The Coma cluster at LOFAR frequencies

Annalisa Bonafede

LOFAR cluster working group + MKSP intergalactic filaments working group





The Coma cluster from 1956 to now





Large et al. 1956, Nature 408 MHz ~1 deg resolution

Kim et al 1989, Nature 326 MHz WSRT



LETTERS TO NATURE

The Coma cluster from 1956 to now





Large et al. 1956, Nature 408 MHz ~1 deg resolution

> Many other observations and studies since then - nearby cluster (z=0.0231, 1"=0.5 kpc)

- Halo, relic, bridge

Kim et al 1989, Nature 326 MHz WSRT



LETTERS TO NATURE

The Coma cluster from 1956 to now



Many other observations and studies since then
nearby cluster (z=0.0231, 1"=0.5 kpc)
Halo, relic, bridge

TTERS TO NATURE

scovery of intergalactic radio nission in the Coma-A1367 percluster

I. Kim*, P. P. Kronberg*, G. Giovannini†‡
Venturi‡



52m

Nature SRT



LoTSS LOFAR 2 meter Sky Survey observations 144 MHz

- LoTSS data with ad-hoc reprocessing
- 2 x 8h pointings jointly deconvolved
- resolution of 20" noise of 0.1 µJy-beam



20 arcsec resolution

Lotss LOFAR 2 meter Sky Survey observations 144 MHz

- LoTSS data with ad-hoc reprocessing

- 2 x 8h pointings jointly deconvolved

- resolution of 20" noise of 0.1 µJy-beam Coma radio halo

1 Mpc

Coma radio relic

NGC4839 group

20 arcsec resolution

LoTSS LOFAR 2 meter Sky Survey observations 144 MHz

- LoTSS data with ad-hoc reprocessing
- 2 x 8h pointings jointly deconvolved
- resolution of 35" noise of 0.2 µJy-beam



Lotss LOFAR 2 meter Sky Survey observations 144 MHz

- LoTSS data with ad-hoc reprocessing
- 2 x 8h pointings jointly deconvolved
- resolution of 35" noise of 0.2 µJy-beam

Halo filaments

Halo Front

Bridge

Relic-WAT connection

eROSITA Churazov et al (2021)

Lyskova et al (2019)



eROSITA Churazov et al (2021)

Lyskova et al (2019)





First shock Radio relic

eROSITA Churazov et al (2021)

Lyskova et al (2019)



Shock from core motions due to merger Halo front

NG/C4839

First shock Radio relic

eROSITA Churazov et al (2021)

Lyskova et al (2019)



Shock from core motions due to merger Halo front

First shock Radio relic

The Coma radio halo





XMM-Newton mosaic

all 54 archival obsid re-processed (credits Zhang & Simionescu)

$j_X \propto n_e^2 (kT)^{1/2}$





LOFAR 144 MHz observations $j_R \propto N_0 B^{(\delta+1)/2}$





Sub- linear Scaling between radio and X-ray $I_R \propto I_X^{0.63}$



Sub- linear Scaling between radio and X-ray $I_R \propto I_X^{0.63}$



Sub- linear Scaling between radio and X-ray $I_R \propto I_X^{0.63}$



Sub- linear Scaling between radio and X-ray $I_R \propto I_X^{0.63}$



Sub- linear Scaling between radio and X-ray $I_R \propto I_X^{0.63}$



Sub- linear Scaling between radio and X-ray $I_R \propto I_X^{0.63}$

> Computed on the 35" image consistent with Govoni et al 2001

> The correlation becomes steeper as we smooth radio and X-ray $I_R \propto I_X^{0.85}$

Computed on the 5' image



Sub- linear Scaling between radio and X-ray $I_R \propto I_X^{0.63}$

> Computed on the 35" image consistent with Govoni et al 2001

The correlation becomes steeper as we smooth radio and X-ray $I_R \propto I_X^{0.85}$

Computed on the 5' image



Radio halo brightness fluctuations



Scatter of radio brightness higher at the cluster periphery

Primordial magnetic field B₀=0.1nG at z=30 cluster "Coma-like" M ~10¹⁵ Msun Dedner formulation MHD 256³ cells + 8 levels

Projected mean magnetic field at increasing resolution, up to 4 kpc

Good match with RM data on Coma

Vazza et al. (2018)

Primordial magnetic field B₀=0.1nG at z=30 cluster "Coma-like" M ~10¹⁵ Msun Dedner formulation MHD 256³ cells + 8 levels

Projected mean magnetic field at increasing resolution, up to 4 kpc

Good match with RM data on Coma

Vazza et al. (2018)

Turbulent energy flux (compressive component)

$$E_t \propto \rho \frac{\sigma_{v_c}^3}{l} \times \frac{B^2}{(B^2 + B_{CMB}^2)}$$

Turbulent energy flux (solenoidal component)

$$E_t \propto \rho \frac{\sigma_{v_s}^3}{l} \times \frac{B^2}{(B^2 + B_{CMB}^2)}$$

Both quantities have a similar trend with respect to X-ray but different normalisations

Turbulent energy flux (compressive component)

$$E_t \propto \rho \frac{\sigma_{v_c}^3}{l} \times \frac{B^2}{(B^2 + B_{CMB}^2)}$$

Turbulent energy flux (solenoidal component)

$$E_t \propto \rho \frac{\sigma_{v_s}^3}{l} \times \frac{B^2}{(B^2 + B_{CMB}^2)}$$

Both quantities have a similar trend with respect to X-ray but different normalisations

Turbulent energy flux (compressive component)

$$E_t \propto \rho \frac{\sigma_{v_c}^3}{l} \times \frac{B^2}{(B^2 + B_{CMB}^2)}$$

$$E_t \propto \rho \frac{\sigma_{v_s}^3}{l} \times \frac{B^2}{(B^2 + B_{CMB}^2)}$$

Both quantities have a similar trend with respect to X-ray but different normalisations

Can we reproduce the observed scatter of radio vs X ray?

The observed scatter is due to the distribution of particles, spatial and/or energetic

Different trends in the centre and periphery?

core and in the outskirts?

In the inner halo core $I_R \propto I_X^{0.43\pm0.8}$

In the halo outskirts $I_R \propto I_X^{0.57\pm0.3}$

Halo powered by different turbulent modes in the

Arc-like patch of diffuse emission at r ~ 3.6 Mpc from cluster centre (i.e. 1.2 Rvir)

Arc-like patch of diffuse emission at r ~ 3.6 Mpc from cluster centre (i.e. 1.2 Rvir)

Arc-like patch of diffuse emission at r ~ 3.6 Mpc from cluster centre (i.e. 1.2 Rvir)

Arc-like patch of diffuse emission at r ~ 3.6 Mpc from cluster centre (i.e. 1.2 Rvir)

Coma AGN

Conclusions

- Stepping into new territory to decipher particle acceleration mechanisms

- Large field of view: a lot of physics to be learnt (AGN, halos, relic, new sources!)

