to PASJ)

13pc

### Estimated age of SNR G298.6-0.0

- Kinematic distance (CO & HI) of G298.6-0.0 from us : ~10.1 kpc
- Angular radius (radio) of G298.6-0.0 ~ 5.3' <==> Physical radius ~ 15.5 pc damping non-damping Size is prop. to Age Cui et al. (2018) 4 SNR W28: Age > 30 kyr; R ~ 13.1 pc Evolution profile of W28 2 **Conservative lower limit** log v<sub>SNR</sub> (km/s), SNR shock velocity log t<sub>SNR</sub> (year), SNR age of G298.6-0.0 age: log E<sub>max</sub> (GeV), Escape energy at shock >10 kyr

0

### Estimated age of SNR G298.6-0.0

- Satisfactory fit of VAPEC×PHABS model to X-ray spectrum
  - ==> Collisional Ionisation Equilibrium (CIE)
  - ==> IP-domination (>10 kyr) had passed
  - ==> Further supports an old age

# Kind Reminders

- In hadronic model, the actual gamma-ray emitting sources are the molecular clouds impacted by the SNR-accelerated cosmic-ray particles, instead of the SNR itself.
- The gamma-ray spectral shape of an SNR is somewhat correlated with the SNR age, but it is also affected by the surrounding environment.

# 

Crab Nebula observed by *Hubble* Credits: NASA/ESA