

Sample spectra of neutron star (orange), active star, SNR, and AGN.

AGN vs. Active star

Ne simulated 100,000 AGN and \$ 0.6 spectra Of stars from COUP **CDFS** properties, and **R** used spectra with >100 ge 0.2 X-ray counts for training² and testing a 1 hidden layer, 8-node ANN.



Stars show prominent emission lines from Mg, Si, S & Fe. AGN have mainly continuum spectra.

Role of source properties $\Box N_H > 10^{24}$ absorbs X-rays with energy, E < 2keV, leading to difficulties in detection of Fe-L, Mg & Si lines and thus identifying stars. \Box Stars that were fit with temperatures, $T > 2 \times$ 10⁷ K are continuum-dominated and hence not recognized properly by our model. **Our model tends to identify harder AGN with,** power-law index, $\Gamma < 2$ better.

□ AGN with strong Fe-K line are detected more efficiently.

Pavan R Hebbar, Craig O. Heinke **University of Alberta**

Modern X-ray telescopes have detected hundreds of thousands of X-ray sources (e.g. Evans et al. 2010). These include active galactic nuclei (AGN), supernova remnants (SNRs), active stars, and compact neutron star and black hole binaries. Common methods to distinguish these sources are modelling their X-ray spectra or using hardness ratios (HRs)

Detailed X-ray spectroscopy of thousands of sources is tedious, and HRs could fail to accurately categorize faint objects. These methods fail to employ the power of CCD detectors to identify emission lines in X-ray spectra. Machine-learning (ML) tools that can differentiate line- and continuum-dominated X-ray spectra will be accurate and efficient in classifying X-ray spectra. Here, we demonstrate the use of artificial neural networks (ANNs) to distinguish active stars in Orion nebula from AGN in Chandra Deep Field South.

accuracy and reduce size of training dataset. **Apply algorithm on other types of sources.**

 $\mathbf{Input} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{527}}$

Test other ML algorithms to increase

References

> Evans I. N. et al. 2010, ApJS, 189, 37 > Getman K. V. et al 2005, ApJS, 160, 319 > Hebbar P. R. et al 2019, MNRAS, 485, 5604 > Park T. et al. 2006, ApJ 652, 610 > Tozzi P. et al. 2006, A&A, 451, 457





X-ray image of (Left) Stars in Chandra Orion Ultradeep Project (COUP, Credits: CXC/ Fiegelson et al.) (*Right*) AGN in Chandra Deep Field South (CDFS, Credits: CXC/Luo et al.)

ANN model

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Hidden layer} \in \mathbb{R}^{\textbf{8}} \\ \textbf{eLU activation}; \textbf{L1norm}, \lambda = \textbf{0.001} \end{array}$



 $\mathbf{Output} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$

Energy (keV)

(Left) ANN model architecture used. It outputs the probability of source being star or AGN. (Right) The weights of the trained ANN show that our model picks out the prominent emission lines for classification.



hebbar@ualberta.ca **Submitted article:** /Hebbar_stars_vs_AGN.pdf